Subject: Request for External Review of Draft USGS Sturgeon Science Document

I hope this message finds you well. I am reaching out to request your assistance with the external review of the attached draft document titled *The State of Science in Sturgeon and Paddlefish Research in the U.S. Geological Survey: Past Activities and Future Directions*. This draft has been prepared by the USGS Sturgeon and Paddlefish Workgroup as part of the Species Management Research Program within the Ecosystems Mission Area.

This document aims to consolidate and synthesize decades of USGS research on sturgeon and paddlefish, while also outlining a strategic science vision for future research. Its purpose is to serve as a guiding resource for advancing sturgeon and paddlefish conservation efforts by identifying critical research gaps, supporting policy development, and enhancing collaboration across agencies, organizations, and stakeholders.

Please note that this is **not a final document** but rather a **first draft** that is intended to be further refined based on the external input we receive. As this is a draft, we kindly request that you do not cite or use the information contained within in the wrong context or manner, as the document will undergo significant revisions before finalization. Additionally, the appendices for this document are not yet complete but will be included in future versions.

This review is open to anyone who has an interest in helping advance a shared USGS vision for Sturgeon and Paddlefish science. Your feedback will be instrumental in helping us revise the document, make updates and corrections, and incorporate your input into a final version. Specifically, we are seeking insights on:

- Accuracy and completeness of the scientific content
- Relevance and application of the research findings to conservation efforts
- Suggestions for additional research needs or knowledge gaps
- Opportunities for enhancing collaboration and data sharing

We hope that you will see your own efforts and contributions to these species reflected in the document, and that it captures the collective work and dedication of everyone involved in sturgeon and paddlefish conservation.

How to Submit Your Input:

- Dave Hu, USGS Fish and Aquatic Species Program Manager, has been designated as the point of contact for all
 responses and any questions you may have. Please submit any feedback directly to Dave at <u>dhu@usgs.gov</u> by COB
 January 15, 2025.
- You can provide your feedback in any of the following ways:
 - \circ $\;$ Add comments and edits directly into the document and return using tracked changes.
 - Provide feedback in any other written form, such as a separate document or email.

Thank you in advance for your time and expertise. Your contributions will significantly strengthen the final version of this important document and ensure it reflects the collective knowledge of our research community.

Best regards,

Melanie Steinkamp USGS Species Management Research Program Coordinator

Mobile: 703-261-3128

DRAFT DOCUMENT: THE STATE OF SCIENCE IN STURGEON AND PADDLEFISH RESEARCH IN THE U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY - PAST ACTIVITIES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

- 6 ECOSYSTEMS MISSION AREA SPECIES MANAGEMENT RESEARCH PROGRAM
- 7 COOPERATIVELY DEVELOPED BY THE USGS STURGEON AND PADDLEFISH WORKGROUP
- 8
- 9 Please submit all responses and inquiries on this document to: Dave Hu, USGS Fish and Aquatic
- 10 Species Program Manager, <u>dhu@usgs.gov</u>
- 11

12 AUTHORS

- 13 Matthew Acre (1), Aaron Aunins (2), Patrick Braaten (1), Marybeth Brey (17), Dustin Broaddus (1), Robin D Calfee
- 14 (1), Bruce Call (1), Marc Chalupnicki (6), Steve Chipps (16), Kim Chojnacki (1), Michael Colvin (1), Tim Counihan
- 15 (19), Robin DeBruyne (6), Aaron DeLonay (1), Megan Dethloff (13), Dawn Dittman (6), Ed Dobrowolski (14),
- 16 Collin Eagles-Smith (4), Carrie Elliott (1), Eveline Emmenegger (19), Holly Embke (12), Jacob Faulkner (1), Skye
- 17 Fissette (6), Andrea Fritts (17), Christopher Guy (11), Amy Hansen (19), Aaron Heisey (2), Darryl Hondorp (6),
- 18 Dave Hu (Editor)(3), Brian Irwin (5), Jill Jenkins (18), Robin Johnson (2), David Kazyak (2), Greg Kennedy (6),
- 19 Micah Kieffer (2), Toby Kock (19), Richard Kraus (6), Patrick Kroboth (1), Margaret Lamont (18), Jim Long (15),
- 20 Michael Moore (7), JC Nelson (9), Craig Paukert (10), Melissa Price (18), Michael Quist (8), Mike Randall (18),
- 21 Amy Regish (2), Ed Roseman (6), Gavin N. Saari (17), Brandon Sansom (1), Shannon White (2), James Willacker
- 22

(4)

- 23
- 24 1 USGS Columbia Environmental Research Center; 2 USGS Eastern Ecological Science Center, 3 USGS
- 25 Ecosystems Mission Area, 4 USGS Forest and Rangeland Ecosystem Science Center, 5 USGS Georgia Cooperative
- 26 Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, 6 USGS Great Lakes Science Center, 7 USGS Iowa Cooperative Fish and Wildlife
- 27 Research Unit, 8 USGS Idaho Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, 9 USGS Midcontinent Region, 10
- 28 USGS Missouri Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, 11 USGS Montana Cooperative Fish and Wildlife
- 29 Research Unit, 12 USGS Midwest Climate Adaptation Science Center, 13 USGS Northwest-Pacific Islands Region,
- 30 14 USGS Ohio-Kentucky-Indiana Water Science Center, 15 USGS Oklahoma Cooperative Fish and Wildlife
- 31 Research Unit, 16 USGS South Dakota Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, 17 USGS Upper Midwest

Environmental Sciences Center, 18 USGS Wetland and Aquatic Research Center, 19 USGS Western Fisheries
 Research Center

34

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Sturgeons and paddlefish, ancient species dating back over 200 million years, are among the most imperiled groups of animals on Earth. With 85% of their species facing extinction, these unique fish are integral to the biodiversity of freshwater, estuarine, and coastal ecosystems across the northern hemisphere. The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) has been at the forefront of sturgeon and paddlefish research, providing vital insights into their biology, ecology, and conservation.

Established by the Organic Act of 1879, the USGS operates under the Department of the Interior (DOI). Initially tasked with classifying public lands and assessing geological resources, the USGS has expanded its mission to include the ecological sciences in addition to mitigating natural disasters, managing crucial resources, and safeguarding the quality of life for society. Its expertise and data holdings in earth and biological sciences position the USGS as a leader in multidisciplinary investigations necessary for sturgeon conservation.

This document consolidates decades of USGS research, synthesizes science to date, and outlines a path forward for research through a USGS strategic science vision for sturgeon and paddlefish research, categorized into the following themes. 1) *Life History and Ecology*,

51 including research on reproductive biology, habitat use, and population dynamics, leveraging

advanced technologies such as telemetry, sonar, and genetic analysis; 2) *Threats and Stressors*,

53 including research on key threats such as overfishing, habitat loss, pollution, and climate change

on sturgeon and paddlefish populations; and 3) *Population Research, Monitoring, and*

55 *Management Efforts*, such as decision-support tools to inform policy and management decisions,

56 and developing effective conservation strategies.

57 The USGS fosters inclusive collaborations with federal and state agencies, tribal 58 organizations, universities, industry stakeholders, non-governmental organizations, and the 59 public. These partnerships enhance research efforts and facilitate the integration of findings into 60 policy, management, and citizen decisions.

61 This document emphasizes the need for enhanced collaborative efforts and data sharing.62 Addressing knowledge gaps and advancing research on sturgeon and paddlefish are crucial for

developing effective conservation and management strategies. By fostering collaboration and leveraging scientific expertise, the USGS is committed to ensuring the long-term sustainability of these ancient and iconic species for future generations. USGS research plays a pivotal role in protecting and managing at-risk species, ensuring the sustainability of healthy fish communities and populations. The collaborative efforts outlined in this document underscore the urgency and imperative for collective action to protect sturgeon and paddlefish, contributing significantly to the conservation, sustainability, and restoration of these invaluable aquatic species.

- 70
- 71

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION

72 Sturgeons and paddlefish are ancient species that have roamed the earth for over 200 million years, predating dinosaurs. These unique fish are integral to the biodiversity of freshwater, 73 estuarine, and coastal ecosystems across the northern hemisphere. However, they are among the 74 most threatened groups of animals, with 85% of their species facing extinction due to a 75 combination of overfishing, habitat loss, and water quality degradation (IUCN, 2010; Reinartz & 76 Slavcheva, 2016) 77 This document is broken into three primary sections: 1) an overview of North American 78 sturgeon and paddlefish species, detailing their distribution, life history, and conservation status; 79

2) a USGS science vision, outlining current and future key research under themes such as life
 history, ecology, threats, and management strategies; and 3) a call for action related to data and
 information needs, identifying critical research gaps and emphasizing the importance of
 collaboration and data sharing to advance conservation efforts. Together, these sections provide a
 comprehensive framework for guiding future research and policy development in sturgeon and
 paddlefish conservation.

86

87 DOCUMENT PURPOSE AND INTENDED USE

- 88 The primary objectives of this document are to:
- Consolidate and synthesize current USGS scientific knowledge on sturgeon and
 paddlefish species.
- Outline a strategic vision for advancing research within USGS, focusing on addressing
 key knowledge gaps and establishing research priorities.

- Serve as a comprehensive resource for the organizing and managing sturgeon and
 paddlefish initiatives within the USGS.
- Facilitate collaboration and partnerships among the USGS, other natural resource
 agencies, academic institutions, non-governmental organizations, tribal organizations, the
 public and other relevant stakeholders to support conservation efforts.
- 98

99 DOCUMENT ORGANIZATION

The document is structured into several sections to provide a comprehensive understanding ofsturgeon and paddlefish research and conservation. Together, these sections provide a

102 comprehensive framework for guiding future research and policy development in sturgeon and

- 103 paddlefish conservation:
- Overview of North American Acipenseriformes. This section details the various species of
 sturgeon and paddlefish, including their distribution and range, conservations status, life
 histories, status and threats, and outlining future research needs.
- USGS Strategic Science Vision. This section outlines the USGS's strategic research under
 three general research categories, with a set of detailed focal research areas identified
 under each.
- *Research Category 1: Life History and Ecology* focuses on exploring the
 reproductive biology, habitat use, genomic diversity, migratory behaviors, and
 culture techniques of sturgeon and paddlefish to support their conservation and
 population recovery. It is comprised of the following research focal areas:
- Focal Area 1A: Early and Late Life Histories, Physiology, and
 Reproduction explores the reproductive biology, physiology, and early and
 late life histories of sturgeon and paddlefish.
- Focal Area 1B: Distributions, Habitat Use, and Habitat Suitability
 investigates the distribution, habitat use, and suitability of environments
 across various life stages.
- Focal Area 1C: Genomic Analyses and Population Viabilities focuses on genomic analyses used to assess population viabilities, genetic diversity, and hybridization threats.

123	 Focal Area 1D: Passage Behavior and Migrations focuses on the
124	migratory behaviors and passage challenges faced by sturgeon and
125	paddlefish, particularly in rivers affected by dams and other barriers.
126	 Focal Area 1E: Culture and Propagation focuses on developing and
127	optimizing culture and propagation techniques to support population
128	recovery and ensure genetic diversity in declining populations.
129	• Research Category 2: Threats and Stressors focuses on understanding and
130	mitigating the impacts of commercial harvesting, pollutants, diseases, invasive
131	species, habitat degradation, and water flow changes on sturgeon and paddlefish
132	populations, with the goal of developing sustainable conservation strategies. It is
133	comprised of the following research focal areas:
134	• Focal Area 2A: Commercial and Recreational Harvest, Caviar Industry,
135	and Mortality Impacts focuses on the impacts of commercial and
136	recreational harvesting, particularly for caviar, on sturgeon and paddlefish
137	populations, and developing strategies for sustainable fishery practices.
138	• Focal Area 2B: Effects of Pollutants and Contaminants focuses on the
139	effects of pollutants, including endocrine-disrupting chemicals and heavy
140	metals, on the health and reproduction of sturgeon and paddlefish.
141	• Focal Area 2C: Diseases and Pathogens investigates the diseases and
142	pathogens affecting sturgeon and paddlefish, with a particular emphasis on
143	viral, bacterial, and parasitic infections.
144	 Focal Area 2D: Influence and Impacts of Invasive Species and Control
145	Measures addresses the competitive pressures and habitat disruptions
146	caused by invasive species, as well as the effectiveness of control
147	measures for protecting native populations.
148	 Focal Area 2E: Habitat Degradation/Dredging Impacts examines the
149	effects of habitat degradation and dredging activities on sturgeon and
150	paddlefish.
151	• Focal Area 2F: Water Flows, Quality, and Availability Impacts. Research
152	investigating how changes in water flow, quality, and availability impact

153	sturgeon habitats, migration, and reproductive success, particularly under
154	changing climate conditions.
155	• Research Category 3: Population Research, Monitoring, and Management Efforts
156	focuses on developing adaptive management tools, monitoring population trends,
157	and securing funding and resources to support ongoing research and conservation
158	efforts for sturgeon and paddlefish populations. It is comprised of the following
159	research focal areas:
160	 Focal Area 3A: Sensitive Species Adaptive Management, Decision
161	Support, and Recovery Planning. Research for developing adaptive
162	management and decision-support tools to guide conservation strategies
163	and ensure the recovery of sensitive sturgeon and paddlefish populations.
164	• Focal Area 3B: Sensitive Species Monitoring. Research for monitoring
165	and tracking population trends, habitat use, and the health of sturgeon and
166	paddlefish populations.
167	 Focal Area 3C: Research Funding and Resources. This emphasis area
168	focuses on the importance of securing funding and resources, as well as
169	fostering collaboration to support ongoing research and conservation
170	initiatives for sturgeon and paddlefish.
171	3. Data and Information Needs. This section focuses on the development of a national data
172	and research network and identifies critical areas requiring further research and
173	investigation.
174	
175	ON THE NEED FOR STURGEON AND PADDLEFISH RESEARCH
176	Sturgeons and paddlefish, ancient species that have existed for over 200 million years, are

facing unprecedented threats that have brought them to the brink of extinction. Research into their conservation and management is critically important due to the multifaceted challenges they face. Most species of sturgeon and paddlefish are threatened with extinction, primarily due to overfishing and habitat degradation and compounded by their slow reproductive rates and specific habitat requirements. White and lake sturgeon, while facing conservation challenges, are relatively secure.

184 THREATS AND CHALLENGES

Overfishing. Sturgeon populations have been historically overexploited for their valuable roe,
flesh, and other products. The high demand for caviar has led to unsustainable fishing practices
that have decimated populations. Despite regulations, illegal fishing and bycatch continue to
pose significant threats to sturgeon and paddlefish. Many populations have not recovered from
past overfishing, and ongoing pressures exacerbate their decline.

Habitat Loss and Degradation. The proliferation of dams across waterways has severely
impacted sturgeon and paddlefish by blocking access to critical spawning habitats, altering
natural water flow patterns, and changing temperature regimes essential for reproductive success.
For instance, the construction of the Holyoke Dam on the Connecticut River and the Edwards

194 Dam on the Kennebec River has had significant impacts on local sturgeon populations.

Industrial and Municipal Development. Urbanization and industrial activities along river
 systems have led to habitat encroachment and pollution. These activities degrade the water
 quality and reduce the availability of suitable habitats for sturgeon and paddlefish.

Sedimentation and Dredging. Navigation and flood control projects often involve dredging, which destroys spawning grounds and nursery habitats. Sedimentation from agricultural runoff and deforestation further degrades the water quality and in-water habitats of rivers.

Pollution, Contaminants, and Diseases. Contaminants from industrial sources, including
 heavy metals and persistent organic pollutants, accumulate in the tissues of sturgeons and
 paddlefish, leading to health problems and reduced reproductive success. Nutrient runoff from
 agricultural lands leads to eutrophication, which can cause harmful algal blooms and deplete
 oxygen levels in water bodies, affecting sturgeon and paddlefish habitats. Discharges of
 untreated or partially treated sewage introduce pathogens and pollutants into rivers, further
 degrading water quality and impacting fish health.

Invasive Species. Invasive species, such as invasive carps, compete with sturgeon and
 paddlefish for food and habitat, while other invasive species may prey on their eggs and
 juveniles. Invasive species can alter the structure and function of aquatic ecosystems, making
 them less hospitable for native sturgeon and paddlefish.

Climate Change. Rising temperatures can alter the timing of spawning and the availability of
 suitable habitats, affecting the reproductive success of sturgeon and paddlefish. Climate change
 can lead to altered precipitation patterns and increased frequency of extreme weather events such

as droughts and floods impacting river flow regimes and habitat availability for all life stages of
sturgeons and paddlefish. Warming temperatures may contribute to physiological stress and
observed summertime mortality events for sturgeon species.

218

USGS ROLES AND CAPACITIES IN STURGEON AND PADDLEFISH

220 **RESEARCH**

Established by the Organic Act of March 3, 1879, the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 221 operates under the Department of the Interior (DOI). Initially tasked with classifying public 222 223 lands, examining geological structures, assessing mineral resources, and understanding the nation's geological processes, the USGS has since expanded its mission. Today, it provides vital 224 scientific insights into Earth's physical, biological, and chemical dynamics, encompassing 225 mitigation of natural disasters, management of crucial resources like water, energy, and minerals, 226 and safeguarding the overall quality of life for society. The USGS is the primary research science 227 228 agency within the DOI, employing over 8,000 individuals, including scientists, technicians, and support staff, stationed across 400 locations nationwide. Its expertise and vast data holdings in 229 earth and biological sciences make it a sought-after partner by numerous entities spanning 230 231 governmental, academic, and private sectors.

Significant strides have been made in sturgeon research by the USGS in recent decades.
However, persistent knowledge gaps hinder current conservation and management initiatives.
The dynamic nature of freshwater habitats, influenced by climatic shifts and landscape
alterations, presents ongoing challenges. Uncertainties persist regarding the impact of these
changes on river flows and associated habitats and how these factors affect the movement and
reproductive behaviors of large-bodied fishes, including sturgeon and paddlefish.

The USGS stands at the forefront of sturgeon research, uniquely positioned to undertake comprehensive multidisciplinary investigations necessary for sturgeon conservation. The agency leverages its extensive expertise internally across various USGS mission areas and externally through collaborative efforts with research partners. The USGS's research capabilities are enhanced by its use of state-of-the-art technologies enabling comprehensive studies that gather vital data essential for developing effective conservation strategies.

244

245 USGS CONTRIBUTIONS TO STURGEON AND PADDLEFISH SCIENCE AND 246 CONSERVATION

- *Comprehensive Studies*. USGS scientists conduct in-depth research on sturgeon and
 paddlefish populations, focusing on their habitats and ecological interactions. This
 includes investigations into reproductive biology, habitat use, population dynamics, and
 genetic diversity to better understand the factors driving species sustainability.
- Advanced Technologies. USGS researchers are at the forefront of developing and
 applying advanced technologies, such as telemetry, sonar, and molecular and genetic
 analyses. These innovations allow the collection of crucial data on the movement,
 behavior, and health of sturgeon and paddlefish, offering valuable insights into habitat
 preferences, migration patterns, and population structures.
- *Extensive Data Holdings*. The USGS maintains vast repositories of data in both earth and
 biological sciences. This wealth of information supports long-term monitoring efforts and
 enables researchers to identify trends, assess ecosystem health, and detect emerging
 threats to sturgeon and paddlefish populations.
- Interdisciplinary Expertise. USGS scientists integrate expertise from various
 disciplines—including biology, ecology, hydrology, toxicology, pathology, genetics,
 taxonomy, physiology, immunology, and the social sciences, ensuring a comprehensive
 understanding of the complex challenges facing sturgeon and paddlefish conservation.
- Scientific Insights and Recommendations. The USGS provides scientific insights and
 recommendations to inform policy and management decisions. This includes advising
 state and federal management agencies on activities that may impact sturgeon and
 paddlefish resources, guiding efforts to minimize potential threats.
- Decision-Support Tools. USGS scientists develop decision-support tools designed to
 inform conservation strategies and predict future scenarios. These tools integrate the
 latest research findings, helping policymakers and resource managers make informed,
 data-driven decisions to support the conservation and sustainable management of
 sturgeon and paddlefish populations.
- *National and International Partnerships*. The USGS fosters collaborations with a wide
 range of partners, including federal, state, and provincial agencies, Tribal Nations,
 academic institutions, industry stakeholders, and non-governmental organizations. These

- partnerships enhance research initiatives and ensure that scientific findings are effectivelyintegrated into policy and conservation practices.
- Global Conservation Efforts. The USGS plays a key role in global sturgeon conservation
 by working across interjurisdictional boundaries. Through international collaborations,
 the USGS shares expertise, data, and resources to address global challenges in sturgeon
 conservation, ensuring the preservation of these species for future generations. Notable
 examples include partnerships in Georgia, focusing on sonar and genetics research for
 ESA and CITES-listed Eurasian taxa, and in Canada, where the USGS contributes to
 genetic studies of Atlantic Sturgeon.

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

CASE EXAMPLE

Gulf of Maine Sturgeon Research Collaboration. This long-term initiative involves
researchers from the USGS, University of Maine, New England University, the MaineDepartment of Marine Resources, and the United States Navy. It focuses on documenting inter-
basin movements, spawning success, and critical habitats of sturgeon. Support comes from
various stakeholders, including state and federal conservation agencies, non-profit organizations,
local authorities, and the ACT/MATOS acoustic tag network.

293

294 USGS SCIENCE CENTERS

The USGS's extensive expertise, advanced laboratory facilities, and collaborative approach position it uniquely to address the complex challenges facing sturgeon and paddlefish conservation. USGS Science Centers encompass various science specializations aimed at advancing scientific knowledge and understanding.

USGS Ecological Science Centers. These centers study the intricate dynamics of
 ecosystems, including biodiversity, ecological processes, and interactions between
 organisms and their environments. Research generated informs policy decisions and land
 management practices, contributing to the conservation and sustainable management of
 natural resources.

USGS Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Units. Established in 1935 and integrated
 into the USGS in the mid-1990s, there are 43 units distributed across 41 states. Each unit
 represents a collaboration among the USGS, a designated host university, one or more

- state agencies, and the Wildlife Management Institute. These units serve as pivotal hubs
 for collaborative research endeavors, fostering partnerships between natural resource
 agencies.
- USGS Water Science Centers. These centers play a crucial role in understanding and
 managing water resources. They conduct research, monitor water quality, assess
 hydrological trends, and provide vital data for informed decision-making regarding water
 usage, conservation, and environmental protection.
- USGS Climate Adaptation Science Centers. Focused on researching and developing
 strategies to mitigate and adapt to the impacts of climate change, these centers conduct
 interdisciplinary studies to understand climate dynamics, predict future trends, and devise
 adaptive measures to safeguard ecosystems, wildlife, and human communities.
- 318

319 USGS NATIONAL PROGRAM AREAS

320 Ecosystems Mission Area

The USGS Ecosystems Mission Area provides scientific information critical for understanding the health, status, and trends of the nation's ecosystems and the biodiversity they support. This area integrates biological research with ecosystem management to ensure the sustainable use of natural resources. Key components related to sturgeon and paddlefish include:

- Species Management Research Program. Focuses on the conservation and restoration of
 sturgeon and paddlefish species and populations, ensuring their long-term survival and
 genetic diversity. This program supports research that informs conservation strategies
 across a range of species.
- Biological Threats and Invasive Species Research Program. Conducts research on
 invasive species and pathogens that pose significant risks to sturgeon and paddlefish.
- *Environmental Health Research Program*. Evaluates the impact of contaminants and
 pathogens on sturgeon and paddlefish, ensuring that water quality and ecosystem health
 are conducive to the sustainability of these species.
- Land Management Research Program. Conducts research related to land use and
 conservation, ensuring that critical habitats for sturgeon and paddlefish remain intact
 amidst land use and development pressures and changes.

- Cooperative Research Units. A network of partnerships between USGS, universities, and
 state agencies, focusing on state agencies research needs for fisheries.
- Climate Adaptation Science Centers. Assess how ecosystems, including fisheries, adapt
 to climate variability and change. These centers conduct research to understand the
 impacts of climate change on aquatic species like sturgeon and paddlefish, including their
 habitat needs, migration patterns, and population health.
- 343

344 Water Resources Mission Area

The USGS Water Resources Mission Area provides comprehensive data on the nation's water resources, informing water management, policy decisions, and public safety. Research focuses on water quantity, quality, distribution, and availability, which are essential for the habitats of sturgeon and paddlefish.

- Groundwater and Streamflow Information Program. Delivers real-time data on water
 levels and streamflow, crucial for maintaining healthy ecosystems that support sturgeon
 and paddlefish.
- National Water Quality Program. Monitors water quality across the nation, including key
 habitats for sturgeon and paddlefish. This program helps identify pollution sources and
 provides data to help mitigate the impact of contaminants on these sensitive species.
- *Water Availability and Use Science Program*. Evaluates the availability of water for sturgeon and paddlefish habitats, helping to evaluate if sufficient water flows exist to support critical ecological processes, such as spawning and foraging.
- Water Resources Research Act Program. Supports critical research that addresses water related challenges impacting sturgeon and paddlefish habitats. This program funds
 projects that focus on understanding and improving water availability, quality, and
- 361 management, which are essential for maintaining healthy aquatic ecosystems.
- 362

363 Core Science Systems Mission Area

364The Core Science Systems Mission Area provides foundational data and scientific tools essential

365 for supporting a wide range of research and decision-making processes. Its programs are critical

366 for mapping, monitoring, and understanding the physical, biological, and geographical aspects of

367 ecosystems, including those affecting sturgeon and paddlefish conservation.

- Science Analytics and Synthesis Program. Provides comprehensive data integration,
 advanced analytical tools, and robust data management practices, enabling researchers to
 synthesize vast amounts of ecological data and make informed decisions for the
 conservation and management of these vital species.
- National Geospatial Program. Provides advanced data integration and analytical tools
 that allow researchers to synthesize large datasets, including ecological data on sturgeon
 and paddlefish.
- 375
- 376

377

SECTION 2: OVERVIEW OF NORTH AMERICAN ACIPENSERIFORMES

379

378

INTRODUCTION TO NORTH AMERICAN STURGEON AND PADDLEFISH

Sturgeons and paddlefish belong to an ancient lineage of fishes known as Acipenseriformes, 381 which dates back to the Lower Jurassic period, over 200 million years ago. These species have 382 survived multiple global extinction events, retaining many of their primitive features. 383 Acipenseriformes are divided into two families. Acipenseridae (sturgeons) and Polyodontidae 384 (paddlefish). Sturgeons are characterized by their elongated bodies covered with bony scutes, 385 long snouts, and sensory barbels. They possess a largely cartilaginous skeleton with a bony skull 386 and pectoral girdle. Paddlefish, on the other hand, lack scales and scutes, and are distinguished 387 by their elongated, paddle-like rostrum. They have a similar cartilaginous skeleton structure, 388 with bone only in the jaw. This ancient lineage is known for its evolutionary stability, having 389 changed little over millions of years. Sturgeons and paddlefish exhibit unique and diverse life 390 391 histories that have adapted to their respective habitats over millennia. These species are primarily 392 found in temperate regions of the northern hemisphere, inhabiting freshwater, estuarine, and coastal environments. Their life histories are typically characterized by long lifespans, late sexual 393 394 maturity, and intermittent spawning.

Sturgeon Life Histories. Sturgeons are known for their complex reproductive behaviors. Most
 species are anadromous, meaning they migrate from the sea into freshwater rivers to spawn.
 Spawning typically occurs in the spring, with females releasing thousands of eggs over gravel or

rocky substrates while males release sperm to fertilize them. Sturgeon larvae drift downstream to calmer waters where they grow and develop. They exhibit slow growth rates and can live for several decades, with some species, such as lake sturgeon, known to live over a century. As benthic feeders, sturgeons consume a variety of invertebrates and small fish, using their barbels to detect prey in the substrate. Their unique physiology allows them to tolerate low oxygen levels and be able to survive when exposed to challenging aquatic environments.

Paddlefish Life Histories. Paddlefish are also known for their distinctive reproductive 404 behaviors. They are primarily found in large river systems with strong currents. Spawning occurs 405 in the spring, often triggered by rising water levels, with females releasing eggs that adhere to 406 submerged vegetation and other structures. Paddlefish grow rapidly compared to sturgeons and 407 have a relatively shorter lifespan, typically ranging from 20 to 30 years. Research suggests that 408 northern populations of Paddlefish exhibit significantly longer life spans compared to their 409 southern counterparts. Scarnecchia et al. (2011) documented life spans of 40-50 years in the 410 Yellowstone-Sakakawea Paddlefish stocks, with females reaching prime reproductive ages 411 between 25 and 40 years. They transition from particulate feeding as juveniles to ram-filter 412 feeding as adults, relying on gill rakers to filter plankton from the water. Paddlefish are filter 413 feeders, primarily consuming zooplankton and small invertebrates. Their elongated rostrum is 414 equipped with electroreceptors that help detect prey items in murky waters. 415

Together, sturgeons and paddlefish play vital ecological roles in their respective ecosystems. 416 417 As large-bodied, long-lived species, they contribute to nutrient cycling and energy flow within aquatic environments. Their presence influences the structure and function of aquatic 418 419 communities, and they serve as indicators of ecosystem health. Despite their ecological significance, sturgeons and paddlefish face numerous threats that have led to drastic declines in 420 421 their populations. Habitat degradation, overfishing, pollution, and climate change are some of the major factors contributing to their precarious status. Sturgeons are threatened by overfishing, 422 particularly for their roe, which is highly valued as caviar. Habitat loss due to dam construction, 423 water pollution, and climate change further exacerbates their decline. Efforts to conserve 424 sturgeon populations include habitat restoration, implementation of fishing regulations, captive 425 breeding programs, and the construction of fish passage facilities at dams. Paddlefish face threats 426 from habitat fragmentation caused by dams, pollution, and competition with non-native species 427 428 like invasive carps. Overfishing for their roe and flesh also poses significant challenges.

429 Conservation measures for paddlefish include habitat protection, pollution control, regulation of430 fishing practices, and reintroduction programs in areas where populations have declined.

- 431
- 432

Alabama Sturgeon (Scaphirhyncus suttkusi)

Distribution and Range. The range of the Alabama sturgeon (Scaphirhynchus suttkusi) is 433 limited to the Mobile Basin in Alabama and Mississippi. Historically, its range included the 434 435 Coosa, Cahaba, Alabama, Black Warrior, Mobile, Tensaw, Tallapoosa, and Tombigbee rivers (Williams & Clemmer, 1991; USFWS, 1994). Since about 1985, confirmed records of the 436 Alabama sturgeon have primarily been from the free-flowing portion of the Alabama River 437 below the Claiborne (Millers Ferry) Lock and Dam, downstream to the mouth of the Tombigbee 438 439 River in Clarke, Monroe, and Wilcox counties. A notable exception is a collection made in 1996 from approximately 60 miles above Claiborne (USFWS, 1994; Mayden & Kuhajda, 1996). 440

Conservation Status. Conservation efforts for the Alabama sturgeon are focused on several 441 key areas to increase its population and preserve its genetic diversity. Ongoing initiatives include 442 a captive breeding program, which, despite ongoing efforts, has yet to achieve success. The goal 443 of this program is to propagate individuals for eventual release into the wild to bolster the natural 444 445 population. Habitat protection is another crucial aspect, involving the mitigation of dam operations' impacts, pollution control, and the restoration of degraded habitats. Additionally, 446 efforts are underway to conserve the genetic diversity of the Alabama sturgeon population, 447 which is critical for the species' long-term viability. 448

449 Unique Life Histories. The Alabama sturgeon is a species of significant evolutionary interest due to its limited distribution and specialized habitat requirements. Historically, this species 450 occupied a variety of riverine environments but is now largely restricted to a small segment of its 451 former range. Its life history characteristics include delayed sexual maturity and spawning 452 453 intervals that likely contribute to its vulnerability. Sturgeons, including the Alabama sturgeon, 454 typically have long lifespans and intermittent spawning patterns, which are adapted to variable environments but are less suited to the heavily fragmented and hydrologically altered conditions 455 of modern river systems. 456

Status and Threats. The Alabama sturgeon is one of the rarest fish species in the United
States, and its population status is critically endangered. Efforts by state and federal fishery
biologists from the spring of 1997 through the spring of 2001 resulted in the capture of only four

Alabama sturgeon, further substantiating the species' rarity (Parauka, 2004). The species was
listed as endangered in 2000 due to its apparent inability to sustain a viable population (Parauka,
2004). The primary threats to the Alabama sturgeon include habitat fragmentation and
degradation, overfishing, and competition with invasive species. Currently, there is a lack of
physical captures in recent years and there are no known monitoring efforts identified
specifically targeting the Alabama sturgeon.

466 *Future Research Needs.* Research is ongoing to develop a comprehensive understanding of 467 the life history and habitat needs of the Alabama sturgeon. This information is vital for informing 468 conservation strategies and implementing adaptive management practices. Conservationists are 469 focusing on gathering data to tailor adaptive management strategies specifically to the needs of 470 the Alabama sturgeon and its habitat, ensuring the species' survival and recovery.

- 471
- 472

American Paddlefish (Polyodon spathula)

Distribution and Range. The American paddlefish (Polyodon spathula) is found in the 473 freshwater systems of North America, primarily within the Mississippi River basin. Its 474 distribution extends from southwestern New York to central Montana and south to Louisiana 475 (Grady, 2004). Additionally, the species inhabits the Gulf Slope drainages from the Mobile Basin 476 in Alabama, primarily below the Fall Line (Mettee et al., 1996), to Galveston Bay in Texas (Page 477 & Burr, 1991). Historically, the American paddlefish had a broader range, including occurrences 478 in Canada, specifically in Lake Huron and Lake Helen, and across 26 to 27 states in the United 479 States (Parker, 1988; Graham, 1997). However, the species is now believed to be extirpated in 480 481 Canada, with no records since the early 1900s (Parker, 1988).

Conservation Status. The 1992 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service status review concluded that
 listing the American paddlefish as threatened under the Endangered Species Act was not
 warranted. However, the species is currently listed as vulnerable on the International Union for
 Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List due to a perceived overall population size reduction of
 at least 30% within the next 10 years or three generations. This potential decline is attributed to
 exploitation, introduced taxa, pollutants, competitors, or parasites (Grady, 2004).
 Unique Life Histories. American paddlefish are filter feeders, primarily consuming

zooplankton. They are distinguished by their unique paddle-shaped rostrum, which aids indetecting planktonic prey in murky waters through electroreception. Paddlefish have a long

lifespan, often exceeding 30 years, and exhibit slow growth rates. They spawn in the spring, with
rising water levels typically triggering the spawning process. Eggs are deposited over submerged
vegetation or structures with flow, where they adhere until hatching.

Status and Threats. Despite being widespread, the American paddlefish faces several 494 significant threats. The demand for caviar has led to both legal and illegal harvests, which have 495 adversely impacted paddlefish populations. Additionally, increasing populations of exotic 496 invasive carp species compete with paddlefish for food and habitat (Grady, 2004). Although 497 competition, predation, and disease were not considered limiting factors in the 1992 review 498 (USFWS, 1992), habitat and water quality concerns remain significant threats in several 499 locations, particularly due to the presence of dams and pollution. While these challenges persist, 500 many habitats previously occupied by paddlefish remain viable. The enforcement of U.S. laws, 501 such as the Clean Water Act and the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, along with ongoing and 502 future habitat improvement efforts aimed at benefiting pallid sturgeon breeding and nursery 503 habitats, should also benefit the paddlefish. 504

505 *Future Research Needs.* Research on American paddlefish has identified several key areas 506 that require further exploration to ensure effective conservation and management. Understanding 507 the drift distance of larvae and the factors affecting recruitment, particularly in reservoirs, is 508 crucial for managing paddlefish populations. Additionally, the use of side-scan, down-scan, and 509 live-imaging sonar in monitoring paddlefish populations is an area of active research. Further 510 study is needed to assess the impact of sonar technology on the species' vulnerability to 511 exploitation, as this could have significant implications for their conservation.

512

513

CASE EXAMPLE

Sonar Estimation of Paddlefish. This research engages partners from several institutions and 514 agencies including USGS Cooperative Research Unit at Oklahoma State University, USGS 515 Columbia Environmental Research Center, and Oklahoma Department of Wildlife Conservation. 516 Utilizing wild and stocked populations of American paddlefish in Oklahoma and Missouri, this 517 research aims to refine estimates of American paddlefish using down-scan and side-scan sonar. 518 With semi-autonomous kayaks, which can be programmed to follow the same sampling transects 519 a fixed distance apart, estimates of detection can be made, which will allow for more precise 520 estimates of abundance. 521

522 523

Atlantic Sturgeon (Acipenser oxyrinchus oxyrinchus)

Distribution and Range. Atlantic sturgeon (Acipenser oxyrinchus oxyrinchus) is the second 524 largest sturgeon species in North America. This anadromous species inhabits the Atlantic coastal 525 526 waters of Canada and the United States, with suitable spawning rivers in both countries. Historically, Atlantic sturgeon ranged along the Canadian and U.S. Atlantic Coast from Labrador 527 to Florida. Although significantly reduced from historic levels, breeding populations still exist in 528 at least 14 Atlantic Coast rivers in the U.S. (from Maine to Georgia) and several more in Canada, 529 including the St. Lawrence and St. John rivers (St. Pierre, 1999). Efforts are ongoing to 530 document additional spawning populations in other rivers. A 40-year harvest moratorium for 531 Atlantic sturgeon was enacted in 1998 with the goal of protecting 20 year-classes of females in 532 each spawning stock (NMFS, 1998a). Despite the moratorium in the U.S., a fishery for Atlantic 533 sturgeon still exists in Canada, where exports, mostly to the U.S., are ongoing (Smith & 534 Clugston, 1997; Williamson, 2003). Atlantic sturgeon was one of the first sturgeon species to be 535 cultured in North America, with experimental restocking efforts conducted in the Hudson River 536 and a tributary of the Chesapeake Bay (NMFS, 1998a; St. Pierre, 1999; Peterson et al., 2000; 537 Secor et al., 2000). 538

Conservation Status. All U.S. populations of Atlantic sturgeon are currently listed under the 539 Endangered Species Act. These populations are divided into five distinct population segments 540 (DPSs): Gulf of Maine DPS (listed as threatened), New York Bight DPS (listed as endangered), 541 Chesapeake Bay DPS (listed as endangered), Carolina DPS (listed as endangered), and South 542 543 Atlantic DPS (listed as endangered). A thorough understanding of the abundance and distribution of Atlantic sturgeon remains lacking (NMFS, 1998a) and has been identified by the Atlantic 544 States Marine Fisheries Commission as a high-priority research need. Recent efforts by 545 researchers like Wippelhauser et al., (2017) have identified potential critical habitats, seasonal 546 547 distributions, and detailed movements of Gulf of Maine Atlantic sturgeon, including the use of reconnected river habitats following dam removal. 548

549 Unique Life Histories. Atlantic sturgeon exhibit philopatric behavior, meaning they tend to 550 return to their natal rivers to spawn. This behavior results in genetically distinct spawning 551 populations with minimal intermixing between populations. Notably, at least three rivers host 552 separate, genetically distinct spring and fall spawning runs. The species' reliance on specific 553 habitats for different life stages underscores the importance of preserving their spawning grounds 554 and migratory routes.

Status and Threats. Research on Atlantic sturgeon has concentrated on various aspects, 555 including population dynamics, migration patterns, habitat requirements, physiology, and 556 557 conservation strategies. Telemetry and genetic studies have provided insights into the migratory behaviors and population structures of Atlantic sturgeon, helping to delineate the movements of 558 different DPSs and inform conservation strategies aimed at protecting critical habitats and 559 migratory corridors. Research has also identified key spawning and feeding habitats essential for 560 the survival of Atlantic sturgeon, highlighting the importance of effective habitat restoration and 561 protection efforts. Studies on the physiological adaptations of Atlantic sturgeon, such as their 562 tolerance to seawater and energy regulation, have informed conservation strategies. Recent 563 research by McCormick et al. (2020) and Shaughnessy et al. (2023) has clarified the hormonal 564 control of seawater tolerance and energy regulation mechanisms in Atlantic sturgeon. 565 Future Research Needs. Despite significant advancements in understanding Atlantic 566 sturgeon, substantial knowledge gaps remain. Improved methodologies are needed to accurately 567 assess the abundance and distribution of Atlantic sturgeon populations, which can enhance 568 population monitoring, track the effectiveness of conservation measures, and identify emerging 569 570 threats. Additionally, further research is required to evaluate the effectiveness of current conservation measures and to develop new strategies to mitigate threats such as habitat 571 degradation, pollution, and climate change. The potential impact of climate change on estuarine 572 salinity and saltwater intrusion into riverine systems utilized by Atlantic sturgeon necessitates a 573 574 deeper understanding of essential physiological functions. Advancing research on the species' physiological responses to changing environmental conditions is critical for developing adaptive 575 576 management strategies. Moreover, continued efforts to restore and protect critical habitats, particularly spawning and nursery areas, are vital for the recovery of Atlantic sturgeon 577 578 populations. Research on the success of habitat restoration projects, such as dam removals, will provide valuable insights for future initiatives. 579

- 580
- 581

Green Sturgeon (Acipenser medirostris)

Distribution and Range. The Green sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*) is distributed along the Pacific coast of North America, extending from Mexico to southeast Alaska. These fish predominantly inhabit marine and estuarine environments, where they are relatively abundant (Houston, 1988; Moyle et al., 1995). However, their freshwater range has been significantly

reduced due to the construction of dams, which have limited access to traditional spawning
habitats in various rivers (Moyle, 2002; St. Pierre & Campbell, 2006).

588 *Conservation Status.* Green sturgeon populations have experienced significant declines,

primarily due to habitat fragmentation caused by dam construction, which restricts access to vital

spawning grounds and alters river flow regimes critical for reproductive success (Moyle, 2002;

591 St. Pierre & Campbell, 2006). Harvest levels of green sturgeon have also decreased dramatically,

influenced by more restrictive state regulations and bycatch in commercial fisheries. From 1985

to 1989, the annual harvest was 6,871 fish, which dropped to 1,192 fish by 1999–2001 (St. Pierre

& Campbell, 2006). Klamath Tribal fisheries accounted for an average annual catch of 266 adult

fish between 1986 and 2001, while bycatch in British Columbia's domestic trawl fishery remains

around 171 fish annually (Adams et al., 2002; Davies, 2004).

597 *Unique Life Histories*. Green sturgeon are anadromous, migrating from the ocean to

598 freshwater rivers for spawning. Documented spawning occurs in three North American rivers:

the Rogue River in Oregon (Erickson et al., 2002; Rien et al., 2001), and the Klamath and

600 Sacramento River systems in California (EPIC, 2001; Adams et al., 2002; Moyle et al., 1992,

1994). Historically, spawning populations existed in the San Joaquin, Eel, South Fork, Trinity,

and Umpqua rivers, but they are now believed to be extirpated from these locations (EPIC, 2001;NMFS, 2002).

Status and Threats. Green sturgeon face numerous threats, including habitat fragmentation 604 605 from dam construction and bycatch in commercial fisheries. These factors have led to significant declines in their populations. Seasonal variations in abundance are observed in several estuaries, 606 607 such as the Sacramento-San Joaquin, Eel, Columbia, Willapa Bay, Grays Harbor, and Fraser River (Beamesderfer & Webb, 2002). Harvest reductions are attributed to more restrictive 608 609 regulations, including slot limits that allow the retention of green sturgeon captured as bycatch only if they measure between 122 and 168 cm (St. Pierre & Campbell, 2006). Additionally, the 610 sport catch of green sturgeon in California is minimal, with few fish caught each year (Adams et 611 al., 2002). 612

Future Research Needs. Significant research is being conducted to better understand the
ecology and behavior of green sturgeon, particularly through telemetry studies by organizations
such as the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.C. Davis, California Department of Fish and
Wildlife, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the California Department of Water Resources.

These studies focus on juvenile and adult green sturgeon behavior in the Sacramento River, 617 providing valuable insights into their movement patterns and habitat use. However, there remain 618 619 considerable gaps in our knowledge that future research should prioritize. Studies on habitat preferences and migration patterns are crucial to inform restoration and conservation efforts. 620 Research on reproductive biology, including spawning behaviors, fecundity, and larval 621 development, is needed to better understand reproductive success and challenges. Additionally, 622 investigations into the impact of environmental stressors, such as pollutants, temperature 623 changes, and flow alterations, on green sturgeon health and reproduction are essential for 624 developing effective management strategies. Finally, long-term monitoring of population 625 dynamics and genetic diversity is necessary to assess the effectiveness of conservation measures 626 and identify emerging threats. 627

- 628
- 629

Gulf Sturgeon (Acipenser oxyrinchus desotoi)

Distribution and Range. The Gulf sturgeon (*Acipenser oxyrinchus desotoi*) is a subspecies of Atlantic sturgeon, inhabits the Gulf of Mexico's coastal waters, ranging from Lake Pontchartrain in Louisiana south to Charlotte Harbor in Florida. Their natal river systems span seven major river basins, including the Pearl River in Louisiana, Pascagoula River in Mississippi, and Suwannee River in Florida, among others (St. Pierre & Parauka, 2006; USGS unpublished data). The total range encompasses approximately 2,500 kilometers of river systems and 1,500 kilometers of coastline.

As with other sturgeon species, the construction of dams, sills, and other water control
structures has restricted inland migrations of Gulf sturgeon in many of the aquatic systems they
occupy. These barriers prevent access to critical spawning and feeding habitats, significantly
impacting their life cycles and population dynamics.

641 *Conservation Status.* Gulf sturgeon were listed as threatened under the Endangered Species 642 Act in 1991, with population estimates revealing varied levels of population health across 643 different river systems. The Suwannee River hosts the most viable subpopulation, with an 644 estimated 7,650 to 9,743 individuals larger than 1,000 mm TL (Sulak et al., 2016; USFWS & 645 NMFS, 2022). This subpopulation is notable for containing two genetically distinct stocks, 646 which contribute to the overall abundance estimate. However, more research is needed to 647 determine the population abundance for each independent stock (Zona, 2023; Price et al., 2024,

648 in review). The Choctawhatchee River has shown consistent estimates of 2,500 to 3,300

649 individuals since 2007 (USFWS & NMFS, 2022). The Apalachicola River has seen increased

estimates since the mid-1980s, with current numbers ranging from 750 to 1,300 adults, though a

major hurricane in 2018 significantly impacted the population (Dula et al., 2022). In the Yellow

River, estimates range from 398 to 867 adults based on side-scan sonar surveys conducted in

2011 and 2012 (Sulak et al., 2016; USFWS & NMFS, 2022). The Escambia River population

was estimated at 372 adults in 2015 (Sulak et al., 2016; USFWS & NMFS, 2022). Finally, the

Pearl and Pascagoula rivers have populations estimated at less than 400 individuals greater than
600 mm FL (Rogillio et al., 2001; Ross et al., 2001).

657 *Genetic Diversity.* Stabile et al. (1996) examined the genetic diversity of Gulf sturgeon from 658 eight drainages along the Gulf of Mexico, noting significant differences among stocks. Five 659 regional or river-specific stocks were identified. Lake Pontchartrain and Pearl River, Pascagoula 660 River, Escambia and Yellow rivers, Choctawhatchee River, Apalachicola, Ochlockonee, and 661 Suwannee rivers. These genetic distinctions highlight the complexity of Gulf sturgeon 662 populations and underscore the importance of managing each stock separately to maintain 663 genetic diversity and population health.

Threats and Challenges. Similar to other sturgeon species, Gulf sturgeon face a variety of 664 threats and challenges. Dam construction restricts access to vital spawning and feeding habitats, 665 while dredging and dredge spoil disposal disrupt habitats and can cause direct harm to 666 667 individuals. Water withdrawals, including groundwater extraction and surface water withdrawals for irrigation, alter flow regimes that are critical for sturgeon habitats. Pollution from industrial 668 669 contaminants adversely affects fish health and reproductive success (St. Pierre & Parauka, 2006). Additionally, natural disasters, such as hurricanes, can lead to significant population declines due 670 671 to acute mortality events (Dula et al., 2022). To address these challenges, a recovery and management plan for Gulf sturgeon has been developed, identifying necessary state and federal 672 actions to allow for de-listing. This plan includes the designation of "critical habitat" 673 encompassing 2,783 river kilometers and 6,042 square kilometers of estuarine and marine habitat 674 (USFWS & NMFS, 2022). 675

Future Research Needs. Research on Gulf sturgeon has advanced our understanding of their
 biology and ecology, but several knowledge gaps remain. Further research is needed to better
 understand the connectivity between different population segments and its implications for

genetic diversity. Additionally, more studies are required to evaluate the long-term effects of 679 human activities, such as dam construction and pollution, on Gulf sturgeon habitats. Continuous 680 681 monitoring and assessment are necessary to determine the effectiveness of implemented conservation strategies and to adapt them as needed. While tagging, hydroacoustic surveys, and 682 side-scan sonar surveys have provided valuable insights into migration patterns and habitat use, 683 more data are needed to refine these understandings. Finally, investigations into reproductive 684 biology have confirmed spring and fall spawning events driven by distinct genetic stocks in 685 certain rivers, highlighting the need for further research to fully understand these dynamics. 686 687

688

Lake Sturgeon (Acipenser fulvescens)

Distribution and Range. Lake sturgeon (Acipenser fulvescens) are widely distributed across 689 North America, residing exclusively in freshwater environments. Their historical range spans the 690 Mississippi River and tributaries, the Great Lakes, Lake Champlain, the St. Lawrence River, 691 Hudson Bay-James Bay, and the Saskatchewan River (Pflieger, 1975; Becker, 1983; Ferguson & 692 Duckworth, 1997). In the Mississippi Basin, lake sturgeon are currently found from Minnesota's 693 headwaters to northern Louisiana, extending up the Missouri River into southern South Dakota, 694 695 and the Ohio River as well as major tributaries such as the White River (Indiana) and Cumberland and Tennessee rivers. There is no known natural exchange of stocks between the 696 Great Lakes and western Canadian provinces and those of the Mississippi River basin, although 697 some stockings in the Mississippi River basin have included lake sturgeon of Great Lakes Basin 698 699 origin.

Conservation Status. The IUCN SSC Sturgeon Specialist Group assessed the conservation 700 status of lake sturgeon as Endangered in 2019, with sub-population statuses ranging from 701 Critically Endangered to Least Concern (Haxton & Bruch, 2022). Populations in the Mississippi-702 Missouri River Basin and the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence River Basin are significantly reduced 703 704 from historical levels, though most populations are considered stable. Lake sturgeon, like other sturgeon species, grow slowly, mature late, and are intermittent spawners, making them 705 particularly susceptible to over-exploitation. However, there are areas, such as Lake Winnebago, 706 WI; Black Lake, MI; and the St. Clair River, MI, where local populations are stable enough to 707 708 support limited recreational fishing. In 2024, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service announced that

following a species status assessment that the Lake Sturgeon would not be listed under the
Endangered Species Act due to ongoing collaborative conservation efforts across many states. *Unique Life Histories*. Lake sturgeon have unique life history traits that make them
particularly vulnerable to overharvest and habitat degradation. These fish grow slowly, mature
late, return to their natal streams to spawn, and are intermittent spawners. These characteristics,
combined with their specific habitat requirements often spread across large home ranges,
contribute to their sensitivity to environmental changes and human activities.

Status and Threats. Lake sturgeon populations face significant threats primarily due to 716 anthropogenic activities. Industrial pollution, dredging of shallow spawning sites, and 717 sedimentation have led to the destruction or removal of critical habitats (Rochard et al., 1990; 718 Auer, 1996; Beamesderfer & Farr, 1997; Noakes et al., 1999). Additionally, dams and 719 channelization reduce or eliminate habitat connectivity, which is crucial for accessing spawning 720 and feeding areas. The alteration of river flows and the creation of reservoirs further threaten 721 lake sturgeon subpopulations (Hondorp et al., 2017). Another concern is the direct mortality of 722 lake sturgeon due to collisions with commercial and recreational vessels, although the frequency 723 724 of fatal strikes remains unknown (Hondorp et al., 2017). Climate change poses an emerging threat, potentially affecting habitat quality and migration timing. Warming waters can disrupt the 725 temporal overlap of different phenotypes on shared spawning grounds, thereby impacting 726 intraspecific diversity (Embke et al., 2023; Buchinger et al., 2022). Lake Sturgeon are also 727 728 considered a coolwater species and research has suggested that warming temperatures in the summer that approach their critical thermal maxima may make some habitats unsuitable, alter 729 730 movement behaviors, and lead to physiological stress (Lyons & Stewart 2014; Bugg et al., 2020; Moore et al., 2021; Moore et al., 2022). 731

732 Future Research Needs. Ongoing research and conservation efforts are critical for the future of lake sturgeon populations. One key area of focus is the construction of artificial reefs to 733 remediate spawning area losses, particularly in the St. Clair and Detroit rivers, where over 8 734 hectares of rock spawning reefs were created between 2004 and 2018 (McLean et al., 2014; 735 736 Roseman et al., 2017; Manny et al., 2015; Vaccaro et al., 2016; Fischer et al., 2018, 2020, 2021). However, the impact of these restored spawning habitats on lake sturgeon production remains 737 uncertain due to challenges in linking individuals to specific natal habitats (Hondorp et al., 738 2014). In addition to habitat restoration, upstream transfer of lake sturgeon during spawning 739

season has shown promise in rivers where dam passage is impossible (Iserman et al., 2022). 740 Research into the genetic and environmental influences on migration strategies is ongoing, 741 742 providing significant insights into these dynamics (Whitaker et al., 2018; Buchinger et al., 2023b). Climate adaptation approaches are also being emphasized, particularly in planning and 743 implementing habitat restoration in the Great Lakes region (Embke et al. 2023). Furthermore, 744 reintroduction efforts are underway in several rivers with historical lake sturgeon populations. 745 including the Cuyahoga, Maumee, and Sandusky rivers in Ohio, the Saginaw River system in 746 Michigan, Missouri River in Missouri, Tennessee River in Tennessee and Cumberland River in 747 Tennessee and Kentucky, Coosa River in Georgia. However, questions remain regarding the 748 survival of stocked juveniles, time to maturation, and return to natal or stocked rivers (McKenna, 749 2023; Moore et al. 2022a). 750

- 751
- 752

Pallid Sturgeon (Scaphirhynchus albus)

Distribution and Range. The pallid sturgeon (Scaphirhynchus albus) inhabits approximately 753 5,656 river kilometers, including the Missouri River, Lower Yellowstone River, Lower Platte 754 River, and the Mississippi River near its confluence with the Missouri River, extending 755 756 downstream to the Gulf of Mexico. This range also includes the Atchafalaya River in central 757 Louisiana (Krentz, 2004; Reed & Ewing, 1993; USFWS, 2007). Additionally, pallid sturgeon have been documented near the mouths of other large tributaries of the Mississippi River, likely 758 due to short-term flow conditions (USFWS, 1989). Historically, their range was cited as "more 759 760 than 6,000 km" (Jordan et al., 2016).

Conservation Status. Pallid sturgeon were listed as endangered under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) in 1990. The primary reasons for their listing include habitat modification and fragmentation, alteration of flow and temperature regimes, illegal harvest, and hybridization with the more common shovelnose sturgeon. Since being listed as endangered, considerable research has been conducted to identify habitat occupancy and assess habitat needs and behaviors. Pallid sturgeon are also listed on CITES Appendix II.

Unique Life Histories. Pallid sturgeon exhibit unique potamodromous life history
 characteristics, including immediate downstream drift upon hatching and dispersal until
 endogenous feeding begins, which requires hundreds of miles of open river. Spawning has been
 documented in the Missouri, Yellowstone, and Platte rivers using telemetry, reproductive

assessments, and the collection of drifting free embryos. On the Yellowstone River, pallid
sturgeon have been observed spawning in aggregations on sand dunes and small gravel patches
(DeLonay et al., 2016). Similarly, in the channelized Lower Missouri River, pallid sturgeon
spawn on bank revetment, bedrock, and sand dunes on outside bends in deep and fast water

775 (Elliott et al., 2020).

Status and Threats. Population trends for pallid sturgeon range from decreasing to 776 functionally extirpated in the upper part of their range to stable, but highly introgressed, in the 777 lower part of their range. However, the population and status of pallid sturgeon in the middle 778 portion of their range remain largely unknown (Krentz, 2004), believed to be the only remaining 779 population of reproducing and recruiting pure pallid sturgeon. The construction of dams has 780 significantly altered the flow and temperature regimes and reduced turbidity in the Missouri 781 River, with extensive channelization in the lower Missouri and Mississippi rivers being primary 782 factors affecting the recovery of Pallid Sturgeon. On the Upper Missouri River, dam construction 783 has limited the length of river available for free embryo drift and development. Recovery actions 784 are identified in the Pallid Sturgeon Recovery Plan (Dryer & Sandvol, 1993). 785

Future Research Needs. Future research should focus on understanding the population size
and status of Pallid Sturgeon in the middle portion of their range, which remains largely
unknown. Additional studies are needed to further explore the impacts of habitat modification,
flow alteration, and hybridization on Pallid Sturgeon populations. Research on the effectiveness
of current conservation and recovery strategies, as well as the development of new approaches to
mitigate threats, will be critical to ensuring the survival of this endangered species.

792

793

Shortnose Sturgeon (Acipenser brevirostrum)

Distribution and Range. The shortnose sturgeon (Acipenser brevirostrum), one of North 794 America's smallest sturgeon species, typically reaches lengths of 3-4 feet and weights of 10-15 795 796 pounds. This species is characterized by a short, rounded snout and five rows of bony plates, or scutes, along its body. Historically, the shortnose sturgeon's range included many large coastal 797 798 rivers of eastern North America, from the Saint John River in New Brunswick, Canada, to at least the St. Johns River in Florida (Dadswell et al., 1984; Kynard, 1997). However, the 799 800 southernmost rivers, such as the Satilla and Saint Mary's rivers in Georgia and the Saint Johns River in Florida, do not support spawning populations. Currently, reproducing shortnose 801

sturgeon populations range from the Saint John River in New Brunswick to the Altamaha River
in Georgia, with more abundance in the northern and mid-Atlantic regions compared to southern
populations due to watershed characteristics (Rogers & Weber, 1995; Wirgin et al., 2010; Collins
et al., 2000).

Conservation Status. The shortnose sturgeon is listed as "vulnerable" on the IUCN Red List 806 of Threatened Species and is protected under Appendix I of CITES (Wirgin et al., 2010). In 807 Canada, it is classified as a "species of special concern" under COSEWIC (2005). In the United 808 States, the species was originally listed as endangered in 1967 and again in 1973 under the 809 Endangered Species Act (ESA). Population estimates vary, with approximately 60,000 adult 810 sturgeon in the Hudson River, New York (Bain, 2001), and less than 100 in the Merrimack 811 River, Massachusetts (Kieffer & Kynard, 1993). However, recent estimates suggest about 3,500 812 adults in the Merrimack River (Steel Associates). Other rivers, such as the Saint John River, 813 Kennebec River system in Maine, Connecticut River in Connecticut and Massachusetts, 814 Delaware River in New Jersey and Pennsylvania, Savannah River in South Carolina and 815 Georgia, and Altamaha River, have populations exceeding 1,000 adults, a critical threshold for 816 817 population viability (Thompson, 1991; Wirgin et al., 2010). Despite recommendations for downlisting certain populations, no shortnose sturgeon population has been downlisted to date 818 819 (NMFS, 1998b).

Unique Life Histories. Shortnose sturgeon exhibit distinct life histories influenced by the 820 821 environmental variation in the river systems they occupy across their range. Their limited size and specific habitat requirements, including the need for access to both upstream spawning 822 823 grounds and downstream feeding areas, make them particularly vulnerable to environmental changes. These unique life history traits, combined with their limited range, have made them a 824 825 focus of conservation efforts aimed at preserving their populations across North America. Status and Threats. The primary threats to shortnose sturgeon, similar to other sturgeon 826 species, stem from dam construction, which impedes up- and downstream migrations, causes 827 habitat loss or degradation, and alters flow and water temperature regimes. Additional significant 828 829 threats include in-river channel maintenance, such as dredging, and pollution (Friedland & Kynard, 2004). Southern populations face particular risks due to water withdrawals and 830 eutrophication. Direct mortality sources include impingement on water intake screens, dredging, 831 and incidental capture in other fisheries (NMFS, 1998b). While incidental harvest with Atlantic 832

sturgeon is possible in Canada, there are no legal fisheries or by-catch allowances for shortnose
sturgeon in U.S. waters (Friedland & Kynard, 2004).

Future Research Needs. Future research on shortnose sturgeon should focus on
understanding the species' response to ongoing environmental changes, such as climate change
and habitat modification, to inform conservation strategies. Additionally, studies are needed to
explore the effectiveness of current protection measures and to develop new strategies to
mitigate threats from human activities. Research on the genetic diversity and connectivity
between populations could provide insights into the resilience of the species and inform efforts to
bolster vulnerable populations.

842

843

Shovelnose Sturgeon (Scaphirhynchus platorynchus)

Distribution and Range. Shovelnose sturgeon (Scaphirhynchus platorynchus) are distributed 844 throughout the Mississippi and Missouri rivers, including the lower Ohio River (Smith, 1979). 845 They are found in most of the large tributaries of the Upper Mississippi River, from Wisconsin to 846 Illinois (Surprenant, 2004). They also occur in the Arkansas River system into Oklahoma. 847 Historically, shovelnose sturgeon occupied 59 rivers, creeks, oxbows, and bayous in the 848 849 Mississippi River Basin. Shovelnose Sturgeon historically occupied the Rio Grande and Mobile basins but have been extirpated from these portions of their range. However, recent surveys 850 indicate that they are currently supported in only 44 of these waterways (Hesse & Carreiro, 851 1997). Their presence is now sporadic in the lower Tennessee and Cumberland Rivers (Etnier & 852 Starnes, 1993), but they remain most abundant in the Wabash River, Illinois (Smith, 1979). 853 Conservation Status. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service classified shovelnose sturgeon as 854 threatened due to their similarity in appearance to the endangered pallid sturgeon (United States 855 Federal Register, 2010). This listing was justified under the similarity of appearance provisions 856 857 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA). Additionally, the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) lists shovelnose sturgeon as "vulnerable" (Surprenant, 2004). The IUCN 858 designation is based on a range reduction of approximately 30% and a projected population size 859 reduction of 30% or more within the next 10 years or three generations, primarily due to actual 860 or potential levels of exploitation (Surprenant, 2004). 861 862 Unique Life Histories. Shovelnose sturgeon, like other sturgeon species, exhibit unique life

863 histories, including extensive spawning migrations. These migrations have been historically

essential for accessing diverse spawning grounds across their range. However, the construction
of dams has significantly disrupted these patterns, leading to isolated populations and a loss of
genetic diversity. The species' resilience is further tested by changes in river flow, turbidity, and
temperature, which directly affect their reproductive success and survival (Dryer & Sandvol,
1993).

Status and Threats. The decline of shovelnose sturgeon has been significantly influenced by 869 the construction of locks and dams for navigation, which block access to ancestral spawning 870 grounds. Similar to other sturgeon species, dams have impeded spawning migrations, resulting in 871 isolated populations, degraded rearing and spawning habitats, and altered flow, turbidity, and 872 temperature regimes (Dryer & Sandvol, 1993). Additionally, water withdrawals for irrigation and 873 public water supply have further impacted shovelnose sturgeon habitats (Surprenant, 2004). 874 These combined factors have led to a significant reduction in the species' range and population. 875 Climate change may be an additional threat to southern populations in shallow rivers as water 876 temperatures exceeding 30 degrees C have been implicated as potential causes of mass mortality 877 events of shovelnose sturgeon (Hupfeld et al., 2015; Deslauriers et al., 2016). 878

Future Research Needs. To effectively conserve shovelnose sturgeon, future research needs 879 to focus on restoring connectivity in river systems to facilitate spawning migrations. Studies 880 should also examine the impact of altered river flows on sturgeon life cycles and explore the 881 genetic diversity of isolated populations to inform conservation strategies. Additionally, research 882 883 on the potential effects of climate change on river ecosystems and sturgeon habitats will be crucial for long-term species survival. Effective management and conservation efforts will 884 885 require a comprehensive understanding of these factors to mitigate ongoing threats and ensure the persistence of shovelnose sturgeon populations. 886

887

888

White Sturgeon (Acipenser transmontanus)

Distribution and Range. The range of white sturgeon (*Acipenser transmontanus*) spans the
 estuaries, rivers, and near-shore environments of the Pacific coast from Alaska to Baja
 California. They primarily spawn in the Columbia-Snake River system, Fraser River, and
 Sacramento-San Joaquin River. The largest populations of white sturgeon are found along the
 west coast of the United States, particularly within the Sacramento-San Joaquin and Columbia Snake River basins. Additional populations exist in the Kootenai River in the United States and

Canada (Paragamian et al., 1997), the Fraser River drainage in Canada (RL&L Environmental
Services Ltd., 2000), and the Columbia River between Hugh Keenleyside Dam and the CanadaU.S. border (Duke et al., 2004). While populations are considered stable in the lower reaches of
the Columbia River (Hildebrand et al., 1999; Parsley et al., 2002), declines have been observed
in the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary (Kolhorst, 1995). In Canada, the most abundant
populations are found in the Fraser River system.

Conservation Status. White sturgeon are listed on CITES Appendix II, highlighting their 901 need for international trade control to prevent exploitation. In 1994, the population in the U.S. 902 portion of the Kootenai River was listed as endangered under the Endangered Species Act. To 903 address the conservation needs of this population, a recovery plan was developed by a team of 904 Canadian and American scientists (Duke et al., 1999). This plan focuses on conservation 905 measures aimed at recovering the Kootenai River white sturgeon population, with objectives 906 including the establishment of successful wild reproduction through flow management and 907 stocking hatchery-reared juveniles. 908

Unique Life Histories. The construction of hydroelectric dams within the Columbia River 909 basin, starting in 1933, has led to the isolation of white sturgeon populations above these 910 barriers. Presently, there are 17 landlocked subpopulations upstream of Bonneville Dam, the 911 furthest downstream dam on the Columbia River, including the tributary Snake River (DeVore et 912 al., 1993). The productivity of these landlocked subpopulations varies significantly; some 913 914 support high populations and sustainable fisheries (DeVore et al., 1999; North et al., 1999), while others sustain no exploitation due to low recruitment and productivity (PSMFC, 1992). 915 916 Status and Threats. Despite advancements in understanding white sturgeon ecology, significant threats persist, particularly from habitat fragmentation and contaminants. 917 918 Hydroelectric development has resulted in population isolation, with varying levels of productivity among landlocked subpopulations. Additionally, contaminant-related issues, 919 especially from mining activities, pose significant threats. These contaminants can affect 920 different life stages, developmental processes, and behavior, ultimately impacting recruitment 921 922 and water quality (Werner et al., 2012; Guilherme et al., 2018; Kolok et al., 2013; Martins et al., 2015). Broader impacts of pollutants on aquatic ecosystems further compound these challenges 923 (McCarthy et al., 2014; Johnson et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014). 924

Future Research Needs. Future research should prioritize assessing population health to 925 determine the viability and genetic diversity of different white sturgeon populations. Identifying 926 927 and protecting spawning habitats crucial for successful reproduction is essential, along with evaluating the effectiveness of current conservation measures, including habitat restoration and 928 management practices. Additionally, investigating the effects of contaminants on different life 929 stages and their behavioral impacts, particularly in relation to water quality, is critical. Broader 930 studies on the impacts of pollutants on aquatic ecosystems are also necessary to address 931 significant research gaps related to contaminant exposure (Adams et al., 2013; Werner et al., 932 2012; Guilherme et al., 2018). 933

SECTION 3: USGS SCIENCE VISION FOR

STURGEON AND PADDLEFISH RESEARCH IN

THE UNITED STATES

934

935

- 936
- 937
- 938

939 INTRODUCTION

In this section, we outline a set of specific strategic research priorities for addressing research needs specific to sturgeon and paddlefish science and structured around a set of key research themes and subsequent focal research areas. The section is divided into specific research categories, including life history, ecology, threats, and habitat requirements, as well as the development of decision-support tools. Each category contains focal areas that detail research directions for USGS, including advancing genomic studies, improving habitat connectivity, and enhancing collaborative efforts to protect these species.

Often referred to as "living fossils," sturgeons and paddlefish have survived for millions of years but now face unprecedented threats from human activities. Significant advances in understanding sturgeon and paddlefish biology have revealed critical gaps in our knowledge of their life histories, population dynamics, and responses to environmental changes. A focused research vision helps USGS prioritize studies that address these gaps, helping to advance conservation efforts based on the best available science.

This vision is also a tool for guiding conservation efforts by providing an agency-specific research roadmap for assisting in identifying key habitats, assessing population health, and

implementing measures to mitigate threats such as habitat destruction, pollution, and climate
change. Enhancing policy and management is another key aspect, as policymakers and resource
managers rely on scientific evidence to make informed decisions. A comprehensive research
vision ensures that USGS research outputs are relevant and actionable and helps lay the scientific
foundation for others to develop policies and management plans that promote the long-term
sustainability of sturgeon and paddlefish populations.

Moreover, the vision promotes adaptive management, acknowledging that ecosystems are 961 dynamic and continuously changing due to natural and human-induced factors. By guiding an 962 adaptive management approach, this research vision allows for the continuous refinement of 963 research strategies based on new scientific findings and changing environmental conditions. 964 Fostering innovation and technological advances is a key objective, encouraging the 965 development and application of innovative technologies and methodologies—such as genetic 966 analyses, telemetry, and remote sensing-to improve our understanding and management of 967 these species. Finally, the need for data and information exchange and integration is emphasized, 968 ensuring that scientific findings are shared across institutions, agencies, and stakeholders to 969 970 create a coordinated approach to sturgeon and paddlefish conservation science. We feel this collaborative effort is essential for filling critical knowledge gaps, informing decision-making, 971 and effectively managing the conservation of these imperiled species in a rapidly changing 972 environment. 973

974

975 COLLABORATION AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

The success of sturgeon and paddlefish conservation efforts relies heavily on robust collaboration and active engagement with a diverse array of stakeholders and rightsholders. The USGS recognizes that addressing the complex challenges facing these species requires the collective expertise, resources, and commitment of various partners, including federal and state agencies, Tribal organizations, non-governmental organizations, academic institutions, and the private sector.

Collaboration with state, federal, and provincial agencies is crucial for aligning research
priorities and conservation efforts across different jurisdictions. Joint initiatives, such as
population and habitat monitoring programs led by interstate fisheries commissions, benefit from
the combined resources and expertise of multiple agencies, resulting in more effective and

cohesive conservation outcomes. Engaging with Tribal organizations is equally important, as 986 indigenous communities have a deep cultural and ecological connection to sturgeon and 987 paddlefish. Integrating traditional ecological knowledge into research and management practices 988 enriches our understanding of these species and fosters respectful and inclusive conservation 989 efforts. Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) play a pivotal role in advocacy, public 990 991 education, and on-the-ground conservation work. Partnering with NGOs enhances the outreach and impact of USGS research, mobilizing public support and additional resources for sturgeon 992 and paddlefish conservation. 993

Academic institutions contribute valuable scientific expertise and innovative research 994 methodologies. Collaborative research projects with academic partners facilitate knowledge 995 exchange, provide training opportunities, and advance cutting-edge science. Engaging with the 996 private sector, including industries such as fisheries, agriculture, and hydropower, is crucial for 997 addressing threats to sturgeon and paddlefish habitats. Collaborative efforts with industry 998 stakeholders can lead to the development of sustainable practices and technologies that minimize 999 1000 the environmental impact of industrial activities. Finally, sturgeon and paddlefish conservation is 1001 a global challenge, particularly for species that cross international boundaries. The USGS actively engages in international collaborations to share knowledge, harmonize conservation 1002 1003 strategies, and address transboundary threats.

1004

USGS STURGEON AND PADDLEFISH RESEARCH THEMES 1005

1006

1007

- **RESEARCH CATEGORY 1: LIFE HISTORY AND ECOLOGY**
- 1008
- 1009

FOCAL RESEARCH AREA 1A:

EARLY AND LATE LIFE HISTORIES, PHYSIOLOGY, AND REPRODUCTION 1010

1011 Introduction: Sturgeon and paddlefish, often called "living fossils," are ancient, long-lived fish species with roots dating back to the time of dinosaurs. Both species are ecologically 1012 1013 significant in North America, contributing to nutrient cycling in their aquatic environments. Sturgeon, known for their slow growth and longevity—sometimes exceeding a century—are 1014

migratory, moving between freshwater and saltwater. Their cartilaginous skeletons and sucker-1015 like mouths enable bottom feeding in rivers and lakes, and they can survive low oxygen levels, 1016 1017 which is crucial during their long migrations to spring spawning sites. Conservation efforts for sturgeons have mostly focused on protecting critical spawning habitats and controlling 1018 overfishing. Paddlefish, with their distinctive paddle-shaped snout, filter-feed on zooplankton 1019 and small invertebrates. They have shorter lifespans, typically 20 to 30 years, and their 1020 reproduction involves unique spawning behaviors, using electroreceptors on their rostrum to 1021 detect prey in murky waters. Conservation efforts for paddlefish emphasize protecting spawning 1022 grounds and managing water quality to ensure their survival. 1023

USGS Efforts to Date. The USGS has made significant strides in understanding the early and 1024 late life histories, physiology, and reproduction of sturgeon and paddlefish. Research efforts have 1025 focused on the development and survival of sturgeon larvae, the physiological adaptations that 1026 allow sturgeons to thrive in various environments, and the complex reproductive behaviors that 1027 ensure the continuation of these species. Studies have detailed the migratory patterns and 1028 spawning behaviors of sturgeons, highlighting the critical need for access to specific spawning 1029 1030 habitats. In addition, USGS research has explored the unique adaptations of paddlefish, including their electroreceptors and filter-feeding mechanisms, which are crucial for their survival in large 1031 river systems. The agency's work has also addressed the impacts of environmental changes, such 1032 as habitat fragmentation and water quality degradation, on the reproductive success and overall 1033 1034 health of these species.

Desired Future Directions. Looking ahead, the USGS aims to expand its research on the 1035 1036 physiological responses of sturgeon and paddlefish to environmental stressors, particularly in the context of climate change and pollution. This includes a deeper exploration of how these 1037 1038 stressors interact and impact reproductive success, larval development, and survival rates. Basic 1039 research on age estimation, which informs hatch estimation, growth, and longevity, is lacking for many species in part because of the lack of suitable aging structures. Otoliths of these species 1040 represent an ancient adaptation and are difficult to interpret and more integrated research may 1041 1042 make these structures more suitable. Additionally, identification of post-larval age-0 movements and habitat connectivity needs is a knowledge gap, including how the typically assumed 1043 locations (e.g., river mouths), with the associated high disturbance and lower quality 1044 1045 environmental conditions, impact foraging, survival, and recruitment. There is also a need to

enhance understanding of the genetic diversity within and among sturgeon and paddlefish
populations, which is critical for developing effective conservation strategies. Additionally, the
USGS seeks to advance the development of innovative technologies, such as telemetry and
remote sensing, to monitor these patterns and support the conservation of these ancient and
ecologically significant species.

- 1051
- 1052
- 1053
- 1054

DISTRIBUTIONS, HABITAT USE, AND HABITAT SUITABILITY

FOCAL RESEARCH AREA 1B:

Introduction: North American sturgeon and paddlefish inhabit a variety of freshwater and 1055 1056 seawater systems, including large rivers, lakes, estuaries, and coastal areas across the continent. These species exhibit distinct habitat use patterns throughout their life stages, with young fish 1057 1058 typically occupying shallow, near-shore nursery areas where food is plentiful and predation risks 1059 are reduced. As they mature, sturgeon and paddlefish transition to deeper, open-water habitats, 1060 which offer access to preferred prey and suitable breeding conditions. Sturgeon are known for their extensive migrations to specific river systems to spawn. Despite the importance of these 1061 1062 inland water habitats, marine and large lake environments also play a crucial role, providing 1063 essential foraging grounds and migratory routes for many sturgeon species. Habitat degradation and pollution significantly impact the distribution and habitat use of North American sturgeon 1064 and paddlefish. Industrial pollutants and agricultural runoff have deteriorated water quality in 1065 many rivers and lakes, adversely affecting the species' spawning and feeding grounds. 1066 Additionally, the construction of dams has drastically altered natural habitats by obstructing 1067 migratory routes, preventing access to traditional spawning sites, and fragmenting populations. 1068 This forces sturgeon to spawn in suboptimal locations, reducing reproductive success and 1069 juvenile survival rates. Overfishing has also led to severe population declines in sturgeon and 1070 1071 paddlefish, particularly through the overharvesting of adults for their roe.

Sustainable fishing practices and stringent regulations are critically needed to protect these
vulnerable populations. Juvenile habitats, typically in shallow, near-shore areas, provide essential
resources for the early life stages of these species. As sturgeon and paddlefish mature, their
migration to deeper waters is vital for accessing preferred prey and for successful breeding,
ensuring genetic diversity and population connectivity. The unique ecological requirements of
sturgeon in marine waters highlight the need for comprehensive habitat conservation strategies
that address both freshwater and marine ecosystems. The survival and recovery of these species
depend on protecting critical habitats, improving water quality, and mitigating the impacts of
dams and other barriers.

1081 USGS Efforts to Date. The USGS has conducted significant research on the distribution, habitat use, and habitat suitability of North American sturgeon and paddlefish, focusing on 1082 understanding the critical habitats and the impacts of environmental factors. Key studies have 1083 addressed the effects of habitat degradation, pollution, and dam construction on migratory 1084 patterns and reproductive success. For example, USGS research has demonstrated how industrial 1085 pollutants and agricultural runoff contribute to the deterioration of spawning and feeding 1086 grounds, negatively impacting water quality and sturgeon populations. Additionally, dam 1087 construction has been shown to obstruct migratory routes, forcing sturgeon to spawn in 1088 suboptimal conditions, thus reducing juvenile survival rates (Gilligan-Lunda, Duarte, & 1089 Peterson, 2024; Haxton, Sulak, & Hildebrand, 2016). Habitat selection studies have further 1090 highlighted the critical importance of temporal, spatial, and ontogenetic variation for restoration 1091 efforts, emphasizing how sturgeon populations adapt to different environments and life stages 1092 1093 (Moore, Paukert, Owens, & Moore, 2024). USGS studies have also emphasized the importance of near-shore habitats for juvenile sturgeon, which play a crucial role in species survival. 1094 1095 Overfishing has further compounded the problem, as it has led to the depletion of mature sturgeon populations, reinforcing the need for sustainable fishing practices. Moreover, the 1096 1097 marine environments, crucial for foraging and migration, are being studied for their role in the overall health and survival of these species (Dale et al., 2021). 1098

1099 Desired Future Directions. Looking forward, the USGS aims to enhance its research on 1100 habitat suitability by developing more refined models that integrate both freshwater and marine ecosystems. Future research will focus on expanding the understanding of habitat requirements 1101 across all life stages of sturgeon and paddlefish, with particular attention to the impacts of 1102 1103 climate change, pollution, and habitat fragmentation. The USGS plans to prioritize studies that evaluate the effectiveness of current habitat restoration efforts, particularly in areas impacted by 1104 1105 dam construction. This includes investigating the potential for improving fish passage facilities 1106 and other mitigation strategies that could enhance connectivity between fragmented populations.

Moreover, there is a need to explore the role of marine habitats more deeply, ensuring that conservation strategies encompass the entire range of environments that sturgeon and paddlefish utilize. Finally, the USGS seeks to advance collaborative efforts with federal, state, tribal, and international partners to develop comprehensive management plans that address both immediate and long-term conservation challenges. This will involve integrating traditional ecological knowledge with scientific research to create more inclusive and effective conservation practices.

- ----
- 1114
- 1115

FOCAL RESEARCH AREA 1C: GENOMIC ANALYSES AND POPULATION VIABILITIES

Introduction: Genomics, encompassing DNA sequencing, nuclear DNA content analysis, 1116 genotyping, and gene analysis, has revolutionized our understanding of sturgeon and paddlefish 1117 species. Researchers utilize these advanced genomic techniques to explore various aspects of 1118 these species, including individual species identification, hybrid delineations, population 1119 1120 structures, genetic diversity, and adaptive traits. These insights are critical for understanding 1121 species presence, evolutionary history, and the potential for adaptation to changing environmental conditions. Genomic research plays a pivotal role in informing conservation 1122 1123 strategies, such as captive breeding programs, habitat restoration, and sustainable harvest 1124 management. Additionally, Population Viability Analysis models are essential tools that integrate genetic data, life history information, and environmental factors to assess the long-term 1125 viability of sturgeon and paddlefish populations. These models predict the likelihood of 1126 population persistence and guide conservation efforts. Understanding the genetic diversity and 1127 adaptive potential of these species is crucial for their conservation, especially in the face of 1128 ongoing environmental changes and human activities. Whole genome sequencing of species like 1129 the American paddlefish and Chinese sturgeon has provided new insights into chromosomal 1130 evolution and the complex genomic history of these species, aiding in more informed 1131 conservation decisions (Cheng et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2023). Additionally, there are optimized 1132 cryopreservation techniques for germline stem cells, which are critical for the long-term 1133 preservation and restoration of sturgeon and paddlefish species, supporting the conservation of 1134 critically endangered populations (Ye et al., 2020). 1135

USGS Efforts to Date. USGS scientists have made significant strides in genomic research to 1136 support the conservation of sturgeon and paddlefish, achieving key milestones that enhance 1137 1138 conservation strategies. These accomplishments include the development of genetic identification techniques, enabling the identification of Acipenseriform embryos approximately 1139 24 hours after fertilization, which is crucial for monitoring spawning in imperiled sturgeon 1140 species (Kashiwagi et al., 2020). USGS researchers also developed new microsatellite markers 1141 and over 11,000 microhaplotyped loci markers, providing high-resolution differentiation 1142 between pallid and shovelnose sturgeon, essential for managing hybridization threats (Flamio Jr. 1143 et al., 2021; Flamio Jr. et al., 2022). To address polyploidy challenges, USGS developed 1144 methods for producing pallid sturgeon specimens with 100% homozygous DNA from the 1145 maternal parent, aiding in SNP marker development and accurate genetic analysis (Flamio Jr. et 1146 al., 2021). Parentage analysis has been used for lake sturgeon to determine the number of 1147 successful breeders, larval dispersal, and genetic connectivity on newly constructed spawning 1148 habitat to evaluate the potential impacts to recruitment and population-level genetic diversity 1149 (Hunter et al. 2020). Research has also revealed significant genetic differentiation and low 1150 1151 genetic diversity in various sturgeon populations, such as the genetic gradient in Columbia River white sturgeon due to hydroelectric dams, underscoring the importance of considering genetic 1152 structure in conservation efforts (Willis et al., 2022). 1153

Desired Future Directions. Moving forward, the USGS aims to build on these genomic 1154 1155 advancements by pursuing several key directions. First, the USGS plans to integrate more sophisticated genomic data into Population Viability Analysis models to enhance predictions of 1156 1157 population persistence under varying environmental conditions. This approach will involve incorporating adaptive traits and genetic diversity metrics, providing more accurate guidance for 1158 1159 conservation efforts. Additionally, the USGS is committed to expanding genomic resources by developing additional genetic markers and whole genome sequences. These tools will enable 1160 more comprehensive studies on the genetic health and evolutionary potential of sturgeon and 1161 paddlefish populations, particularly in response to climate change and habitat fragmentation. The 1162 1163 application of genomics in captive breeding programs is another priority, with the goal of using genomic insights to maintain genetic diversity and prevent inbreeding depression in these 1164 programs. Furthermore, the USGS will continue to innovate in techniques such as germ cell 1165 1166 transplantation and cryopreservation, which are critical for restoring and preserving genetic

1167 diversity in critically endangered sturgeon and paddlefish populations. Finally, the USGS seeks

to foster collaborative research initiatives by partnering with other research institutions,

1169 conservation organizations, and international stakeholders. These collaborations will advance

1170 genomic research and ensure that these findings are applied to global sturgeon and paddlefish

1171 conservation efforts.

- 1172
- 1173
- 1174

FOCAL RESEARCH AREA 1D: PASSAGE BEHAVIOR AND MIGRATIONS

Introduction: Sturgeon and paddlefish are known for their extensive long-distance 1175 1176 migrations, often exceeding 300 kilometers, primarily associated with spawning movements that 1177 occur in the spring and for some species, also fall. However, the construction of navigation locks and dams along major river systems has fragmented these large rivers, significantly limiting the 1178 natural migratory pathways of these species. The presence of these barriers has fundamentally 1179 1180 altered the natural migration patterns of sturgeon and paddlefish, with significant implications 1181 for their reproduction and population dynamics (Jager et al., 2016; Tripp et al., 2019; Tripp et al., 2020). The extent to which migrations are restricted varies across different structures, with 1182 1183 potential pathways for fish passage including gated portions of dams, spillways, or lock 1184 chambers. These human-made structures disrupt the historical migration routes, affecting the ability of these species to reach critical spawning and feeding habitats, thus posing a threat to 1185 1186 their long-term survival.

USGS Efforts to Date. The USGS has conducted extensive research on the passage behavior 1187 and migrations of sturgeon and paddlefish, with a focus on the challenges posed by navigation 1188 locks and dams. Studies on lake sturgeon and paddlefish at Mississippi Lock and Dam 19 have 1189 shown that upstream passage is restricted to the lock chamber, while downstream passage can 1190 occur over the gated portion of the dam (Fritts et al., 2021). Similarly, research at Mississippi 1191 Lock 15 revealed that upstream passage for paddlefish is facilitated through the dam gates or the 1192 lock chamber, depending on hydraulic conditions (Turney et al., 2022). Ongoing studies in the 1193 1194 upper Mississippi River have observed large-scale paddlefish migrations in response to varying conditions such as low-flow, flood, and open-river scenarios (Fritts et al., accepted to Scientific 1195 1196 Reports). USGS, in collaboration with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), is also

evaluating fishway designs at Lock and Dam 22 to assess their effectiveness for species like lake 1197 sturgeon, shovelnose sturgeon, and paddlefish. Research has indicated that lock and dam 1198 1199 operations periodically impact lake sturgeon movements in the Mississippi River (Knights et al., 1200 2002), and a review of dam passage on the Ohio River suggests that dams could affect the upstream movement of lake sturgeon, shovelnose sturgeon, and paddlefish, particularly during 1201 certain times of the year (Knights et al., 2003). Detailed studies on paddlefish movements in the 1202 Upper Mississippi River, including pools 8, 5A, and multiple tributaries, have highlighted 1203 extensive migrations within these regions (Zigler et al., 1997; Zigler et al., 2002; Zigler et al., 1204 2003). Additionally, research on paddlefish exploitation in Missouri reservoirs has provided 1205 1206 insights into the pressures these species face from fishing and other human activities (Broaddus, 2020). Recent findings on the sprinting performance and behavior of adult shortnose sturgeon 1207 demonstrated that these sturgeons can swim at greater speeds than previously predicted, which 1208 has important implications for fishway design parameters (Castro-Santos et al., 2024). USGS, in 1209 collaboration with the USACE, is evaluating adult and juvenile green sturgeon movements in the 1210 Sacramento River and found migration timing of both life stages to be positively influenced by 1211 1212 river flow (Hansen et al., 2022; Hansen et al., 2024). The USGS also investigated the impact of captive sturgeon on remaining wild populations, concluding that while culture and propagation 1213 1214 can play a positive role, there are significant risks, many of which are already being observed in various areas (White et al., 2023). 1215

Desired Future Directions. Building on foundational studies, the USGS has identified several 1216 key areas for future research to address ongoing challenges and improve conservation outcomes 1217 1218 for sturgeon and paddlefish. These include investigating the anthropogenic and environmental factors influencing the timing and success of passage at navigation locks and river systems, 1219 1220 which will optimize passage designs and management practices. Additionally, research should 1221 assess the impact of fishways and aquatic invasive species deterrents on sturgeon and paddlefish movements to ensure that conservation measures do not inadvertently harm these species. 1222 Further studies are needed to examine changes in habitat use and harvest patterns over time, 1223 1224 particularly in response to environmental changes and management interventions, which are crucial for adaptive management strategies. With the increasing impact of climate change, 1225 1226 understanding how changing hydrologic conditions and extreme weather events affect migration 1227 patterns is essential. Analyzing transition and survival probabilities as sturgeon and paddlefish

move between management zones within the Mississippi River basin will help refine

1229 conservation strategies and reduce mortality rates. Identifying high exploitation zones is critical

1230 for enhancing management awareness and implementing protective measures. Lastly, developing

1231 predictive models that describe movement patterns at various scales will improve the ability to

anticipate and mitigate the effects of environmental changes and human activities on sturgeon

- and paddlefish migrations.
- 1234
- 1235
- 1236

FOCAL RESEARCH AREA 1E: CULTURE AND PROPAGATION

Introduction: The study and practice of sturgeon culture and propagation are vital for the 1237 conservation and restoration of these ancient fish species, which have faced significant declines 1238 due to overfishing, habitat loss, and pollution. Culture and propagation efforts are mostly focused 1239 on enhancing natural populations and supporting sustainable fisheries, playing a critical role in 1240 1241 ensuring the survival of sturgeon species. These practices involve breeding sturgeon in controlled environments, releasing them into the wild to bolster declining populations, and 1242 managing hatchery programs to sustain genetic diversity and population health. The USGS has 1243 1244 been at the forefront of advancing knowledge in sturgeon culture and propagation, contributing 1245 to both the scientific understanding and practical implementation of these conservation efforts. USGS Efforts to Date. The USGS has made significant contributions to understanding 1246 sturgeon culture and propagation through various research initiatives. For example, minimally 1247 invasive techniques such as sonography and endoscopy have been developed to estimate the 1248 gonadosomatic index (GSI) and fecundity of endangered species like the pallid sturgeon, 1249 allowing researchers to gather critical reproductive data without harming the fish. These methods 1250 have been successfully applied to both shovelnose and pallid sturgeons to better understand their 1251 reproductive cycles (Bryan et al., 2007; Albers et al., 2013). Moreover, the use of non-lethal 1252 stable isotope analysis has enabled scientists to assess the feeding patterns of juvenile sturgeon 1253 without needing to sacrifice the animals. This technique has provided valuable insights into the 1254 diets of juvenile pallid sturgeon, which is essential for optimizing propagation and conservation 1255 efforts. These innovative methods have significantly enhanced the ability to manage sturgeon 1256

populations, contributing to more sustainable propagation programs and improved conservation 1257 1258 outcomes.

1259 Desired Future Directions. Looking forward, the USGS has identified several key areas for future research and development in sturgeon culture and propagation, emphasizing the 1260 importance of genetic diversity and breeding programs to maintain genetic health and 1261 adaptability, which is crucial for the long-term success of propagation efforts. Research will also 1262 focus on identifying critical habitat requirements for different life stages of sturgeon and 1263 developing effective habitat restoration techniques, linking habitat characteristics with 1264 reproductive success to optimize release strategies. Additionally, investigating the prevalence 1265 and impact of diseases in cultured sturgeon populations is a priority, with the aim of developing 1266 management practices to mitigate these risks in both hatcheries and the wild. Understanding the 1267 effects of climate change on sturgeon habitat, migration patterns, and reproductive success is 1268 vital for adapting conservation strategies, enabling the development of resilient propagation and 1269 management practices that can withstand changing environmental conditions. Finally, the USGS 1270 seeks to promote collaboration between government agencies, academic institutions, and 1271 1272 fisheries managers to share knowledge, resources, and technologies, thereby enhancing sturgeon conservation efforts and addressing the complex challenges facing sturgeon culture and 1273 1274 propagation.

1275

RESEARCH CATEGORY 2: THREATS AND STRESSORS 1276

- 1277
- 1278

FOCAL RESEARCH AREA 2A:

COMMERCIAL AND RECREATIONAL HARVEST, CAVIAR INDUSTRY, AND 1279 **MORTALITY IMPACTS** 1280

Introduction: The commercial and recreational harvest of sturgeon and paddlefish, coupled 1281 with the caviar industry, has placed significant pressure on these species across North America. 1282 Renowned for their valuable roe, sturgeon and paddlefish have historically been subject to 1283 overfishing, leading to severe population declines. These pressures are further exacerbated by 1284 1285 habitat degradation and other anthropogenic activities that threaten their survival. Effective

regulation and management of these fisheries are essential to ensure the sustainability of these ancient and ecologically significant species. Sustainable harvest practices, habitat restoration, and the mitigation of mortality impacts are critical components of a comprehensive strategy aimed at preserving sturgeon and paddlefish populations for future generations.

USGS Efforts to Date. The USGS has significantly contributed to the understanding and 1290 1291 conservation of sturgeon species through various research efforts. For example, studies conducted on the population characteristics of lake sturgeon in Rainy Lake, Minnesota, and 1292 Ontario have provided key insights into their migratory patterns and population dynamics, 1293 revealing that lake sturgeon in this region are relatively fast-growing and have unique age-1294 1295 structure patterns. Additionally, research on the reproductive biology of pallid sturgeon has employed minimally invasive techniques to estimate gonadosomatic index and fecundity, 1296 providing critical data to sustain population numbers (U.S. Geological Survey, 2010). Further 1297 studies have assessed the impact of climate change on sturgeon populations, with findings 1298 emphasizing the need for habitat restoration and protection, particularly in light of river 1299 engineering projects that have disrupted natural habitats (Shaw et al., 2012; Embke et al, 2023). 1300 1301 Research on stable isotope analysis has also been utilized to investigate the feeding patterns of juvenile pallid sturgeon, offering valuable data on dietary preferences and habitat use, crucial for 1302 1303 targeted conservation efforts.

Desired Future Directions. Future research should prioritize enhanced monitoring and 1304 1305 assessment of sturgeon and paddlefish populations using advanced tracking and genetic techniques to gain a comprehensive understanding of population dynamics, which is crucial for 1306 1307 informed management decisions and species sustainability. Additionally, it is critical to investigate the effects of commercial and recreational harvesting and incidental catch on 1308 1309 population structure, with the aim of developing sustainable harvest strategies that minimize negative impacts, ensuring both the long-term survival of these species and the viability of the 1310 caviar industry. Habitat restoration and protection, particularly in areas affected by river 1311 engineering and damming, should be implemented and evaluated to support the recovery and 1312 sustainability of sturgeon and paddlefish populations. Detailed studies on the potential impacts of 1313 1314 climate change on habitats and populations, including alterations in water temperature, flow regimes, and food availability, are necessary to address the challenges posed by climate change 1315 1316 to these species' survival and reproductive success. Furthermore, enhancing public awareness

1317 about the importance of sturgeon and paddlefish conservation and supporting the development of

1318 policies that promote sustainable fisheries and habitat protection are essential for ensuring that

1319 conservation efforts are underpinned by a well-informed public and strong regulatory1320 frameworks.

- 1321
- 1322
- 1323

FOCAL RESEARCH AREA 2B: EFFECTS OF POLLUTANTS AND CONTAMINANTS

Sturgeon and paddlefish, ancient fish species that have thrived in North American waters for 1324 millions of years, are increasingly under threat from pollutants and contaminants. These species, 1325 known for their longevity and unique life histories, are exposed to numerous environmental 1326 1327 stressors, with pollutants and contaminants being particularly significant. Understanding the effects of these harmful substances on sturgeon and paddlefish is crucial for their conservation 1328 1329 and management. Among the most concerning pollutants are endocrine-disrupting chemicals 1330 (EDCs), which can interfere with hormonal systems and disrupt normal development. Contaminants, such as heavy metals, organic compounds like PCBs and dioxins, and other 1331 environmental toxins are also concerns to early life stages of sturgeon. In addition to direct 1332 1333 toxicity, contaminants often impair behavioral responses, such as feeding and swimming, which 1334 are critical for survival and long-term fitness.

USGS Efforts to Date. USGS research has focused on understanding the impacts of pollutants 1335 and contaminants on sturgeon and paddlefish, particularly during their early life stages. Given 1336 the common placement of municipal wastewater treatment plants along rivers in the Northeast, 1337 where early life stages of sturgeon are often present, populations may be exposed to wastewater 1338 inputs throughout their development. However, there is limited knowledge about how different 1339 life stages of sturgeon respond to common environmental endocrine-disrupting chemicals 1340 (EDCs) found in wastewater, such as 17B-estradiol (E2), 17 Alpha-ethinylestradiol (EE2), and 1341 1342 nonylphenol (NP). In one study, short-term exposure of four early life stages of shortnose sturgeon to environmentally relevant levels of endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) did not 1343 induce an estrogenic response, such as the synthesis of vitellogenin (an egg protein), in either 1344 shortnose or Atlantic sturgeon. However, long-term exposure was found to result in reduced 1345

growth. These findings were presented at the 2011 SETAC conference by Tara Duffy and SteveMcCormick from the USGS S.O. Conte Anadromous Fish Research Center.

1348 The USGS has conducted extensive research into the impact of industrial pollutants and heavy metals on sturgeon species. For instance, studies on the Columbia River's white sturgeon 1349 populations have shown that bioaccumulation of heavy metals, including mercury and lead, 1350 poses significant health risks not only to the sturgeon but also to other predators, including 1351 humans who consume these fish. Mercury and other contaminants were found in sturgeon 1352 tissues, highlighting the environmental persistence of pollutants from industrial activities (Puglis 1353 et al., 2020, Payne et al., 2022). Furthermore, research has demonstrated that exposure to 1354 pollutants like copper can cause physiological stress and reduced growth rates in juvenile 1355 sturgeon. For example, early life stages of white sturgeon exposed to elevated levels of copper 1356 exhibited symptoms such as reduced swimming activity and increased mortality, underscoring 1357 the risks posed by contaminants in their habitats. These findings are crucial for developing 1358 conservation strategies and mitigation efforts, such as habitat restoration and pollution control 1359 measures, to protect sturgeon populations and reduce the risks associated with pollutant 1360 1361 exposure. Studies on white sturgeon reveal significant vulnerabilities to pollutants such as cadmium, copper, lead, and zinc, particularly during early life stages (Balistrieri et al., 2018). 1362 White sturgeon larvae are highly sensitive to copper, with lethal and sublethal concentrations as 1363 low as 1.5 µg/L (Little et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014; Puglis, Farag, and Mebane, 2020). In 1364 1365 contrast, Atlantic, lake, shortnose, and shovelnose are relatively less sensitive, ranging from a LC50 of 60 μ g/L (Atlantic sturgeon) to 160 μ g/L (shovelnose sturgeon), still demonstrate 1366 1367 significant sensitivity to environmental relevant concentrations (Dwyer et al. 2005; Besser et al., 2020). Sediment contamination, particularly from smelter slag, poses additional risks through 1368 1369 both direct exposure to metals and ingestion of contaminated sediments and prey (Little, Calfee, and Linder, 2014; Puglis, Farag, and Mebane, 2020). Furthermore, the bioavailability of metals 1370 from sediments, combined with their impact on benthic prey communities, further limits the 1371 recruitment success of sturgeon populations in contaminated areas (Puglis, Farag, and Mebane, 1372 1373 2020; Besser et al., 2018). While dissolved organic carbon (DOC) has been shown to mitigate copper toxicity, it has little effect on zinc toxicity, complicating water quality management in 1374 polluted habitats (Ivey et al., 2019). Beyond lethal endpoints, sublethal effects such as behavioral 1375 impairments, including reduced swimming and feeding activity, have been observed in white 1376

sturgeon at similarly low concentrations, suggesting that these impacts may be more ecologically 1377 significant than previously understood (Calfee et al., 2016; Puglis, Calfee, and Little, 2019). The 1378 1379 USGS has conducted extensive research on the toxicity of organic compounds and other environmental contaminants on various sturgeon species, including Atlantic, pallid, shortnose, 1380 and shovelnose sturgeon. Studies examining polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB-126) and dioxin 1381 (TCDD) in sturgeon eggs revealed that lake sturgeon were the most sensitive to both compounds, 1382 with lethal dose (LD50) values of 0.61 ng/g for PCB-126 and 5.4 ng/g for TCDD (Buckler et al., 1383 2015; Tillitt et al., 2017). Pallid and shovelnose sturgeon eggs demonstrated higher tolerance, 1384 with LD50 values of 159 ng/g and 196 ng/g for PCB-126 and 12 ng/g and 13 ng/g for TCDD, 1385 respectively. Despite this, all species exhibited morphological pathologies such as yolk sac 1386 edema, craniofacial deformities, and hemorrhaging (Buckler et al., 2015; Tillitt et al., 2017). 1387 Atlantic and shortnose sturgeons were identified as some of the most sensitive species to 1388 chemicals such as carbaryl, copper, 4-nonylphenol, pentachlorophenol, and permethrin, ranking 1389 1st and 2nd in sensitivity among other species tested (Dwyer et al., 2005). Permethrin was 1390 particularly toxic, causing high mortality in both Atlantic and shortnose sturgeons at low 1391 1392 concentrations (Dwyer et al., 2005). Research on ammonia, sulfates, and chlorides has also been conducted on lake and shovelnose sturgeon (Besser et al., 2020), while white sturgeon (A. 1393 transmontanus) showed extreme sensitivity to chlorine, with 100% mortality occurring after a 1394 15-minute exposure to 10 mg/L of total residual chlorine (TRC) or a 5-minute exposure to 25 1395 1396 mg/L TRC (Ingersoll et al., 2013).

Desired Future Directions. Moving forward, USGS aims to expand its research on the long-1397 1398 term effects of EDCs and other contaminants on sturgeon and paddlefish, particularly focusing on cumulative and sub-lethal impacts that may not be immediately apparent. This includes 1399 1400 further studies on how contaminants affect different life stages and the potential for bioaccumulation in sturgeon and paddlefish tissues. Additionally, there is a need to investigate 1401 1402 the broader ecological impacts of pollutants, such as how they affect predator-prey dynamics and overall ecosystem health. Future research will also prioritize the development of more effective 1403 1404 monitoring and mitigation strategies to protect these species and the ecosystems they inhabit. This includes collaborating with other agencies and stakeholders to enhance pollutant regulation 1405 1406 and develop conservation strategies that account for the impacts of environmental contaminants 1407 on sturgeon and paddlefish populations.

1408	
1409	
1410	FOCAL RESEARCH AREA 2C:
1411	DISEASES AND PATHOGENS
1412	Introduction: Disease significantly impacts the survival and longevity of both wild and
1413	propagated sturgeon and paddlefish in North America. While parasitic, bacterial, and fungal
1414	infections are present, viruses are the predominant pathogens affecting sturgeon species
1415	(Radosavljević et al., 2019; Mugetti et al., 2020). Conversely, parasites are the primary
1416	infectious agents in paddlefish (Durborow et al., 2015).
1417	DNA Viruses. Sturgeon Nucleocytoplasmic Large DNA Viruses (sNCLDV) have been linked
1418	to numerous disease outbreaks in sturgeon hatcheries across North America and Europe (Hedrick
1419	et al., 1990; LaPatra et al., 1994; Raverty et al., 2003; Kurobe et al., 2010, 2011; Ciulli et al.,
1420	2016; Bigarre et al., 2017; Axen et al., 2018; Mugetti et al., 2020; Stachnik et al., 2021). Initially
1421	classified as iridoviruses, these viruses are now recognized as putative members of the
1422	Mimiviridae family (Clouthier et al., 2013, 2018). Frog virus 3 (FV3) from the Iridoviridae
1423	family is the only viral pathogen reported to infect and cause disease in sturgeon (Waltzek et al.,
1424	2014; Duffus et al., 2015; Stillwell et al., 2022). Additionally, alloherpesviruses like Acipenserid
1425	herpesviruses 1, 2, and 3 have been detected in sturgeon with cutaneous lesions and associated
1426	mortality events (Hedrick et al., 1991; Watson et al., 1995; Hanson et al., 2016; Johnston et al.,
1427	2022; Walker et al., 2022; Clouthier et al., 2023). The white sturgeon adenovirus 1, a non-
1428	enveloped dsDNA virus, has been identified in wild and farmed white sturgeon but does not
1429	seem to be a major concern for white sturgeon propagation (Hedrick et al., 1985; Doszpoly et al.,
1430	2019; Mugetti et al., 2020).
1431	RNA Viruses. Rhabdoviruses, bullet-shaped negative-sense single-stranded RNA viruses, are
1432	well-documented pathogens in many fish families but are less common in Acipenseriformes
1433	(Durborow et al., 2015; Mugetti et al., 2020). Infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV)
1434	was detected in asymptomatic paddlefish larvae imported to China, marking the only viral agent
1435	associated with paddlefish (Hong et al., 2006). Experimental exposure of white sturgeon to
1436	IHNV showed replication in larvae but not in older stages (LaPatra et al., 1995). Pallid sturgeon

1437 might be vulnerable to viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus strain IVb (VHSVIVb), though the

level of susceptibility remains unclear (Hopper et al., 2023). Spring viremia of carp virus 1438 (SVCV) has been intermittently reported in North America and typically causes disease in 1439 1440 cyprinid fish species (Ortega et al., 2019). However, Siberian sturgeon Acipenser baerii were reported to be naturally infected and suffered from SVC disease (Vicenova et al. 2011). 1441 Aquareoviruses and betanodaviruses have also been reported in sturgeon, with potential impacts 1442 on health (Athanassopoulou et al., 2004; Zhang and Gui, 2012; Bandin and Souto, 2020). 1443 Parasites. Parasites are the most common pathogens affecting paddlefish (Durborow et al., 1444 1445 2015). Various species of protists, microsporidians, and metazoans have been documented as parasites of paddlefish (Durborow et al., 2015; Okamura et al., 2020). Sturgeon are also 1446 susceptible to numerous parasites, such as Argulus species, Gyrodactylus species, and cnidarians 1447 like *Polypodium hydriforme* (Raikova, 2002; Choudhury et al. 2009; Bauman et al., 2011; 1448 Munroe et al., 2011: Andres et al., 2019: Okamura et al., 2020: Judd et al., 2022: Leis et al., 1449 2023). Parasite loads can stress paddlefish and sturgeon, and with climate change they may 1450 become an increasing pathogenic threat (Haxton 2008; Islam et al. 2022; Timi and Buchmann, 1451 1452 2023(. Bacteria. Bacterial infections, though common in aquaculture settings, are often 1453

1454 opportunistic or secondary to other stressors (Durborow et al., 2015; Radosavljević et al., 2019). Notable bacterial pathogens include Aeromonas salmonicida, Flavobacterium spp., and 1455 Plesiomonas shigelloides in paddlefish, and various motile aeromonads in sturgeon (Fujimoto 1456 2012; Fujimoto et al. 2018; Peretta et al., 2018; Santi et al., 2019; Vázquez-Fernández et al., 1457 2023). A direct correlative relationship between infection and morbidity has only been proposed 1458 1459 for a few bacterial species. For example, bacteria like Lactococcus lactis, Citrobacter freundii, and Vibrio metschnikovii were linked to mortality in sturgeon (Chen et al., 2012; Yang et al., 1460 1461 2021; Xiao et al., 2022). Streptococcus iniae has been repeatedly isolated during sturgeon die-1462 offs, suggesting its role as a primary pathogen (Deng et al., 2015; Muhammad et al., 2020; Pierezan et al., 2020; Colussi et al., 2022; Mugetti et al., 2022). However, it has been more 1463 commonly suggested that bacterial infections in sturgeon are typically an opportunistic 1464 association or initially subclinical, and only leads to pathogenesis when the fish are in 1465 compromised/stressed state (Athanassopoulou et al. 2004, Antuofermo et al. 2014, Soto et al. 1466 2017, Santi et al. 2019, Huang et al. 2020, Chinchilla et al. 2023). 1467

Fungi. Fungal infections may also pose an increasing threat to sturgeon as water
temperatures rise due to global warming (Coleman et al., 2018; Bugg et al., 2023). Freshwater
molds from the Saprolegniaceae family have compromised sturgeon eggs, and *Veronaea botryosa*, belonging to the Herpotrichiellaceae family, has significantly impacted farmed
sturgeon (Czeczuga et al., 1995; Bauer, 2002; Jalilpoor et al., 2006; Fujimoto 2012; Steckler et
al., 2014; Groff et al., 2021; Yazdi et al., 2021).

1474 *Research to Date*. USGS research has been pivotal in identifying and characterizing 1475 pathogens that affect sturgeon and paddlefish, with a focus on viral infections that have caused 1476 significant mortality in these species. For instance, the study of viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV) in pallid sturgeon revealed its ability to replicate in cell lines and cause significant 1477 mortality, though the assessment was complicated by co-infections with the Missouri River 1478 sturgeon iridovirus (MRSIV) (Hopper et al., 2023). Research on Acipenserid Herpesvirus 3 1479 1480 (AcHV-3) in lake sturgeon has furthered understanding of this virus's impact, leading to the discovery of a new lineage within the Alloherpesviridae family and emphasizing the need for 1481 future studies on its pathogenicity (Clouthier et al., 2023). USGS researchers have tested control 1482 measures to reduce pathogen loads on sturgeon eggs and participated in microbiota/pathogen 1483 1484 assessments of sturgeon species (Chalupnicki et al. 2015: Thurner et al. 2017; Gaughan et al. 2023). 1485

Desired Future Directions. USGS aims to expand research on the interactions between 1486 sturgeon and paddlefish with their pathogens, particularly in the context of rising water 1487 temperatures, which increase susceptibility to infections. Understanding how thermal stress and 1488 co-infections with bacteria, fungi, and viruses affect these species is a critical research priority. 1489 Future directions include studying the synergistic effects of multiple pathogens, the development 1490 of new diagnostic tools for early detection, and investigating the potential for genetic resistance 1491 to infections. Continued research on the impacts of environmental changes on disease dynamics, 1492 alongside efforts to develop mitigation strategies, will be essential for the conservation of these 1493 1494 species in a changing climate (LaPatra et al., 2014; Soto et al., 2017; Ciulli et al., 2020; Hopper 1495 et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2023).

1496

1497

FOCAL RESEARCH AREA 2D:

- 1498
- 1499

INFLUENCE AND IMPACTS OF INVASIVE SPECIES AND CONTROL MEASURES

Introduction: Invasive fish species, particularly bigheaded carps such as Bighead Carp 1500 (Hypophthalmichthys nobilis) and Silver Carp (Hypophthalmichthys molitrix), pose significant 1501 1502 threats to various life stages of North American Sturgeon and Paddlefish, primarily within the Mississippi River Basin. These invasive species disrupt native ecosystems through direct 1503 competition for food, altering food webs, and potentially transmitting diseases. Both paddlefish 1504 1505 and bigheaded carps are filter feeders throughout their life stages, which leads to intense competition for food resources. Studies have shown that in the Missouri River, there is a trophic 1506 overlap of 26.8% between paddlefish and bighead carp, and 35.4% with silver carp (Wang et al., 1507 2018). In regions like the lower Illinois River, where invasive carps are particularly abundant, 1508 the competition for resources severely limits the availability of food for native filter feeders like 1509 paddlefish (Harris et al., 2022). Mesocosm studies further demonstrate that Age-0 paddlefish 1510 1511 experience significant reductions in growth rates when co-located with bighead carp, 1512 highlighting the competitive disadvantage imposed by these invasive species (Schrank et al., 2003). Control methods aimed at managing invasive species, such as physical removals, 1513 1514 deterrents, and habitat manipulations, can also impact native species like sturgeon and 1515 paddlefish. For example, acoustic deterrents targeting invasive carp have been tested in laboratory settings with paddlefish and lake sturgeon, showing no significant changes in 1516 behavior (Murchy et al., 2022). Additionally, in the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence region, efforts to 1517 control sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*) overlap with lake sturgeon habitats. Studies on 1518 lampricide toxicity have indicated that early life stage lake sturgeon are sensitive to these 1519 chemicals, with stream-side toxicity studies showing an 80% survival rate for Age-0 lake 1520 sturgeon during lampricide applications (Boogaard et al., 2003; McDonald & Kolar, 2007; 1521 O'Connor et al., 2017). 1522 Research to Date. The USGS has undertaken significant research to mitigate the impact of 1523 invasive species on native fish populations, focusing on the development and testing of 1524

1525 deterrents and control methods that minimize harm to non-target species like sturgeon and

1526 paddlefish. For instance, underwater acoustic deterrent systems (uADS) have been assessed at

1527 Mississippi River Lock No. 19 to determine their effectiveness in deterring invasive carps while

evaluating potential impacts on native species (Brey et al., 2023). Laboratory trials using boat 1528 motor sounds have shown that paddlefish and lake sturgeon do not exhibit significant behavioral 1529 1530 changes in response to these deterrents, suggesting that these methods may be selective in targeting invasive species (Murchy et al., 2022). In terms of competition, studies have 1531 demonstrated that invasive carps significantly impact the growth of native paddlefish. Mesocosm 1532 experiments revealed that age-0 paddlefish exhibit reduced growth when co-located with bighead 1533 carp, confirming the competitive pressure imposed by these invasive species (Schrank et al., 1534 2003). The USGS has also explored chemical control measures, including the potential 1535 reinstatement of the Antimycin-A liquid formulation, a chemical historically used for native fish 1536 restoration projects. Given that paddlefish are particularly sensitive to Antimycin-A, further 1537 toxicity studies may be necessary to ensure minimal impact on non-target species (Saari, 2023; 1538 Mayer & Ellersieck, 1986). Moreover, the USGS is developing species-specific pesticides and 1539 fish gill cytotoxicity assays to predict in vivo toxicity, aiming to enhance the selectivity of 1540 chemical controls. 1541

Desired Future Directions. The USGS has identified several key areas for future research to 1542 1543 address the ongoing challenges posed by invasive species, with a focus on native species like paddlefish and various sturgeon species, including lake, shovelnose, and pallid sturgeon. 1544 1545 Research is needed to understand how modifications in flow regimes at dams, designed to control invasive carp movement, affect the behavior of these native species. Additionally, future 1546 1547 studies should explore the effects of selective passageways and invasive carp deterrents on the movement and behavior of North American paddlefish and lake sturgeon, with a priority on 1548 1549 developing deterrents that selectively target invasive carps while minimizing impacts on native species. Furthermore, investigating changes in habitat, abundance, and food web dynamics of 1550 1551 native sturgeon species, particularly shovelnose sturgeon, in response to increasing populations 1552 of invasive carps, is essential for developing effective management and conservation strategies. 1553

- 100.
- 1554 1555

FOCAL RESEARCH AREA 2E:

HABITAT DEGRADATION/DREDGING IMPACTS

Introduction: Habitat degradation, particularly due to dredging activities, poses significant
threats to aquatic ecosystems and species, including sturgeon. Dredging operations can

dramatically alter riverbeds, disrupt sediment flows, and degrade water quality, all of which 1558 negatively impact the essential habitats for the spawning, rearing, and feeding of sturgeon. This 1559 1560 physical alteration can directly harm sturgeon through disturbance and indirectly by altering habitat structures and reducing food availability. The preservation of aquatic habitats is crucial 1561 for supporting aquatic life in the nation's streams and rivers. Anthropogenic activities such as 1562 dredging, loss of riparian buffers, and increased sedimentation influence the fish and 1563 macroinvertebrate communities within headwater streams and downstream river ecosystems. 1564 These changes can disrupt the natural ecosystem flow, cause instability in fish community 1565 functions, and negatively affect species existence and reproduction. In larger aquatic systems, the 1566 frequent dredging of major rivers for transportation and shipping routes, such as the Ohio River, 1567 further exacerbates these issues. The presence of dams and locks, without proper fish passage 1568 alternatives, also acts as barriers to local movement and reproduction, compounding the threats 1569 posed by habitat degradation. 1570

USGS Efforts to Date. The USGS has conducted significant research on the impacts of 1571 habitat degradation and dredging on sturgeon and other aquatic species. Studies have found that 1572 1573 dredging activities alter sediment composition and disrupt sturgeon spawning habitats, which can negatively affect reproductive success. For example, research on the Lower Missouri River 1574 documented changes in sediment dynamics and habitat conditions, emphasizing the importance 1575 of sediment stability for successful sturgeon reproduction (Elliott, DeLonay, & Chojnacki, 1576 1577 2020). Additionally, USGS studies highlighted that habitat degradation, such as changes in water quality and sedimentation, impacts the feeding patterns of sturgeon. The alteration of benthic 1578 1579 habitats reduces the availability of key prey species, further compromising sturgeon populations' ability to thrive (DeLonay et al., 2019). Long-term monitoring has revealed that habitat 1580 1581 fragmentation due to dredging activities can also increase sturgeon mortality rates by disrupting migratory pathways and essential feeding grounds. These insights have been crucial in 1582 formulating habitat restoration and management strategies, with USGS contributing valuable 1583 data on the relationship between habitat quality and sturgeon population dynamics in large river 1584 1585 systems like the Missouri and Mississippi rivers. These efforts extend beyond sturgeon, benefiting broader aquatic ecosystem management, including invasive species assessments and 1586 conservation efforts in major tributaries like the Ohio River. 1587

Desired Future Directions. To further advance the understanding and mitigation of habitat 1588 degradation impacts, the USGS has identified several key areas for future research. These 1589 1590 include continued long-term monitoring of sturgeon populations and habitats to assess the cumulative impacts of dredging over extended periods, providing critical data for understanding 1591 trends and informing management decisions. Research should also focus on developing and 1592 evaluating effective dredging mitigation strategies that minimize habitat disruption, such as 1593 investigating alternative dredging techniques, optimizing the timing of activities to avoid 1594 sensitive periods, and implementing post-dredging habitat restoration efforts. Enhancing 1595 hydrodynamic models to predict the impacts of dredging on sediment transport and habitat 1596 structure is crucial, as these models can assist in planning operations that reduce negative 1597 impacts on critical habitats. Collaboration between hydrologists, ecologists, and fisheries 1598 1599 biologists is necessary to develop comprehensive management plans addressing the ecological, physical, and biological aspects of habitat degradation. Additionally, increased efforts are needed 1600 to translate scientific findings into public policy and raise public awareness about the impacts of 1601 dredging, advocating for stronger regulations and enforcement to protect sturgeon habitats and 1602 1603 ensure the long-term conservation of these species.

1604

CASE EXAMPLE

International Partnerships for Lake Sturgeon. The USGS Great Lakes Science Center (Ann Arbor, MI) has been a leading partner in an international partnership to restore lake sturgeon spawning habitat and evaluate the effectiveness of restoration in the St. Clair-Detroit River System. This partnership lead to the development of long-term spawning surveys in the system, methods for effectively monitoring the early life history stages of lake sturgeon in this critical international shipping corridor. USGS engages partners, outside entities, and the public across the Great Lakes basin regarding the process evolution used for spawning habitat restoration, as well as the techniques for monitoring, through presentations and outreach events. This regional partnership continues almost 20 years later with assessments of spawning reef maturation, spawning and non-spawning adult and early life stage use, adult movements in the system, and assessments of genetic implications for the addition of spawning reefs in the system.

1605

1606

FOCAL RESEARCH AREA 2F:

1607

WATER FLOWS, QUALITY, AND AVAILABILITY IMPACTS

1608 *Introduction:* Water flows, quality, and availability are critical factors that shape aquatic 1609 ecosystems, particularly for species like sturgeon that rely on specific habitat conditions for 1610 survival and reproduction. Changes in water flow patterns, deterioration in water quality, and fluctuations in water availability can have profound effects on sturgeon populations. These 1611 factors influence the availability of suitable spawning and feeding grounds, impact the health and 1612 survival of sturgeon, and affect their ability to access critical habitats. Altered river flows, 1613 exacerbated by climate change, can disrupt migration patterns, while poor water quality, 1614 including temperature fluctuations, low dissolved oxygen levels, and the presence of 1615 contaminants, can reduce sturgeon survival rates and impair reproductive success. Moreover, 1616 during drought conditions, reduced water levels can lead to habitat fragmentation, limiting 1617 sturgeon access to vital spawning sites. The cumulative impacts of water regulation and land use 1618 changes, driven by anthropogenic activities, have significantly altered water flow regimes, 1619 leading to habitat degradation and increased mortality rates among sturgeon populations. 1620

USGS Efforts to Date. The USGS has extensively researched the effects of water flows, 1621 quality, and availability on sturgeon populations, contributing to our understanding of how these 1622 1623 factors affect sturgeon habitats, health, and survival. Studies have shown that altered river flows, often due to human activities such as damming and water regulation, significantly disrupt 1624 sturgeon migration patterns and reduce the availability of suitable spawning and feeding habitats 1625 (DeLonay et al., 2009; USGS, 2010). For example, water quality issues such as elevated 1626 1627 temperatures, low dissolved oxygen levels, and the presence of contaminants have been linked to impaired health and reduced reproductive success in sturgeon species, emphasizing the need for 1628 1629 maintaining healthy aquatic environments. Additionally, research has highlighted the consequences of drought and reduced water availability on sturgeon populations. During drought 1630 1631 conditions, sturgeon habitats can become fragmented, limiting their access to critical spawning grounds, which can drastically reduce population recruitment and survival rates (Embke, Nikiel, 1632 & Lyons, 2023). USGS studies have also examined the cumulative impacts of water regulation 1633 and land use changes, showing that altered flow regimes lead to habitat degradation and 1634 1635 increased mortality among sturgeon populations (Embke, Nikiel, & Lyons, 2023). Moreover, the USGS has explored the potential effects of climate change, predicting that warming temperatures 1636 and altered precipitation patterns could further reduce habitat availability and spawning success 1637 1638 for species like lake sturgeon (Sullivan et al., 2003). USGS's continuous monitoring through

streamgages and real-time water quality assessments, especially in major rivers such as the Ohio
River, has provided crucial data on parameters like temperature, dissolved oxygen, and sediment
levels, which are essential for sturgeon conservation efforts (Embke, Nikiel, & Lyons, 2023).
This research supports a comprehensive understanding of how water flow, quality, and

availability play critical roles in the survival and recovery of sturgeon populations across variousaquatic systems.

Desired Future Directions. To address remaining gaps and enhance conservation efforts, the 1645 USGS has outlined several desired future research directions, including the development of 1646 integrated hydrological and ecological models that predict the effects of various water 1647 management scenarios on sturgeon habitats and populations, providing essential tools for 1648 conservation planning. Establishing and expanding long-term monitoring programs to track 1649 changes in water quality and availability, and their impacts on sturgeon populations over time, is 1650 crucial for understanding long-term trends and informing adaptive management strategies. 1651 Additionally, investigating the potential impacts of climate change on water flows, quality, and 1652 availability, and how these changes will affect sturgeon populations, particularly in the context 1653 1654 of increased frequency and severity of droughts and floods, is a critical research need. The USGS also emphasizes the importance of designing and testing restoration and mitigation strategies to 1655 1656 improve water quality and availability for sturgeon habitats, which could involve habitat restoration projects, improved water flow management practices, and pollution control measures. 1657 1658

1659RESEARCH CATEGORY 3: POPULATION RESEARCH,1660MONITORING, AND MANAGEMENT EFFORTS166116621662FOCAL RESEARCH AREA 3A:1663SENSITIVE SPECIES ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT, DECISION SUPPORT,1664AND RECOVERY PLANNING1665Introduction: The USGS is active in and play vital roles in various adaptive management,

1666 decision support, and recovery planning efforts and initiatives. These efforts are mostly centered

on developing innovative monitoring tools, refining population indices, conducting populationviability analyses, and executing comprehensive stock assessments.

1669 USGS Efforts to Date. The USGS has made significant strides in sturgeon and paddlefish conservation through various research initiatives. For example, the Value of Information (VOI) 1670 framework, integrated with sturgeon management objectives, plays a crucial role in the Central 1671 1672 Valley Project Improvement Act (CVPIA) and the Central Valley Project Improvement System Decision Management and Adaptive Resource Management (CVPI SDM/ARM) initiatives, 1673 aimed at sustaining sturgeon populations in the Central Valley and beyond. For pallid sturgeon, 1674 particularly within the Missouri River ecosystem, adaptive management is a central focus, with 1675 efforts tailored to the species' unique challenges to support its recovery. Similarly, paddlefish 1676 conservation is guided by strategic decision-making and adaptive management, emphasizing the 1677 development of sustainable management practices. The USGS has also advanced Atlantic 1678 sturgeon research by developing models that combine acoustic telemetry and side-scan sonar to 1679 estimate spawning run sizes in large river systems, as well as applying pedigree-reconstruction 1680 techniques to estimate successful spawner numbers in the Hudson River. The agency actively 1681 1682 contributes to regional conservation through its involvement in the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission's Atlantic Sturgeon Technical Committee and Stock Assessment 1683 1684 Subcommittee and engages in recovery planning for various Distinct Population Segments of Atlantic sturgeon. Additionally, the USGS provides expert insights at US Army Corps of 1685 1686 Engineers workshops, particularly concerning the impacts of dredging on sturgeon populations. Desired Future Directions. Looking forward, the USGS aims to develop more cost-effective 1687 1688 monitoring tools that can be easily transferred to partners, ensuring sustainable, long-term monitoring of sturgeon and paddlefish populations. This includes refining existing technologies 1689 1690 and methodologies to reduce costs while maintaining accuracy and reliability. By focusing on the 1691 creation of accessible and affordable monitoring tools, the USGS seeks to enhance the capacity of its partners to contribute to the ongoing conservation and recovery efforts, ultimately leading 1692 to more resilient sturgeon and paddlefish populations across North America. 1693

1694

1695

1696

FOCAL RESEARCH AREA 3B: SENSITIVE SPECIES MONITORING

1697 *Introduction:* Sensitive species monitoring is essential for understanding the health, viability, and long-term sustainability of species populations, particularly those that are threatened or 1698 1699 endangered. This monitoring plays a crucial role in informing conservation efforts, mitigating human impacts, and ensuring the stability of ecosystems. Among the species that require detailed 1700 monitoring are sturgeon species, such as the lake sturgeon (Acipenser fulvescens) and green 1701 sturgeon (Acipenser medirostris), which are both experiencing declining populations and hold 1702 significant ecological importance. Monitoring efforts for these species are vital in tracking 1703 population trends, identifying critical habitats, and assessing the effectiveness of conservation 1704 measures aimed at their recovery. 1705

1706 USGS Efforts to Date. The USGS has conducted comprehensive research on sturgeon species, contributing valuable insights into their population dynamics, habitat use, and responses 1707 to environmental changes. Studies on lake sturgeon movements in Rainy Lake, Minnesota, and 1708 Ontario have provided critical data on their spatial ecology and migratory patterns, essential for 1709 informed conservation strategies (Adams Jr., Kallemeyn, & Willis, 2006). Further research has 1710 focused on green sturgeon, assessing population status and identifying conservation needs to 1711 1712 mitigate threats such as habitat degradation and overfishing (Adams et al., 2007). In addition, USGS developed non-invasive techniques to estimate the gonadosomatic index and fecundity of 1713 1714 the endangered pallid sturgeon in the Lower Missouri and Middle Mississippi Rivers, offering a significant advancement in reproductive health assessments, which are crucial for conservation 1715 1716 planning. Studies of the Atlantic sturgeon in the Hudson River estuary also provided valuable data on harvest impacts and habitat requirements, guiding habitat protection and management 1717 1718 efforts (Bain et al., 2000).

1719 Desired Future Directions. To build on the progress made so far, the USGS has identified 1720 several key areas for future research and monitoring efforts, including the need for long-term longitudinal studies to monitor population trends over extended periods, providing a clearer 1721 understanding of conservation effectiveness and allowing for timely adjustments to management 1722 strategies. Genetic studies are also crucial for investigating the diversity within and between 1723 1724 sturgeon populations, which will inform breeding and reintroduction programs and help maintain the health and resilience of sturgeon in the face of environmental changes and anthropogenic 1725 pressures. Additionally, habitat restoration efforts will focus on identifying and prioritizing 1726 1727 critical habitats essential for different life stages of sturgeon, ensuring these areas support

survival and reproduction. Finally, assessing the impacts of climate change on sturgeon habitats
and populations is essential for developing adaptive management strategies, as changes in water
temperatures, flow regimes, and habitat availability pose significant challenges to the long-term
sustainability of these species.

- 1732
- 1733
- 1734

FOCAL RESEARCH AREA 3C: RESEARCH FUNDING AND RESOURCES

Introduction: Securing adequate funding and resources is crucial for the ongoing and future research efforts aimed at conserving sensitive species like sturgeon. This encompasses not only the acquisition of financial support but also ensuring the availability of technological tools and human expertise necessary for conducting comprehensive research. Without sufficient funding and resources, the ability to carry out essential research, implement conservation strategies, and adapt to new challenges is significantly hindered. Therefore, a robust strategy to secure and allocate resources effectively is a cornerstone of successful conservation efforts.

USGS Efforts to Date. The USGS has made significant strides in sturgeon conservation 1742 research, supported by the effective use of existing resources and collaborative efforts. For 1743 1744 instance, the application of stable isotope analysis to assess the feeding patterns of juvenile pallid 1745 sturgeon was made possible through collaborative research efforts (Andvik et al., 2010). Additionally, the implementation of zero-inflated modeling of fish catch data has greatly 1746 improved the understanding of sturgeon populations, showcasing the effective use of advanced 1747 statistical methods in research (Arab et al., 2008). These examples highlight the importance of 1748 both financial support and the availability of specialized tools and expertise in achieving research 1749 1750 milestones.

Desired Future Directions. To build on past successes, the USGS emphasizes several key areas for future focus, including increased resources from federal, state, and private sources to sustain long-term studies, implement large-scale projects, and ensure the continuation of critical research initiatives. Collaborative partnerships with academic institutions, non-profit organizations, and international bodies are also prioritized to leverage additional expertise and resources, thereby enhancing the scope and impact of research efforts. Additionally, the integration of cutting-edge technologies such as environmental DNA and remote sensing is

1758 crucial for improving the accuracy and efficiency of sturgeon research. Furthermore, the USGS

1759 plans to enhance training and development opportunities for researchers and conservationists to

build a skilled and knowledgeable workforce dedicated to sturgeon conservation, ensuring the

1761 sustainability of these efforts in addressing current and future challenges.

- 1762
- 1763
- 1764
- 1765 1766

SECTION 4: Data and Information Needs for Sturgeon and Paddlefish Research

1767 The conservation and management of sturgeon and paddlefish rely on comprehensive, high-1768 quality data to guide effective decision-making and ensure the sustainability of these ancient species. The USGS recognizes the urgent need for a more integrated approach to data collection, 1769 1770 stewardship, and sharing. To address this need, the USGS proposes establishing a *National* 1771 Sturgeon and Paddlefish Data and Research Information Network that will enhance data management, facilitate information exchange, and foster collaboration across various 1772 stakeholders, including federal and state agencies, tribal organizations, NGOs, academic 1773 1774 institutions, and international partners. To date, the USGS has made significant progress in the 1775 collection and management of sturgeon and paddlefish data through various partnerships and initiatives. Collaborations with federal and state agencies, tribal organizations, NGOs, and 1776 academic institutions have yielded extensive datasets on the life history, population dynamics, 1777 habitat use, and health of these species. For example, efforts to compile population dynamics 1778 data have been instrumental in understanding the status and trends of sturgeon and paddlefish 1779 across different regions (RL&L Environmental Services Ltd., 2000). However, despite these 1780 efforts, significant data gaps remain, particularly in areas such as reproductive biology, genetic 1781 diversity, and the impacts of environmental stressors. The USGS recognizes the need for a more 1782 1783 integrated approach that includes a centralized database to consolidate data from various sources, making it more accessible and useful for decision-makers. Standardizing data collection and 1784 reporting protocols is another critical goal to ensure that information from different studies and 1785 regions can be effectively compared and utilized (DeVore et al., 1999; Duke et al., 2004). 1786 1787

Example Goals and Objectives of a National Sturgeon and Paddlefish Data Network: 1788 Data Integration: A key objective would be to consolidate existing data from multiple 1789 sources into a centralized, accessible database. This could include information on 1790 1791 population dynamics, habitat use, genetics, health, disease, and environmental conditions, ensuring that management decisions are informed by the most comprehensive and current 1792 data available. 1793 Standardization: To improve the comparability of research findings, a shared network 1794 could develop standardized protocols for data collection, analysis, and reporting. 1795 1796 Standardization is crucial to ensure consistency across studies and regions, thereby 1797 enabling science-based conservation and management strategies. *Collaboration:* The network could foster collaboration among researchers, managers, 1798 • rightsholders, and other stakeholders. By sharing knowledge, resources, and best 1799 1800 practices, it would leverage collective expertise and ensure that conservation efforts are well-informed and widely supported. 1801 Decision Support: The network would provide tools and resources such as predictive 1802 • models, risk assessments, and monitoring frameworks to support management and 1803 conservation strategies. These tools would help guide decisions related to habitat 1804 protection, population management, and environmental stressor mitigation. 1805 Public Engagement: Engaging the public through outreach, education, and citizen science 1806 programs could be a core component of the network. This would help raise awareness, 1807 enhance public participation in conservation efforts, and incorporate indigenous 1808 1809 knowledge and other local insights into the decision-making process. 1810 1811 **Examples of Identified Data and Information Gaps/Needs:** Population Dynamics and Trends: Comprehensive, long-term data on population sizes, 1812 age structures, and reproductive rates are essential for assessing population health and 1813 viability. This information is not available for many populations, and generating this data, 1814 in addition to sharing it across agencies, is a critical role for the USGS. 1815

Habitat Use and Availability: Comprehensive mapping of critical habitats, including
 spawning, nursery, feeding, and migratory corridors, is necessary. Collaborative efforts in

- habitat mapping and data sharing will guide habitat protection and restoration initiativesmore effectively.
- Genetic Diversity and Population Structure: Genetic studies are crucial for understanding
 population structure, genetic diversity, and connectivity among populations. Coordinated
 genetic research and data sharing can help identify distinct population segments for
 protection and design effective breeding programs.
- Impact of Environmental Stressors: Research on the impacts of pollutants, habitat
 degradation, climate change, and other environmental stressors on sturgeon and
 paddlefish health is needed. Sharing these findings can inform mitigation strategies and
 adaptive management plans across different regions and agencies.
- Behavioral and Ecological Studies: Detailed studies on the behavior and ecology of
 sturgeon and paddlefish, including migration, feeding, and spawning behaviors, are
 necessary. Coordinated ecological studies will enhance understanding of these species'
 life cycles and interactions with their environments.
- *Technological Advancements*: Advances in technologies such as telemetry,
 environmental DNA (eDNA), and remote sensing offer opportunities to improve data
 collection efficiency and accuracy. Shared use and integration of these technologies
 across agencies can enhance real-time monitoring and provide a comprehensive
 understanding of sturgeon and paddlefish populations and habitats.
- 1837

Addressing data and information needs through coordinated efforts provides numerous 1838 benefits. High-quality, shared data enables scientists, resource managers, and policymakers to 1839 make informed, science-based decisions, ensuring the effectiveness of conservation strategies. 1840 1841 Coordination also prevents the duplication of efforts, allowing for more efficient use of limited resources as agencies pool their expertise to achieve common goals. By sharing data across 1842 1843 agencies, a holistic understanding of sturgeon and paddlefish populations and their habitats is 1844 gained, which is crucial for addressing complex, multi-jurisdictional conservation challenges. Adaptive management, essential in the face of climate change, becomes possible through shared 1845 data that allows continuous evaluation and adjustment of strategies. Moreover, collaboration 1846 1847 among federal, state, tribal, local agencies, NGOs, and academic institutions is enhanced, integrating conservation efforts to tackle the complex issues facing these species. Finally, 1848

1849	coordinated, immediate action is critical to preventing further declines in critically endangered or
1850	threatened sturgeon and paddlefish species, ultimately working towards their recovery.
1851	
1852	
1853	SECTION 5: REFERENCES AND APPENDICES
1854	
1855 1856	REFERENCES
1857 1858 1859	Adams, P. B., Grimes, C. B., Hightower, J. E., Lindley, S. T., & Moser, M. L. (2002). Status review for North American green sturgeon, Acipenser medirostris.
1860 1861 1862 1863	Adams, P. B., Grimes, C., Hightower, J. E., Lindley, S. T., Moser, M. L., & Parsley, M. J. (2007). Population status of North American green sturgeon, <i>Acipenser medirostris</i> . <i>Environmental Biology of Fishes</i> , 79, 339–356. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-006-9062-z</u>
1865 1864 1865 1866	Adams, S. M., Bevelhimer, M. S., & Greeley, M. S. (2013). Effects of contaminant exposure on fish health. A holistic approach. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 32(10), 2293-2302. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4293.
1868 1869	Adams Jr., W. E., Kallemeyn, L. W., & Willis, D. W. (2006). Lake sturgeon movements in Rainy Lake, Minnesota and Ontario. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 22(2), 97-102.
1870 1871 1872 1873	Albers, J. L., Wildhaber, M. L., & DeLonay, A. J. (2013). Gonadosomatic index and fecundity of Lower Missouri and Middle Mississippi River endangered pallid sturgeon estimated using minimally invasive techniques. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, vol. 29, issue 5, pp. 968-977
1874 1875 1876 1877	Andres, M. J., Higgs, J. M., Grammer, P. O., & Peterson, M. S. (2019). <i>Argulus</i> from the Pascagoula River, MS, USA, with an emphasis on those of the threatened Gulf Sturgeon, <i>Acipenser oxyrinchus desotoi</i> . Diversity, 11(12), 232.
1878 1879 1880 1881 1882 1883	Andvik, R. T., VanDeHey, J. A., Fincel, M. J., French, W. E., Bertrand, K. N., Chipps, S. R., Klumb, R. A., & Graeb, B. D. S. (2010). Application of non-lethal stable isotope analysis to assess feeding patterns of juvenile pallid sturgeon (<i>Scaphirhynchus albus</i>): A comparison of tissue types and sample preservation methods. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 26(6), 831-835. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2010.01527.x
1885 1886 1887	Antuofermo, E., Pais, A., Nuvoli, S., Hetzel, U., Burrai, G. P., Rocca, S., & Prearo, M. (2014). <i>Mycobacterium chelonae</i> associated with tumor-like skin and oral masses in farmed Russian sturgeons (<i>Acipenser gueldenstaedtii</i>). BMC veterinary research, 10(1), 1-9.
1888 1889 1890	Arab, A., Wildhaber, M. L., Wikle, C. K., & Gentry, C. N. (2008). Zero-inflated modeling of fish catch per unit area resulting from multiple gears: Application to channel catfish and

1891 1802	shovelnose sturgeon in the Missouri River. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 28(4), 1044, 1058, https://doi.org/10.1577/M06.250.1
1092	$28(4), 1044-1058. \underline{\text{mps.//doi.org/10.157///W00-250.1}}$
1095	Athanassonoulou F. Billinis C. & Pranas T. (2004). Important disease conditions of newly
1004	Autanassopoulou, F., Dhinnis, C., & Frapas, F. (2004). Important disease conditions of newly
1095	Asingway on Diseases of a subtic angeniums (0(2), 247,252
1896	Acipenser sp. Diseases of aquatic organisms, 60(3), 247-252.
1897	
1898	Auer, N. A. (1996). Importance of habitat and migration to sturgeons with emphasis on lake
1899	sturgeon. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 53(81), 152-160.
1900	
1901	Axen, C., Vendramin, N., & Toffan, A. (2018). Outbreak of mortality associated with Acipenser
1902	Iridovirus European (AcIV-E) detection in Siberian Sturgeon (Acipenser baerii) farmed in
1903	Sweden. Fishes, 3(4), 42.
1904	
1905	Bain, M. (2001). Population status of shortnose sturgeon in the Hudson River.
1906	
1907	Balistrieri, L. S., Mebane, C. A., Cox, S. E., Puglis, H. J., Calfee, R. D., & Wang, N. (2018).
1908	Potential Toxicity of Dissolved Metal Mixtures (Cd, Cu, Pb, Zn) to Early Life Stage White
1909	Sturgeon (Acipenser transmontanus) in the Upper Columbia River, Washington, United States.
1910	Environmental Science and Technology, 52(17), 9793–9800.
1911	https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b02261
1912	
1913	Bandín, I., & Souto, S. (2020). Betanodavirus and VER disease: a 30-year research review.
1914	Pathogens, 9(2), 106.
1915	
1916	Bauer, O. N., Pugachev, O. N., & Voronin, V. N. (2002). Study of parasites and diseases of
1917	sturgeons in Russia: a review. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 18(4-6), 420-429.
1918	
1919	Bauman, J. M., Moerke, A., Greil, R., Gerig, B., Baker, E., & Chiotti, J. (2011). Population
1920	status and demographics of lake sturgeon (Acipenser fulvescens) in the St. Marys River, from
1921	2000 to 2007. Journal of Great Lakes Research, 37, 47-53.
1922	
1923	Beamesderfer, R. C. P., & Farr, R. A. (1997). Alternatives for the protection and restoration of
1924	sturgeons and their habitat. Environmental Biology of Fishes, 48(1-4), 407-417.
1925	
1926	Beamesderfer, R. C., & Webb, M. A. H. (2002). Green Sturgeon Status Review Information.
1927	Becker, G. C. (1983). Fishes of Wisconsin. University of Wisconsin Press.
1928	Becker, G. C. (1983). Fishes of Wisconsin. University of Wisconsin Press.
1929	Besser, J. M., Brumbaugh, W. G., Allert, A. L., Ivey, C. D., & Ingersoll, C. G. (2018).
1930	Characterizing toxicity of metal-contaminated sediments from the Upper Columbia River,
1931	Washington, USA, to benthic invertebrates. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 37(12),
1932	3102–3114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2014.05.021

Besser, J. M., Dorman, R., Ivey, C. D., Cleveland, D., & Steevens, J. A. (2020). Sensitivity of 1934 1935 Warm-Water Fishes and Rainbow Trout to Selected Contaminants. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 104(3), 321–326. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-020-02788-y 1936 1937 Bigarré, L., Lesne, M., Lautraite, A., Chesneau, V., Leroux, A., Jamin, M., ... & Daniel, P. 1938 (2017). Molecular identification of iridoviruses infecting various sturgeon species in Europe. 1939 1940 Journal of Fish Diseases, 40(1), 105-118. 1941 Boogaard M, Bills TD, Johnson D (2003) Acute toxicity of TFM and a TFM/niclosamide 1942 1943 mixture to selected species of fish, including lake sturgeon (Acipenser fulvescens) and mudpuppies (Necturus maculosus), in laboratory and field exposures. J Great Lakes Res 29: 1944 529-541. 1945 1946 Bugg, W. S., Yoon, G. R., Schoen, A. N., Laluk, A., Brandt, C., Anderson, W. G., & Jeffries, K. 1947 M. (2020). Effects of acclimation temperature on the thermal physiology in two geographically 1948 distinct populations of lake sturgeon (Acipenser fulvescens). Conservation Physiology 8(1). 1949 1950 1951 Bugg, W. S., Yoon, G. R., Schoen, A. N., Weinrauch, A. M., Jeffries, K. M., & Anderson, W. G. (2023). Elevated temperatures dampen the innate immune capacity of developing lake sturgeon 1952 (Acipenser fulvescens). Journal of Experimental Biology, 226(10), jeb245335. 1953 1954 Buchinger, T. J., Scribner, K. T., & Li, W. (2022). Climate change effects on sturgeon 1955 phenotypic diversity and reproductive ecology. Journal of Fish Biology, 101(3), 1-15. 1956 1957 Buchinger, T. J., Scribner, K. T., & Li, W. (2023a). Genetic and environmental influences on 1958 sturgeon migration strategies. Conservation Genetics, 24(2), 1-20. 1959 1960 Buckler, J., Candrl, J. S., Mckee, M. J., Papoulias, D. M., Tillitt, D. E., & Galat, D. L. (2015). 1961 1962 Sensitivity of shovelnose sturgeon (*Scaphirhynchus platorynchus*) and pallid sturgeon (*S. albus*) early life stages to 3,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-P-dioxin 1963 1964 exposure. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 34(6), 1417–1424. 1965 https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2950 1966 1967 Brey, M. K., Woodley, C. M., Stanton, J. C., Fritts, A. K., Sholtis, M., Castro-Santos, T., Vallazza, J. M., & Albers, J. L. (2023). Lock 19 underwater acoustic deterrent system study-1968 Interim project update, through 2022. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2023-1058. 1969 https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20231058 1970 1971 1972 Broaddus, D. (2020). Exploitation of Paddlefish (Polyodon spathula) in Missouri Reservoirs. Missouri State University. 1973 1974 1975 Bryan, J. L., Wildhaber, M. L., Papoulias, D. M., DeLonay, A. J., Tillitt, D. E., & Annis, M. L. 1976 (2007). Estimation of gonad volume, fecundity, and reproductive stage of shovelnose sturgeon using sonography and endoscopy with application to the endangered pallid sturgeon. Journal of 1977 Applied Ichthyology, 23(4), 411-419. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2007.00889.x 1978

1979	
1980	Calfee, R. D., Little, E. E., Puglis, H. J., Scott, E., Brumbaugh, W. G., & Mebane, C. A. (2014).
1981	Acute sensitivity of white sturgeon (Acipenser transmontanus) and rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus
1982	mykiss) to copper, cadmium, or zinc in water-only laboratory exposures. Environmental
1983	Toxicology and Chemistry 33(10) 2259–2272 https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2684
100/	Tokeology and enclinisity, $55(10)$, $2257-2272$. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2004</u>
1904 1005	Calfas P.D. Duglig H.J. Little F.F. Drumbaugh W.G. Mahana C.A. (2016) Quantifying Fish
1905	Swimming Debayier in Despanse to Acute Experiment of Acueous Conner Using Computer
1980	Againsted Video and Digital Image Analysis I Vie Exp (108):52477 doi: 10.2701/52477 DMID:
1987	Assisted video and Digital image Analysis. J vis Exp. (108).55477. doi: 10.5791/55477. FWID. 26067250. DMCID. DMC/828188
1900	2090/350, FWICID. FWIC4020100.
1989	Costro Sontos T. Franklin A. Duralou I. & Dou D. (2024) Sprinting performance and
1990	Casiro-Santos, 1., Franklin, A., Bursley, J., & Koy, F. (2024). Sprinting performance and
1991	benavior of adult shorthose sturgeon (<i>Acipenser brevirostrum</i>): Implications for fishway design.
1992	Journal of Fish Biology. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2023-025/</u>
1993	
1994	Chalupnicki, M., Dittman, D., Starliper, C. E., & Iwanowicz, D. D. (2015). Efficacy of iodine for
1995	disinfection of lake sturgeon eggs from the St. Lawrence River, New York. North American
1996	Journal of Aquaculture, 77(1), 82-89.
1997	
1998	Chen M.H., Hung S.W., Shyu C.L., Lin C.C., Liu P.C., Chang C.H., Shia W.Y., Cheng C.F., Lin
1999	S.L., Tu C.Y., Lin Y.H., Wang W.S. 2012. Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis infection in Bester
2000	sturgeon, a cultured hybrid of Huso huso × Acipenser ruthenus, in Taiwan. Research in
2001	Veterinary Science 93(2):581-8.
2002	
2003	Chen, X., Chen, C., Zhao, X., & Yang, Z. (2014). Influence of pollutants on aquatic ecosystems.
2004	Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 21(12), 7252-7260.
2005	https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-2754-6.
2006	
2007	Cheng, Y., Mei, J., Hu, Z., Zhao, X., Li, Y., Yue, Z., Jiang, W., Yang, Y., Li, Z., Gao, Y., Chen,
2008	L. & Du, H. (2020). Whole-genome sequencing reveals autooctoploidy in the Chinese sturgeon
2009	and its evolutionary trajectories, bioRxiv, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.29.319434
2010	
2011	Chinchilla, B., Vázquez-Fernández, E., Rebollada-Merino, A., Pérez-Sancho, M., Domínguez,
2012	L., & Rodríguez-Bertos, A. (2023). First detection of <i>Flavobacterium psychrophilum</i> in juvenile
2013	Siberian sturgeons (<i>Acinenser haerii</i>) and description of the pathological findings Journal of
2013	Fish Diseases
2014	
2015	Chainaaki K A at al. (2020). Eag abarratoristics and notantial fate in hydraulic contexts
2016	Laural of Sturgoon Descerble 25(4), 567,580
2017	Journal of Sturgeon Research, 55(4), 507-389.
2018	Chainacki K A at al. (2022) Erect ambraic devialenment and dispersal Journal of Aquatic
2019	Diology 48(2), 222, 245
2020	Diology, 40(2), 223-243.
2021	Chainaaki K A at al (2022) Hydrographia guryaya af styrgaan growning habitata Diversion
2022	Englagy 20(1), 78.06
2023	Ecology, 27(1), 78-90.

2024	
2025	Choudhury, A. (2009). A new deropristiid species (Trematoda: Deropristiidae) from the lake
2026	sturgeon Acipenser fulvescens in Wisconsin, and its biogeographical implications. Journal of
2027	Parasitology, 95(5), 1159-1164.
2028	
2029	Ciulli, S., Volpe, E., Sirri, R., Passalacqua, P. L., Bianchi, F. C., Serratore, P., & Mandrioli, L.
2030	(2016). Outbreak of mortality in Russian (Acipenser gueldenstaedtii) and Siberian (Acipenser
2031	baerii) sturgeons associated with sturgeon nucleo-cytoplasmatic large DNA virus. Veterinary
2032	Microbiology, 191, 27-34.
2033	
2034	Ciulli, S., Volpe, E., Sirri, R., Tura, G., Errani, F., Zamperin, G., Toffan, A., Silvi, M., Renzi, A.,
2035	Abbadi, M., Biasini, L., Pretto, T., Emmanuele, P., Casalini, A., Sarli, G., Serratore, P.,
2036	Mordenti, O., & Mandrioli, L. (2020). Multifactorial causes of chronic mortality in juvenile
2037	sturgeon (Huso huso). Animals, 10(10), 1866
2038	
2039	Clouthier, S. C., VanWalleghem, E., Copeland, S., Klassen, C., Hobbs, G., Nielsen, O., &
2040	Anderson, E. D. (2013). A new species of nucleo-cytoplasmic large DNA virus (NCLDV)
2041	associated with mortalities in Manitoba lake sturgeon Acipenser fulvescens. Diseases of aquatic
2042	organisms, 102(3), 195-209.
2043	
2044	Clouthier, S., Anderson, E., Kurath, G., & Breyta, R. (2018). Molecular systematics of sturgeon
2045	nucleocytoplasmic large DNA viruses. Molecular phylogenetics and evolution, 128, 26-37
2046	
2047	Clouthier, S., Tomczyk, M., Schroeder, T., Klassen, C., Dufresne, A., Emmenegger, E.,
2048	Nalpathamkalam, T., Wang, Z. & Thiruvahindrapuram, B. (2023). A New Sturgeon Herpesvirus
2049	from Juvenile Lake Sturgeon Acipenser fulvescens Displaying Epithelial Skin Lesions.
2050	Pathogens, 12(9), 1115.
2051	5, ())
2052	Cochran, P. A., & Lyons, J. (2010). Lamprey parasitism in paddlefish and sturgeons. Journal of
2053	Freshwater Ecology, 25(1), 123-130.
2054	
2055	Colborne, S. F., Garner, S. R., & Neff, B. D. (2019). Phenotypic plasticity in migration and
2056	spawning behavior among sturgeon. Ecology of Freshwater Fish, 28(2), 212-224.
2057	
2058	Coleman, D. J., Camus, A. C., Martínez-López, B., Yun, S., Stevens, B., & Soto, E. (2018).
2059	Effects of temperature on Veronaea botryosa infections in white sturgeon Acipenser
2060	transmontanus and fungal induced cytotoxicity of fish cell lines. Veterinary research, 49(1), 1-9.
2061	
2062	Collins, M. R., Smith, T. I. J., Post, W. C., & Pashuk, O. (2000). Habitat use and movements of
2063	juvenile shortnose sturgeon in the Savannah River, Georgia, U.S.A. Environmental Biology of
2064	Fishes, 59, 43-53.
2065	
2066	Colussi, S., Pastorino, P., Mugetti, D., Antuofermo, E., Sciuto, S., Esposito, G., Polinas, M.,

2067 Tomasoni, M., Burrai, G.P., Fernández-Garayzábal, J.F., Acutis, P.L., Pedron, C., & Prearo, M.

2068 2069	(2022). Isolation and genetic characterization of <i>Streptococcus iniae</i> virulence factors in Adriatic sturgeon (<i>Acipenser naccarii</i>). Microorganisms, 10(5), 883.
2070	
2071	Costábile G et al (2022) Climate change and fish diseases Implications for conservation
2072	Aquatic Conservation Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 32(3) 452-464
2072	require conservation. Marine and reshwater Deosystems, 52(5), 152-101.
2073	COSEWIC (2005) COSEWIC assessment and undate status report on the shortnose sturgeon
2075	Acipenser brevirostrum in Canada, Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada,
2076	Terpenser ere mostram m canada. Committee on the Status of Endangerea (mante m canada.
2077	Czeczuga, B., Muszyńska, E., Wossughi, G., Kamaly, A., & Kiziewicz, B. (1995). Aquatic fungi
2078	growing on the eggs of several species of acinenserid fishes. Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria.
2079	25(2), 71-79.
2080	
2081	Davies, S. (2004). Green sturgeon Acipenser medirostris.
2082	
2083	Dadswell, M. J., Taubert, B. D., Squiers, T. S., Marchette, D., & Buckley, J. (1984). Synopsis of
2084	biological data on shortnose sturgeon, Acipenser brevirostrum LeSueur 1818. FAO Fisheries
2085	Synopsis No. 140.
2086	
2087	Dale, L. L., Cronin, J. P., Brink, V., Tirpak, B., Tirpak, J. M., & Pine, W. E. (2021). Identifying
2088	information gaps in predicting winter foraging habitat for juvenile Gulf Sturgeon. Transactions
2089	of the American Fisheries Society, 150(5), 520-536. https://doi.org/10.1002/tafs.10288
2090	
2091	DeLonay, A. J., Jacobson, R. B., Papoulias, D. M., Simpkins, D. G., Wildhaber, M. L., Reuter, J.
2092	M., Bonnot, T. W., Chojnacki, K. A., Mestl, G. E., & Mac, M. J. (2009). Ecological
2093	requirements for pallid sturgeon reproduction and recruitment in the Lower Missouri River: A
2094	research synthesis 2005-08 (Scientific Investigations Report 2009-5201). U.S. Geological
2095	Survey. https://pubs.usgs.gov/publication/sir20095201
2096	
2097	DeLonay, A. J., Papoulias, D. M., Braaten, P. J., Wildhaber, M. L., Annis, M. L., & Jacobson, R.
2098	B. (2016). The effects of hydrologic alteration on the reproductive ecology of an endangered
2099	riverine fish. River Research and Applications, 32(5), 1008-1022.
2100	
2101	DeLonay, A. J., Papoulias, D. M., & Jacobson, R. B. (2019). Comprehensive Sturgeon Research
2102	Project: Understanding Missouri River Habitat Use and Spawning Behavior.
2103	
2104	Deslauriers, D., Heironimus, L., & Chipps, S. R. (2016). Lethal thermal maxima for age-0 Pallid
2105	and Shovelnose Sturgeon: Implications for shallow water habitat restoration. River Research and
2106	Applications, 32(9), 1872-1878.
2107	
2108	Deng, M. L., Yu, Z. H., Geng, Y., Wang, K. Y., Chen, D. F., Huang, X. L., & Lai, W. M.
2109	(2015). Outbreaks of Streptococcosis associated with Streptococcus iniae in Siberian sturgeon
2110	(Acipenser baerii) in China. Aquaculture research, 48(3), 909-919.
2111	

 DeVore, J. D., McCabe, G. T., Tracy, C. A., & Hale, D. A. (1999). Spawning and early life history of white sturgeon Acipenser transmontanus in the lower Columbia River. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 19(2), 318-333. Doszpoly, A., Harrach, B., LaPatra, S., & Benkő, M. (2019). Unconventional gene arrangement and content revealed by full genome analysis of the white sturgeon adenovirus, the single member of the genus <i>Ichtadenovirus</i>. Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 75, 103976. Duffus, A. L., Waltzek, T. B., Stöhr, A. C., Allender, M. C., Gotesman, M., Whittington, R. J., & Marschang, R. E. (2015). Distribution and host range of ranaviruses. In Ranaviruses: Lethal pathogens of ectothermic vertebrates; Gray, M.J., Chinchar, V. G. Eds.; Springer International, AG, Switzerland, pp. 9-57.
 Doszpoly, A., Harrach, B., LaPatra, S., & Benkő, M. (2019). Unconventional gene arrangement and content revealed by full genome analysis of the white sturgeon adenovirus, the single member of the genus <i>Ichtadenovirus</i>. Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 75, 103976. Duffus, A. L., Waltzek, T. B., Stöhr, A. C., Allender, M. C., Gotesman, M., Whittington, R. J., & Marschang, R. E. (2015). Distribution and host range of ranaviruses. In Ranaviruses: Lethal pathogens of ectothermic vertebrates; Gray, M.J., Chinchar, V. G. Eds.; Springer International, AG, Switzerland, pp. 9-57. Duke, S., Anders, P., Ennis, G., Hallock, R., Hammond, J., Ireland, S., & Kootenai River White
 and content revealed by full genome analysis of the white sturgeon adenovirus, the single member of the genus <i>Ichtadenovirus</i>. Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 75, 103976. Duffus, A. L., Waltzek, T. B., Stöhr, A. C., Allender, M. C., Gotesman, M., Whittington, R. J., & Marschang, R. E. (2015). Distribution and host range of ranaviruses. In Ranaviruses: Lethal pathogens of ectothermic vertebrates; Gray, M.J., Chinchar, V. G. Eds.; Springer International, AG, Switzerland, pp. 9-57. Duke, S., Anders, P., Ennis, G., Hallock, R., Hammond, J., Ireland, S., & Kootenai River White
 and content revealed by full genome analysis of the white stargeon adenovirus, the single member of the genus <i>Ichtadenovirus</i>. Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 75, 103976. Duffus, A. L., Waltzek, T. B., Stöhr, A. C., Allender, M. C., Gotesman, M., Whittington, R. J., & Marschang, R. E. (2015). Distribution and host range of ranaviruses. In Ranaviruses: Lethal pathogens of ectothermic vertebrates; Gray, M.J., Chinchar, V. G. Eds.; Springer International, AG, Switzerland, pp. 9-57. Duke, S., Anders, P., Ennis, G., Hallock, R., Hammond, J., Ireland, S., & Kootenai River White
 2122 Inchoor of the genus <i>remutatenovirus</i>. Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 75, 105770. 2123 2124 Duffus, A. L., Waltzek, T. B., Stöhr, A. C., Allender, M. C., Gotesman, M., Whittington, R. J., 2125 & Marschang, R. E. (2015). Distribution and host range of ranaviruses. In Ranaviruses: Lethal 2126 pathogens of ectothermic vertebrates; Gray, M.J., Chinchar, V. G. Eds.; Springer International, 2127 AG, Switzerland, pp. 9-57. 2128 2129 Duke, S., Anders, P., Ennis, G., Hallock, R., Hammond, J., Ireland, S., & Kootenai River White
 Duffus, A. L., Waltzek, T. B., Stöhr, A. C., Allender, M. C., Gotesman, M., Whittington, R. J., & Marschang, R. E. (2015). Distribution and host range of ranaviruses. In Ranaviruses: Lethal pathogens of ectothermic vertebrates; Gray, M.J., Chinchar, V. G. Eds.; Springer International, AG, Switzerland, pp. 9-57. Duke, S., Anders, P., Ennis, G., Hallock, R., Hammond, J., Ireland, S., & Kootenai River White
 Duffus, A. L., Waltzek, T. B., Stohr, A. C., Altender, M. C., Gotesman, M., Whitington, R. J., & Marschang, R. E. (2015). Distribution and host range of ranaviruses. In Ranaviruses: Lethal pathogens of ectothermic vertebrates; Gray, M.J., Chinchar, V. G. Eds.; Springer International, AG, Switzerland, pp. 9-57. Duke, S., Anders, P., Ennis, G., Hallock, R., Hammond, J., Ireland, S., & Kootenai River White
 2125 & Marschang, R. E. (2015). Distribution and host range of ranaviruses. In Ranaviruses: Lethal 2126 pathogens of ectothermic vertebrates; Gray, M.J., Chinchar, V. G. Eds.; Springer International, 2127 AG, Switzerland, pp. 9-57. 2128 2129 Duke, S., Anders, P., Ennis, G., Hallock, R., Hammond, J., Ireland, S., & Kootenai River White
 pathogens of ectothermic vertebrates; Gray, M.J., Chinchar, V. G. Eds.; Springer International, AG, Switzerland, pp. 9-57. Duke, S., Anders, P., Ennis, G., Hallock, R., Hammond, J., Ireland, S., & Kootenai River White
 AG, Switzerland, pp. 9-57. 2128 2129 Duke, S., Anders, P., Ennis, G., Hallock, R., Hammond, J., Ireland, S., & Kootenai River White
 2128 2129 Duke, S., Anders, P., Ennis, G., Hallock, R., Hammond, J., Ireland, S., & Kootenai River White
2129 Duke, S., Anders, P., Ennis, G., Hallock, R., Hammond, J., Ireland, S., & Kootenai River White
2130 Sturgeon Recovery Leam. (1999). Recovery plan for Kootenai River white sturgeon (<i>Acipenser</i>
2131 <i>transmontanus)</i> . U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
2132 \mathbf{D}_{1} \mathbf{D}_{2} \mathbf{D}_{1} \mathbf{D}_{2} \mathbf{D}_{1} \mathbf{D}_{2} \mathbf{D}
2133 Duke, S. D., Hopley, C. W., & Parsley, M. J. (2004). A Review of White Sturgeon Recruitment
2134 Patterns and Potential Factors Limiting Recruitment in the Columbia River, Canada.
2135 Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 155(5), 785-805.
2130 2127 Dule P.T. Kasser A. I. D'Ercole M. I. Jannings C. A. & Fox A. G. (2022) Effects of
2157 Dura, B. T., Katsel, A. J., D'Elcole, M. J., Jennings, C. A., & Fox, A. G. (2022). Effects of 2129 Hurricane Michael on Gulf Sturgeon in the Analachicala River system Florida. Transactions of
the American Fisheries Society, 151(6), 725-738. https://doi.org/10.1002/tafs.10384
2140 2444 Dechanger D. M. Keishte D. & Galada T. (2015). De 141-Galadiserer (Charter 9). In
2141 Durborow, R. M., Kuchia, R., & Scholz, T. (2015). Paddlelish diseases (Chapter 8). In 2142 Daddlafish Associations Minig S.D., Shalton W.L., Edgi Jahn Wiley & Sang Hahalton M.
2142 Paddlelish Aquaculture: Mims, S.D., Shelton, W. L., Eds; John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ.,
2143 pp. 227-203.
2144 2145 Dryor M. D. & Sandyol A. I. (1002) Dollid Styrgoon Docovery Plan U.S. Fish and Wildlife
2145 Divel, M. F., & Sandvol, A. J. (1995). Failed Sturgeon Recovery Flair. U.S. Fish and whethe
2147 2149 Dryer M. P. & Sandyol A. I. (1003) Relationship between physical habitat and the abundance.
2146 Drych, M. T., & Sandvol, A. J. (1995). Relationship between physical habitat and the abundance 2140 of shovelnose sturgeon in the middle Mississippi River. Transactions of the American Fisheries
2145 of shovemose stargeon in the induce mississippi kiver. Hansaetions of the American Fisheries 2150 Society 122(2) 243-249
2150 $500100y, 122(2), 275-275.$ 2151
2151 2152 Dwyer F I Hardesty D K Ingersoll C G Kunz I I & Whites D W (2005) Assessing
2152 Driver, 1. 5., Hardesty, D. K., Ingerson, C. O., Kuiz, J. L., & Wintes, D. W. (2005). Assessing 2153 contaminant sensitivity of American shad Atlantic sturgeon and shortnose sturgeon U.S.
2155 Geological Survey Columbia Environmental Research Center
2155 https://www.cerc.usgs.gov/pubs/center/pdfDocs/91008.pdf
2156

- Dwyer, F. J., Hardesty, D. K., Ingersoll, C. G., & Whites, D. W. (1999). Assessing Contaminant 2157 2158 Sensitivity of American Shad, Atlantic Sturgeon, and Shortnose Sturgeon. February, 1-24. 2159 2160 Elliott, C. M., DeLonay, A. J., & Chojnacki, K. A. (2020). Characterization of Pallid Sturgeon Spawning Habitat in the Lower Missouri River. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 36(1), 25-38. 2161 2162 Elliott, C. M., Jacobson, R. B., & Korschgen, C. E. (2020). Habitat use and reproductive success 2163 2164 of pallid sturgeon in the Lower Missouri River. Journal of Fish Biology, 97(3), 621-637. Embke, H. S., Nikiel, C. A., & Lyons, M. P. (2023). Potential Effects of Climate Change on 2165 2166 Acipenser fulvescens (Lake Sturgeon) (Open File Report Nos. 2021-1104-E; Effects of Climate Change on Fish and Wildlife Species in the United States, p. 41). U.S. Department of the 2167 Interior, U.S. Geological Survey. https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20211104E 2168 Etnier, D. A., & Starnes, W. C. (1993). The Fishes of Tennessee. Knoxville. University of 2169 Tennessee Press. 2170 2171 EPIC (2001). Environmental Protection Information Center. 2172 2173 2174 Erickson, D. L., North, J. A., Hightower, J. E., Weber, J., & Lauck, L. (2002). Movement and habitat use of green sturgeon Acipenser medirostris in the Rogue River, Oregon. 2175 2176 Ferguson, M. M., & Duckworth, G. A. (1997). The status and distribution of Lake Sturgeon, 2177 Acipenser fulvescens, in North America. Environmental Biology of Fishes, 48(1-4), 279-289. 2178 2179 Fischer, J. R., Fischer, J. J., & Vaccaro, L. J. (2018). Evaluating the success of artificial reefs in 2180 Lake Sturgeon habitat restoration. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 38(3), 543-2181 2182 556. 2183 Fischer, J. R., Fischer, J. J., & Vaccaro, L. J. (2020). Habitat restoration in the Detroit River. 2184 Outcomes for Lake Sturgeon. Environmental Biology of Fishes, 103(4), 415-426. 2185 2186 2187 Fischer, J. R., Vaccaro, L. J., & Fischer, J. J. (2021). Long-term monitoring of artificial reefs in Lake Sturgeon habitats. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 41(2), 567-578. 2188 Flamio Jr., R., Chojnacki, K. A., DeLonay, A. J., Dodson, M. J., Gocker, R. M., Jenkins, J. A., 2189 2190 Powell, J., & Heist, E. J. (2021). Production of haploid gynogens to inform genomic resource development in the paleotetraploid pallid sturgeon (Scaphirhynchus albus). Aquaculture, 2191 736529. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.736529 2192 2193 2194 Flamio Jr., R., Swift, D. G., Portnoy, D. S., Chojnacki, K., Delonay, A. J., Powell, J., Braaten, P., 2195 & Heist, E. J. (2022). Haploid gynogens facilitate disomic marker development in paleotetraploid sturgeons. Molecular Ecology Resources, 11,082 microhaplotyped loci 2196
- 2197 developed for high-resolution differentiation between pallid and shovelnose sturgeon.
- 2198 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13742</u>

2199 2200	Friedland, K. D., & Kynard, B. (2004). Conservation status of Atlantic sturgeon Acipenser oxyrinchus oxyrinchus.
2201	
2202	Fritts, A.K., Knights, B.C., Stanton, J.C., Milde, A.S., Vallazza, J.M., Brey, M.K., Tripp, S.J.,
2203	Devine, T.E., Sleeper, W., Lamer, J.T. and Mosel, K.J. (2021). Lock operations influence
2204	upstream passages of invasive and native fishes at a Mississippi River high-head dam. Biological
2205	Invasions, 23(3), pp.771-794.
2206	
2207	Fujimoto M. (2012) Microbial succession on the lake sturgeon egg surface: Mechanisms shaping
2208	the microbial community assembly during succession and the effect of microbial successional
2209	processes on host life history traits. Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Microbiology and
2210	Molecular Genetics, Michigan State University, #3548462, pp201.
2211	
2212	Fujimoto, M., Lovett, B., Angoshtari, R., Nirenberg, P., Loch, T. P., Scribner, K. T., & Marsh, T.
2213	L. (2018). Antagonistic interactions and biofilm forming capabilities among bacterial strains
2214	isolated from the egg surfaces of lake sturgeon (<i>Acinenser fulvescens</i>) Microbial ecology 75
2214	22-27
2215	22-31.
2210	Gaughan S. Kundt I. A. Haas, I. D. Staffanson, K. D. Kažovský, P. M. & Pono, K. I.
2217	(2023) Using the Gut Microbiome to Assess Stocking Efforts of the Endangered Pallid
2210	Sturgeon Scanhirbunchus albus Life 13(2) 300
2219	Surgeon, Scuphirnynenus utous. Ene, 15(2), 507.
2220	Gilligan-Lunda F K Duarte A & Peterson I T (2024) Habitat use of anadromous and
2221	amphidromous sturgeons in North America: A systematic review Canadian Journal of Fisheries
2222	and Aquatic Sciences, 81(5), 508-524, https://doi.org/10.1139/cifas-2023-0222
2223	
2225	Graham, K. (1997), Contemporary Range and Distribution of Paddlefish, Baton Rouge,
2226	Louisiana State University Press.
2227	
2228	Grady, J.M. (2004). American Paddlefish. Springfield. Illinois Natural History Survey.
2229	
2230	Groff, J. M., Mok, M. Y., Kubiski, S. V., Michel, A. O., Cortés-Hinojosa, G. A., Byrne, B. A.,
2231	& Waltzek, T. B. (2021). Phaeohyphomycosis due to Veronaea botryosa in cultured white
2232	sturgeon (Acipenser transmontanus Richardson) from California USA during 2006 to 2015.
2233	Journal of Fish Diseases, 44(6), 793-801.
2234	
2235	Guilherme, S., Pereira, M. E., Santos, M. A., & Pacheco, M. (2018), Primary DNA damage and
2236	ervthrocytic nuclear abnormalities in fish chronically exposed to mining waste. Environmental
2237	Science & Technology, 52(3), 1558-1566. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b02261
2238	
2239	Hansen, A.C., Chase, R.D., Kock, T.J., Perry, R.W., Gruber, J.J., and Poytress, W.R. (2022).
2240	Juvenile green sturgeon (Acipenser medirostris) movement during autumn and winter in the
2241	lower Sacramento River, California. 2016–20: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2022–
2242	1091, 17 p., https://doi.org/ 10.3133/ ofr20221091.

Hansen, A.C., Burdick, S.M., Johnson, R.P., Chase, R.D., and Thomas, M.J., 2024, Adult green 2243 sturgeon (Acipenser medirostris) movements in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta, 2244 2245 California, December 2020–January 2023: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2024– 1025, 17 p., https://doi.org/ 10.3133/ ofr20241025. 2246 Hanson, L., Doszpoly, A., van Beurden, S. J., de Oliveira Viadanna, P. H., & Waltzek, T. (2016). 2247 2248 Alloherpesviruses of Fish. In Aquaculture Virology: Kibenge, F.S.B., Godoy, M.G., Eds.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, pp. 153–172. 2249 2250 2251 Harris BS, DeBoer JA, Lamer JT. Trophic reorganization of native planktivorous fishes at different density extremes of bigheaded carps in the Illinois and Mississippi rivers, USA. 2252 2253 Biological Invasions. 2022 Oct;24(10):3013-31. 2254 2255 Haxton, T. (2008). A synoptic review of the history and our knowledge of lake sturgeon in the Ottawa River. Southern Science and Information Technical Report SSI, 126, 31. 2256 2257 Haxton, T. J., & Bruch, R. M. (2022). Lake Sturgeon. Status, distribution, and conservation. 2258 IUCN SSC Sturgeon Specialist Group. 2259 2260 Haxton, T. J., Sulak, K., & Hildebrand, L. (2016). Status of scientific knowledge of North 2261 American sturgeon. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 32(S1), 1-9. 2262 2263 https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.13235 2264 Hedrick, R. P., Speas, J., Kent, M. L., & McDowell, T. (1985). Adenovirus-like particles 2265 associated with a disease of cultured white sturgeon, Acipenser transmontanus. Canadian Journal 2266 2267 of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 42(7), 1321-1325. 2268 Hedrick, R. P., Groff, J. M., McDowell, T., & Wingfield, W. H. (1990). An iridovirus infection 2269 2270 of the integument of the white sturgeon Acipenser transmontanus. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms, 8, 39-44. 2271 2272 Hedrick R.P., McDowell T.S., Groff J.M., Yun S., & Wingfield W.H. (1991). Isolation of an 2273 epitheliotropic herpesvirus from white surgeon Acipenser transmontanus. Diseases of Aquatic 2274 Organisms, 11, 49-56. 2275 2276 Hesse, L. W., & Carreiro, J. R. (1997). Shovelnose sturgeon population status in the lower 2277 Missouri River. Journal of Freshwater Ecology, 12(3), 511-517. 2278 2279 Hildebrand, L. R., McLeod, C., & McKenzie, S. (1999). Status and management of white 2280 sturgeon in the Columbia River in British Columbia, Canada. An overview. Journal of Applied 2281 Ichthyology, 15(4-5), 164-166. 2282 2283 Hondorp, D. W., Holbrook, C. M., & Manny, B. A. (2017). Vessel strikes and the conservation 2284 2285 of Lake Sturgeon. Great Lakes Research Review, 19(1), 2-9. 2286
2287 2288 2289	Hondorp, D. W., Roseman, E. F., & Manny, B. A. (2014). Fish community response to artificial reef construction in the Detroit River. Journal of Great Lakes Research, 40(4), 937-944.
2290 2291 2292 2293 2294	Hong, L., Wanhong, F., & Xiujie, S. (2006) Detection and genetic analysis of infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV) from cultured fish and imported fish larvae. Journal of Huazhong Agricultural University 25:544–549.
2295 2295 2296 2297 2298	Hopper, L. R., Glenn, J. A., MacConnell, E., Winton, J. R., & Emmenegger, E. J. (2023). Susceptibility of Pallid Sturgeon to viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus genotype IVb. Journal of Aquatic Animal Health, 35(2), 88-100.
2298 2299 2300	Houston, J. J. (1988). Status of the green sturgeon, Acipenser medirostris, in Canada.
2301 2302 2303	Huang, X., Zhong, L., Fan, W., Feng, Y., Xiong, G., Liu, S., Wang, K., Geng, Y., Ouyang, P., Chen, D., & Ji, L. (2020). Enteritis in hybrid sturgeon (<i>Acipenser schrenckii</i> ♂× <i>Acipenser</i> <i>baeri</i>) caused by intestinal microbiota disorder. Aquaculture Reports, 18, 100456.
2304 2305 2306 2307 2308 2309	Hunter, R.D., E.F. Roseman, N.M. Sard, R.L. DeBruyne, J. Wang, and K.T. Scribner. (2020). Genetic Family Reconstruction Characterizes Lake Sturgeon Use of Newly Constructed Spawning Habitat and Larval Dispersal. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 149 (3), 266–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/tafs.10225</u> .
2310 2311 2312 2313	Hupfeld, R. N., Phelps, Q. E., Flammang, M. K., & Whitledge, G. W. (2015). Assessment of the effects of high summer water temperatures on shovelnose sturgeon and potential implications of climate change. River Research and Applications, 31(9), 1195-1201.
2313 2314 2315 2316 2317 2318	Ingersoll, C. G., Brunson, E. L., Hardesty, D. K., Hughes, J. P., King, B. L., & Phillips, C. T. (2013). Use of lethal short-term chlorine exposures to limit release of nonnative freshwater organisms. North American Journal of Aquaculture, 75(4), 487–494. https://doi.org/10.1080/15222055.2013.786008
2319 2320 2321 2322	Iserman, D. A., Willis, P. S., & Maceina, M. J. (2022). Upstream transfer of Lake Sturgeon for spawning. A review of methods and outcomes. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 42(3), 789-799.
2323 2324 2325 2326	Islam, M. J., Kunzmann, A., & Slater, M. J. (2022). Responses of aquaculture fish to climate change-induced extreme temperatures: A review. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society, 53(2), 314-366.
2327 2328 2329 2330	IUCN. (2010. Sturgeon more critically endangered than any other group of species. https://www.iucn.org/?4928/Sturgeon-more-critically-endangered-than-any- <u>other-group-of-species</u> , (accessed 26 September, 2024).

THIS DRAFT DOCUMENT IS NOT FINAL AND FOR INITIAL EXTERNAL COLLBORATOR REVIEW ONLY!

2331	Ivey, C. D., Besser, J. M., Steevens, J. A., Walther, M. J., & Melton, V. D. (2019). Influence of
2332 2333	<i>(Acipenser transmontanus)</i> and a Cladoceran (<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i>). Environmental Toxicology
2334	and Chemistry, 38(12), 2682–2687. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4592</u>
2335	Jager H. J. Forsythe P. S. McLaughlin P. J. & Hay-Chmielewski F. M. (2016) Population
2330	dynamics and life history trade-offs of lake sturgeon: A model of competing mortality risks
2337	Journal of Applied Ichthyology 33(4) 565–575
2330	Journal of Applied Tenthyology, 55(4), 505–575.
2333	Jalilpoor I Masouleh AS Masoumzadeh M (2006) Fungal flora in <i>Acinenser persicus</i> eggs
2340	with particular emphasis on Sanrolegnia sn (Oomveetes) and mortality during mass incubation at
2341	the Shahid Beheshti hatchery I Appl Ichthyol :22:265, 268
2342	the Shand Dereshu hachery. J. Appl. Tenthyol.,22.205–208.
2345	Johnson R C & Snyder S A (2012) Endocrine disruption and environmental contaminants
2344	Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 31(3) 568-579 https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2641
2346	
2347	Johnston, A. E., Shavalier, M. A., Scribner, K. T., Soto, E., Griffin, M. J., Waldbieser, G. C.,
2348	& Loch, T. P. (2022). First Isolation of a Herpesvirus (Family Alloherpesviridae) from Great
2349	Lakes Lake Sturgeon (Acipenser fulvescens). Animals, 12(23), 3230.
2350	
2351	Jordan, G. R., Heist, E. J., Braaten, P., Delonay, A. J., Hartfield, P., Herzog, D. P., Kappenman,
2352	K. M., & Web, M. A. H. (2016). Status of knowledge of the Pallid Sturgeon (Scaphirhynchus
2353	albus Forbes and Richardson, 1905). Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 32(S1), 191-207.
2354	https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.13239
2355	
2356	Judd, T. M., Tripp, S. J., & Herzog, D. P. (2022). Cause of increased size of acipenseriform eggs
2357	infected with <i>Polypodium hydriforme</i> . Journal of Fish Biology, 100(5), 1187-1194.
2358	Kessel, S. T., Hussey, N. E., & Fisk, A. T. (2018). Sturgeon migration patterns and the effects of
2359	barriers. Conservation Biology, 32(1), 214-226.
2360	Kashiwasi T. Dalamay A. I. Dreaton D. I. Chainashi K. A. Cashan D. M. & Haist F. I.
2361	(2020) Improved genetic identification of acipensoriform embryos with application to the
2302	(2020). Improved generic identification of acipensemonin emotyos with application to the endangered pallid sturgeon Scaphirbynchus albus, Journal of Fish Biology, 96(2), 486-495
2363	https://doi.org/10.1111/ifb.14230
2365	
2366	Kieffer, M. C., & Kynard, B. (1993). Annual movements of shortnose and Atlantic sturgeons in
2367	the Merrimack River. Massachusetts. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 122(6).
2368	1088-1103.
2369	
2370	Knights, B. C., Vallazza, J. M., Zigler, S. J., & Dewey, M. R. (2002). Habitat and Movement of
2371	Lake Sturgeon in the Upper Mississippi River System, USA. Transactions of the American
2372	Fisheries Society, 131(3), 507–522.
2373	
2374	Knights, B. C., J. H. Wlosinski, J. A. Kalas, and S. W. Bailey (2003). Upstream fish passage
2375	opportunities at Ohio River mainstem dams. U.S. Geological Survey, Upper Midwest

2376	Environmental Sciences Center, La Crosse, Wisconsin, November 2003. 95 pp. Appendixes A-
2377	B.
2378	
2379	Kolhorst, D. W. (1995). Population trends in the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary. California
2380	Fish and Game, 81(3), 1-20.
2381	
2382	Kolok, A. S., Beseler, C. L., Schoenfuss, H. L., & Sellin, M. K. (2013). The Impact of
2383	Agricultural Runoff on the Water Quality and Health of a Great Plains Stream. Environmental
2384	Toxicology and Chemistry, 32(4), 848-856. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2124</u>
2385	
2386	Kramer NW, Phelps QE, Pierce CL, Colvin ME. A food web modeling assessment of Asian
2387	Carp impacts in the Middle and Upper Mississippi River, USA. Food webs. 2019 Dec
2388	1;21:e00120.
2389	
2390	Krentz, S. (2004). Pallid sturgeon. Past, present, and future. Transactions of the American
2391	Fisheries Society, 133(4), 1104-1112.
2392	
2393	Kurobe, I., Kwak, K. I., MacConnell, E., McDowell, I. S., Mardones, F. O., & Hedrick, R. P.
2394	(2010). Development of PCR assays to detect indovirus infections among captive and wild
2395	populations of Missouri River sturgeon. Diseases of aquatic organisms, 93(1), 31-42.
2396	
2397	Kurobe, T., MacConnell, E., Hudson, C., McDowell, T. S., Mardones, F. O., & Hedrick, R. P.
2398	(2011). Iridovirus infections among Missouri River sturgeon: initial characterization,
2399	transmission, and evidence for establishment of a carrier state. Journal of Aquatic Animal
2400	Health, 23(1), 9-18.
2401	
2402	Kynard, B. (1997). Life history, latitudinal patterns, and status of the shortnose sturgeon,
2403	Acipenser brevirostrum. Environmental Biology of Fishes, 48, 319-334.
2404	
2405	LaPatra, S. E., Groff, J. M., Jones, G. R., Munn, B., Patterson, T. L., Holt, R. A., & Hedrick,
2406	R. P. (1994). Occurrence of white sturgeon iridovirus infections among cultured white sturgeon
2407	in the Pacific Northwest. Aquaculture, 126(3-4), 201-210.
2408	
2409	LaPatra, S. E., Jones, G. R., Lauda, K. A., McDowell, T. S., Schneider, R., & Hedrick, R. P.
2410	(1995). White sturgeon as a potential vector of infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus. Journal
2411	of Aquatic Animal Health, 7(3), 225-230.
2412	
2413	LaPatra, S. E., Groff, J. M., Keith, I., Hogans, W. E., & Groman, D. (2014). Case report:
2414	concurrent herpesviral and presumptive iridoviral infection associated with disease in cultured
2415	shortnose sturgeon. Acinenser brevirostrum (L_{μ}) from the Atlantic coast of Canada Journal of
2416	Fish Diseases 37(2), 141-147
2417	
2717 2418	Leis F Bailey I Katona R Standish I Dziki S McCann R & Baumgartner W
2410	(2022) A Montality Event Involving Endengered Dellid Sturgeon (Segnhindrus allow)

2419 (2023). A Mortality Event Involving Endangered Pallid Sturgeon (*Scaphirhynchus albus*)

- 2420 Associated with Gyrodactylus conei n. sp.(Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) Effectively Treated with
- 2421 Parasite-S (Formalin). Parasitologia, 3(2), 205-214.
- 2422
- Little, E. E., Calfee, R. D., & Linder, G. (2014). Toxicity of smelter slag-contaminated sediments from Upper Lake Roosevelt and associated metals to early life stage White Sturgeon (*Acipenser*)
- *transmontanus* Richardson, 1836). Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 30(6), 1497–1507.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.12565
- 2426 <u>https://doi.org/10.1</u> 2427
- Lyons, J., and Stewart. (2014). Predicted effects of future climate warming on thermal habitat
 suitability for Lake Sturgeon (*Acipenser fulvescens*, Rafinesque, 1817) in rivers in Wisconsin,
- USA. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 30(6), 1508-1513.
- 2431
- Manny, B. A., Roseman, E. F., & Kennedy, G. W. (2015). Artificial reefs as restoration tools for
 Lake Sturgeon in the Detroit River. Restoration Ecology, 23(1), 1-10.
- 2434
- Martins, T. A., Bianchini, A., & Martinez, C. B. R. (2015). Effects of waterborne copper on the
 metabolic and oxidative stress parameters of the estuarine fish *Centropomus parallelus*. Journal
 of Visualized Experiments, 53477. https://doi.org/10.3791/53477
- 2438
- Mayden, R. L., & Kuhajda, B. R. (1996). Systematics, taxonomy, and conservation status of the
 endangered Alabama sturgeon, *Scaphirhynchus suttkusi* (Williams and Clemmer, 1991).
- Mayer FL, Ellersieck MR (1986) Manual of acute toxicity: interpretation and data base for 410
 chemicals and 66 species of freshwater animals. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and
 Wildlife Service. No. 160, 63 pp
- McCarthy, L. H., & Lydy, M. J. (2014). Contaminants of emerging concern in aquatic
 environments. Sources, fate, and effects. Integrated Environmental Assessment and
 Management, 10(3), 335-339. https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4240
- 2448 2449

- McCormick, S. D., Shaughnessy, C. A., & Eiler, J. (2020). Hormonal regulation of seawater
 tolerance in Atlantic sturgeon. General and Comparative Endocrinology, 285, 113289.
- McCormick, S. D., et al. (2020). Hormonal control of ion regulation in Atlantic sturgeon.
 Endocrinology and Metabolism, 61(3), 345-367.
- 2455
- McCormick, Stephen D., Meghan L. Taylor, and Amy M. Regish. Cortisol is an osmoregulatory
 and glucose-regulating hormone in Atlantic sturgeon, a basal ray-finned fish. Journal of
- 2458 Experimental Biology 223, no. 18 (2020): jeb220251. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.220251
- 2459
- McDonald DG, Kolar CS (2007) Research to guide the use of lampricides for controlling sea
 lamprey. J Great Lakes Res 33: 20–34.
- 2462
- 2463 McKenna, J., & Hintz, W. D. (2023). Assessing reintroduction success of juvenile lake sturgeon
- in the Maumee River. Presentation at the Annual Conference of the American Fisheries Society.

2466 2467 2468	McLean, M. M., Blouin, M. S., & Noakes, D. L. G. (2014). Artificial reefs and their use in sturgeon habitat restoration. Restoration Ecology, 22(3), 324-333.
2468 2469 2470	Mettee, M. F., O'Neil, P. E., Pierson, J. M., & Shepard, T. E. (1996). Fishes of Alabama and the Mobile Basin. Birmingham. Oxmoor House, Inc.
2471 2472 2473 2474	Moore, M. J., Paukert, C. P., Owens, S. P., & Moore, T. L. (2024). Habitat selection in a southern Lake Sturgeon population: Implications of temporal, spatial, and ontogenetic variation for restoration. Journal of Applied Ichthyology. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.14567</u>
2475 2476 2477 2478	Moore, M. J., Paukert, C. P., and Moore, T. L. (2021). Effects of latitude, season, and temperature on Lake Sturgeon Movement. North American Journal of Fisheries Management. 41 (4) 916-928.
2479 2480 2481 2482 2483	Moore, M. J., Paukert, C. P., Bonnot, T. W., Brooke, B. L., & Moore, T. L. (2022a). Does where they start affect where they finish? A multimethod investigation of the role of stocking location on survival and dispersal of hatchery-reared Lake Sturgeon in Missouri River tributaries. River Research and Applications, 38(4), 627-638.
2484 2485 2486	Moore, M. J., Paukert, C. P., Brooke, B. L., & Moore, T. L. (2022b). Lake sturgeon seasonal movements in regulated and unregulated Missouri River tributaries. Ecohydrology, 15(1), e2362.
2487 2488 2489 2490	Moore, M. J., Paukert, C. P., Owens, S. P., & Moore, T. L. (2022c). Habitat selection in a southern Lake Sturgeon population: implications of temporal, spatial, and ontogenetic variation for restoration. Restoration Ecology, 30(7), e13602.
2491 2492 2493	Moyle, P. B. (2002). Inland Fishes of California. University of California Press.
2493 2494 2495	Moyle, P. B., Foley, P. J., & Yoshiyama, R. M. (1992). Status and biology of the green sturgeon, <i>Acipenser medirostris</i> .
2496 2497 2498	Moyle, P. B., Katz, J. V. E., & Quiñones, R. M. (1995). Rapid decline of the green sturgeon in the Sacramento-San Joaquin estuary.
2499 2500 2501	Mugetti, D., Pastorino, P., Menconi, V., Pedron, C., & Prearo, M. (2020). The old and the new on viral diseases in sturgeon. Pathogens, 9(2), 146.
2502 2503 2504 2505 2506	Mugetti, D., Colussi, S., Pastorino, P., Varello, K., Tomasoni, M., Menconi, V., & Prearo, M. (2022). Episode of mortality associated with isolation of <i>Streptococcus iniae</i> in Adriatic sturgeon (<i>Acipenser naccarii</i> Bonaparte, 1836) reared in Northern Italy. Journal of fish diseases, 45(6), 939-942.
2507 2508 2509 2510 2511	Muhammad, M., Zhang, T., Gong, S., Bai, J., Ju, J., Zhao, B., & Liu, D. (2020). <i>Streptococcus iniae</i> : A growing threat and causative agent of disease outbreak in farmed Chinese sturgeon (<i>Acipenser sinensis</i>). Pakistan Journal of Zoology, 52(5), 1931.

2512 2513	Munroe, S. E., Avery, T. S., Shutler, D., & Dadswell, M. J. (2011). Spatial attachment-site preferences of macroectoparasites on Atlantic sturgeons <i>Acipenser oxyrinchus</i> in Minas Basin,
2514	Bay of Fundy, Canada. Journal of Parasitology, 97(3), 377-383.
2515	
2516 2517 2518	Murchy KA, Vetter BJ, Brey MK, Mensinger AF. Behavioral responses of native and invasive fishes of the Upper Mississippi River to 100 hp boat motor acoustic stimulus. Management of Biological Invasions. 2022 Nov 1;13(4):750-68.
2519	
2520	National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), (1998a), Status review of Atlantic sturgeon
2521	(Acinenser orvrinchus orvrinchus) US Department of Commerce
2521	(<i>neipenser oxyrmenus</i>). 0.5. Department of Commerce.
2522	Nicholls, Pohert I. Impacts of and responses to see level rise. Understanding see level rise and
2525	variability (2010): 17.51 https://doi.org/10.1002/0781444222276.ab2
2524	variability (2010): 17-51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444525270.ch2</u>
2525	
2526	NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). (1998b). Final recovery plan for the shortnose
2527	sturgeon (Acipenser brevirostrum).
2528	
2529	NMFS (2002). National Marine Fisheries Service. Endangered and Threatened Species;
2530	Threatened Status for Southern Distinct Population Segment of North American Green Sturgeon.
2531	
2532	Noakes, D.L.G., Beamish, F.W.H. and Rossiter, A. (1999). Conservation implications of
2533	behaviour and growth of the lake sturgeon. Acinenser fulvescens, in northern Ontario.
2532	benaviour and growin of the face stargeon, helpenser jurveseens, in northern ontario.
2535	North I.A. McCabe G.T. & Tracy C.A. (1999) Age and growth of white sturgeon in the
2555	lower Columbia Diver Northwest Science 72(2) 145-156
2000	lower Columbia River. Northwest Science, 75(5), 143-150.
2537	O'Conner I.M. Dutt T.C. Steering T.D. Stankorg D. Decessed M. and Kene C. (2017). In
2538	O'Connor, L.M., Pratt, T.C., Steeves, T.B., Stephens, B., Boogaard, M. and Kaye, C. (2017). In
2539	situ assessment of lampricide toxicity to age-0 lake sturgeon. Journal of Great Lakes Research,
2540	43(1), pp.189-198.
2541	
2542	Okamura, B., Hartigan, A., Long, P. F., Ruggeri, P., Smith-Easter, K., & Schooley, J. D. (2020).
2543	Epidemiology of Polypodium hydriforme in American Paddlefish. Journal of fish diseases, 43(9),
2544	979-989.
2545	Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission. (1992). Annual report 1992. Pacific States Marine
2546	Fisheries Commission.
2517	Page I. M. & Burr B. M. (1991) A Field Guide to Freshwater Fishes North America North of
2547	Maxiae Destan Houghton Mifflin Haroourt
2548	Mexico. Boston. Houghton Minim Harcourt.
2549	
2550	Paragamian, V. L., Kruse, G. O., & Wakkinen, V. D. (1997). Kootenai River white sturgeon
2551	investigations annual progress report. Idaho Department of Fish and Game.
2552	
2553	Parauka, F. M. (2004). Alabama Sturgeon (Scaphirhynchus suttkusi) Recovery Plan. U.S. Fish
2554	and Wildlife Service.
2555	

- Parker, J. (1988). Historical Range of Paddlefish in North America. Columbia. University ofMissouri Press.
- 2558
- Parsley, M. J., Beckman, L. G., McCabe, G. T., Jr., & Parsley, M. J. (2002). Movements and
 habitat use of white sturgeon *Acipenser transmontanus* in the lower Columbia River.
- Environmental Biology of Fishes, 64(4), 257-271.
- 2562
- Payne, S. E., Wise, D. R., Davis, J. W., & Nilsen, E. B. (2022). Assessment of persistent
 chemicals of concern in white sturgeon (*Acipenser transmontanus*) in the Hanford Reach of the
- 2564 Columbia River, southeastern Washington, 2009. (Scientific Investigations Report 2022-5020).
- 2566 U.S. Geological Survey. <u>https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20225020</u>
- 2567
- Pegg, Mark A., John H. Chick, and Brenda M. Pracheil. Potential effects of invasive species onpaddlefish. American Fisheries Society Symposium. Vol. 66. 2009.
- 2570
- Perretta, A., Antúnez, K., & Zunino, P. (2018). Phenotypic, molecular and pathological
 characterization of motile aeromonads isolated from diseased fishes cultured in Uruguay. Journal
 of fish diseases, 41(10), 1559-1569.
- 2574
- Peterson, D. L., Bain, M. B., & Haley, N. (2000). Evidence of declining recruitment of Atlantic
 sturgeon in the Hudson River. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 20(1), 231238.
- Pierezan, F., Shahin, K., Heckman, T. I., Ang, J., Byrne, B. A., & Soto, E. (2020). Outbreaks of
 severe myositis in cultured white sturgeon (*Acipenser transmontanus* L.) associated with *Streptococcus iniae*. Journal of fish diseases, 43(4), 485-490.
- 2582
- 2583 Pflieger, W. L. (1975). The Fishes of Missouri. Missouri Department of Conservation.
- Puglis, H. J., Calfee, R. D., & Little, E. E. (2019). Behavioral effects of copper on larval white
- sturgeon. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 38(1), 132–144.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4293
- 2588
- Puglis, H. J., Farag, A. M., & Mebane, C. A. (2020). Copper concentrations in the upper
- 2590 Columbia River as a limiting factor in White Sturgeon recruitment and recovery. Integrated
- Environmental Assessment and Management, 16(3), 378–391.
- 2592 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4240</u>.
- 2593
- Radosavljević, V., Milićević, V., Maksimović-Zorić, J., Veljović, L., Nešić, K., Pavlović, M.,
 Pelić, D., & Marković, Z. (2019). Sturgeon diseases in aquaculture. Archives of Veterinary
 Medicine, 12(1), 5-20.

- Raikova, E. V. (2002). Polypodium hydriforme infection in the eggs of acipenseriform fishes.Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 18, 405-415.
- 2600

2601 2602 2603 2604	Raverty, S., Hedrick, R., Henry, J., & Saksida, S. (2003). British Columbia: Diagnosis of sturgeon iridovirus infection in farmed white sturgeon in British Columbia. The Canadian Veterinary Journal, 44(4), 327.
2605 2606 2607 2608 2609	Reinartz, R., & P. Slavcheva. (2016). Saving Sturgeons a global report on their status and suggested conservation strategy. World Wildlife Fund. https://wwfint.awsassets.panda.org/downloads/saving_sturgeons_summary_report_online_versio n.pdf.
2610 2611 2612	RL&L Environmental Services Ltd. (2000). Fraser River white sturgeon monitoring program. British Columbia Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection.
2613 2614 2615	Reed, B. C., & Ewing, R. (1993). The conservation status of the pallid sturgeon in the Missouri River basin. Conservation Biology, 7(4), 758-765.
2616 2617	Rien, T. A., & North, J. A. (2001). Green Sturgeon population characteristics in Oregon.
2618 2619 2620	Rochard, E., Castelnaud, G., & Le Page, M. (1990). Sturgeon (Pisces. Acipenseridae); threats and prospects. Journal of Fish Biology, 37(Supplement A), 123-132.
2621 2622 2623	Rogers, S. G., & Weber, W. I. (1995). Status and restoration of Atlantic and shortnose sturgeons in Georgia.
2623 2624 2625 2626	Rogillio, H., Slack, W. T., & Ross, S. T. (2001). Gulf sturgeon population estimates in the Pearl River. NOAA Fisheries.
2627 2628 2629 2620	Roseman, E. F., Manny, B. A., Kennedy, G. W., Boase, J. C., & Craig, J. M. (2017). Fish recruitment and spawning reef construction in the St. Clair-Detroit River System. Journal of Great Lakes Research, 43(2), 369-379.
2630 2631 2632 2633	Ross, S. T., Slack, W. T., & Heise, R. J. (2001). Gulf sturgeon population estimates in the Pascagoula River. NOAA Fisheries.
2633 2634 2635 2636	Saari, G.N., 2023. Antimycin-A species sensitivity distribution: perspectives for non-indigenous fish control. Management of Biological Invasions, 14(3), pp.503-517.
2637 2638 2639	Santi, M., Pastorino, P., Foglini, C., Righetti, M., Pedron, C., & Prearo, M. (2019). A survey of bacterial infections in sturgeon farming in Italy. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 35(1), 275-282.
2640 2641 2642 2643 2644	Scarnecchia, D. L., Gordon, B. D., Schooley, J. D., Ryckman, L. F., Schmitz, B. J., Miller, S. E., & Lim, Y., 2011. Southern and northern Great Plains (United States) Paddlefish stocks within frameworks of Acipenseriform life history and the metabolic theory of ecology. Reviews in Fisheries Science, 19(3), 279-298).

2645 2646 2647	Schrank, S. J., Guy, C. S., & Fairchild, J. F. (2003). Competitive interactions between age-0 bighead carp and paddlefish. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 132(6), 1222–1228. <u>https://doi.org/10.1577/T02-071</u>
2648 2649 2650 2651	Secor, D. H., Anders, P. J., Van Winkle, W., & Dixon, D. A. (2000). A continent-wide perspective on the decline of the North American sturgeon. American Fisheries Society.
2652 2653 2654 2655 2656	Shaughnessy, Ciaran A., Valorie D. Myhre, Daniel J. Hall, Stephen D. McCormick, and Robert M. Dores. Hypothalamus-pituitary-interrenal (HPI) axis signaling in Atlantic sturgeon (<i>Acipenser oxyrinchus</i>) and sterlet (<i>Acipenser ruthenus</i>). General and Comparative Endocrinology 339 (2023): 114290. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2023.114290</u>
2650 2657 2658 2659 2660	Shaw, S. L., Chipps, S. R., Windels, S. K., Webb, M. A. H., McLeod, D. T., & Willis, D. W. (2012). Lake sturgeon population attributes and reproductive structure in the Namakan Reservoir, Minnesota and Ontario. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 28(2), 168-175. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2011.01927.x
2661 2662 2663	Smith, P. W. (1979). The Fishes of Illinois. Urbana. University of Illinois Press.
2664 2665 2665	Smith, T. I. J., & Clugston, J. P. (1997). Status and management of Atlantic sturgeon, <i>Acipenser oxyrinchus</i> , in North America. Environmental Biology of Fishes, 48, 335-346.
2667 2668 2669 2670	Soto, E.; Richey, C.; Stevens, B.; Yun, S.; Kenelty, K.; Reichley, S.; Griffin, M.; Kurobe, T.; Camus, A. (2017) Co-infection of <i>Acipenserid herpesvirus</i> 2 (AciHV-2) and <i>Streptococcus iniae</i> in cultured white sturgeon <i>Acipenser transmontanus</i> . Diseases of Aquatic Organisms. 124, 11–20.
2671 2672 2673 2674 2675	Soto, E., Fast, M. D., Purcell, S. L., Coleman, D. D., Yazdi, Z., Kenelty, K., Yun, S., & Camus, A. (2022). Expression of immune markers of white sturgeon (<i>Acipenser transmontanus</i>) during <i>Veronaea botryosa</i> infection at different temperatures. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part D: Genomics and Proteomics, 41, 100950.
2676 2677 2678 2679	Stabile, J., Waldman, J.R., Parauka, F. and Wirgin, I. 1996. Stock structure and homing fidelity in Gulf of Mexico sturgeon (<i>Acipenser oxyrinchus desotoi</i>) based on restriction fragment length polymorphism and sequences analysis of mitochondrial DNA. Genetics144: 767-775.
2680 2681 2682	Stachnik, M, Matras, M, Borzym, E, Maj-Paluch, J & Reichert, M. (2021) Emerging viral pathogens in sturgeon aquaculture in Poland: Focus on Herpesviruses and Mimivirus detection. Viruses, 13,1496-14507.
2684 2685 2686	Steckler NK, Yanong RPE, Pouder DB, Nyaoke A and others (2014) New disease records for hatchery-reared sturgeon. II. Phaeohyphomycosis due to <i>Veronaea botryosa</i> . Dis Aquat Org 111:229-238. <u>https://doi.org/10.3354/dao02755.</u>
2687 2688	St. Pierre, R. A. (1999). Restoration of Atlantic sturgeon in the northeastern USA with an

2689 emphasis on culture and restocking. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 15(4-5), 180-182.

2690	
2691	St Pierre R A & Parauka F M (2006) Assessment of Gulf sturgeon snawning populations in
2692	the Suwannee River Florida U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
2692	the Suwannee River, Florida. C.S. Fish and Windhie Service.
2607	St Pierre R and Campbell R R (2006) Acinenser medirostris The IUCN Red List of
2094	Threatened Species Version 2014.2 <ursul increased="" list="" or<="" td=""></ursul>
2095	Threatened Species. Version 2014.2. \www.nuchrednst.org/.
2090	Stilwall N. K. Engago In S. Egging I. J. Subramanian K. Impai K. Viadanna D. H.
2697	Surwell, N. K., Frasca JF, S., Farma, L. L., Subramaniani, K., Innioi, K., Viadanna, P. H.,
2698	Hopper, L., Powell, J., Colee, J., & Wallzek, T. B. (2022). Effect of water temperature on frog
2699	Virus 5 disease in natchery-reared paind sturgeon <i>Scapnirnynchus albus</i> . Diseases of Aquatic
2700	Organisms, 148, 73-80.
2701	
2702	Sulak, K. J., Parauka, F., Slack, W. I., Ruth, R. I., Randall, M. I., Luke, K., Mettee, M. F., &
2703	Price, M. E. (2016). Status of scientific knowledge, recovery progress, and future research
2704	directions for the Gulf Sturgeon, Acipenser oxyrinchus desotoi Vladykov, 1955. Journal of
2705	Applied Ichthyology, $32(S1)$, $87-161$. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.13245</u>
2706	
2707	Sullivan, A. B., Jager, H. I., & Myers, R. (2003). Modeling white sturgeon movement in a
2708	reservoir: The effect of water quality and sturgeon density. Ecological Modelling, 167(1-2), 97-
2709	114. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(03)00169-8</u>
2710	
2711	Surprenant, M. J. (2004). Shovelnose sturgeon population dynamics in the Upper Mississippi
2712	River. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.
2713	
2714	Takei, Y., & McCormick, S. D. (2013). Corticosteroid receptors and osmoregulation in teleosts.
2715	Fish Physiology, 32, 231-252.
2716	
2717	Tanneberger, K., Knöbel, M., Busser, F.J., Sinnige, T.L., Hermens, J.L. and Schirmer, K., 2013.
2718	Predicting fish acute toxicity using a fish gill cell line-based toxicity assay. Environmental
2719	science & technology, 47(2), pp.1110-1119.
2720	
2721	Thompson, K. (1991). Sturgeon rivers. An atlas of sturgeon habitat in North America.
2722	
2723	Thurner, K., Goforth, R. R., Chen, S., Amberg, J., Leis, E., Kinsella, J. M., Mahapatra, C., &
2724	Sepulveda, M. S. (2017). Viruses, Bacteria and Helminths of Invasive Carp: Insights from an In
2725	Vitro Assay and a Survey with Native Fishes in a Large Midwestern River. Journal of fish
2726	pathology, 30(2), 135-148.
2727	
2728	Tillitt, D. E., Buckler, J. A., Nicks, D. K., Candrl, J. S., Claunch, R. A., Gale, R. W., Puglis, H.
2729	J., Little, E. E., Linbo, T. L., & Baker, M. (2017). Sensitivity of lake sturgeon (<i>Acinenser</i>
2730	fulvescens) early life stages to 2 3 7 8-tetrachlorodibenzo-P-dioxin and 3 3' 4 4' 5-
2730	pentachlorohiphenyl Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 36(4) 988–998
2732	https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3614
2732	
2734	Timi I T & Buchmann K (2023) A century of parasitology in fisheries and aquaculture
2725	Journal of Helminthology 97 ed
2133	Journal of Hommulology, 77, 67.

THIS DRAFT DOCUMENT IS NOT FINAL AND FOR INITIAL EXTERNAL COLLBORATOR REVIEW ONLY!

- 2736 Tripp, S. J., Herzog, D. P., Garvey, J. E., Phelps, Q. E., & Kennedy, A. J. (2019). The
- importance of hydrologic connectivity for the preservation of sturgeon and paddlefish. Fisheries
 Management and Ecology, 26(6), 533–548.

Tripp, S. J., Herzog, D. P., Garvey, J. E., & Phelps, Q. E. (2020). Effects of river fragmentation
on migration patterns of paddlefish and sturgeon. PeerJ, 8, e10288.

- Turney, D.D., Fritts, A.K., Knights, B.C., Vallazza, J.M., Appel, D.S. and Lamer, J.T. (2022).
 Hydrological and lock operation conditions associated with paddlefish and bigheaded carp dam
- passage on a large and small scale in the Upper Mississippi River (Pools 14–18). PeerJ, 10,
 p.e13822.
- 2745
 2746 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). (1989). Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and
 2747 Plants; Determination of Endangered Status for the Pallid Sturgeon. Federal Register.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). (1992). Paddlefish Status Review and ConservationPlan. Washington, D.C. U.S. Department of the Interior.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). (1994). Endangered and threatened wildlife andplants; determination of endangered status for the Alabama sturgeon. Federal Register.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). (2007). Biological Opinion on the Operation of theMissouri River Mainstem Reservoir System.
- USFWS, NMFS (2022) Gulf Sturgeon (*Acipenser oxyrinchus desotoi*) 5-Year Review: Summary
 and Evaluation.
- U.S. Geological Survey. (2010). Pallid sturgeon recovery: Monitoring and habitat restoration in
 the Missouri River. U.S. Geological Survey. <u>https://pubs.usgs.gov/publication/fs20103024</u>
- 2763
 2764 United States Federal Register. (2010). Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants;
 2765 Threatened Status for Shovelnose Sturgeon under the Similarity of Appearance Provisions of the
 2766 Endangered Species Act. 75 FR 53598, September 1, 2010.
- Vázquez-Fernández, E., Chinchilla, B., Rebollada-Merino, A., Domínguez, L., & RodríguezBertos, A. (2023). An Outbreak of *Aeromonas salmonicida* in Juvenile Siberian Sturgeons
 (*Acipenser baerii*). Animals, 13(17), 2697.
- 2771

2767

2748

2751

2754

2757

- Vicenova, M., Reschova, S., Pokorova, D., Hulova, J., & Vesely, T. (2011). First detection of
 pike fry-like rhabdovirus in barbel and spring viraemia of carp virus in sturgeon and pike in
 aquaculture in the Czech Republic. Diseases of aquatic organisms, 95(2), 87-95.
- 2775
- 2776 Walker, L., Subramaniam, K., Viadanna, P. H.O, Vann, J. A., Marcquenski, S., Godard, D.,
- 2777 Kieran, E., Frasca Jr, S., Popov, V.L., Kerr, K., Davison, A.J., & Waltzek, T. B. (2022).
- 2778 Characterization of an alloherpesvirus from wild lake sturgeon Acipenser fulvescens in
- 2779 Wisconsin (USA). Diseases of Aquatic Organisms, 149, 83-96.

2780	
2781	Waltzek, T. B., Miller, D. L., Gray, M. J., Drecktrah, B., Briggler, J. T., MacConnell, B., &
2782	Hedrick, R. P. (2014). New disease records for hatchery-reared sturgeon. I. Expansion of frog
2783	virus 3 host range into <i>Scaphirhvnchus albus</i> . Diseases of aquatic organisms, 111(3), 219-227.
2784	
2785	Wang X Du H Tan C Hu Z & Jiang W (2023) The American paddlefish genome
2786	provides novel insights into chromosomal evolution and ploidy complexity. Genetics and
2787	Molecular Biology
2788	Molecular Diology.
2789	Wang I Chapman D Xu I Wang Y Gu B (2018) Isotone niche dimension and trophic overlan
2790	between higheaded carps and native filter-feeding fish in the lower Missouri River USA PloS
2791	one May 21:13(5):e0197584
2702	one. May 21,15(5).00177504.
2752	Wang N. Ingersoll C.G. Dorman R.A. Brumbaugh W.G. Mebane C.A. Kunz I.I. &
2755	Hardesty D K (2014) Chronic sensitivity of white sturgeon (<i>Acingus ar transmontanus</i>) and
2794	rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) to codmium conner lead or zinc in laboratory water only
2795	exposures Environmental Toricology and Chemistry 33(10) 2246–2258
2790	1000000000000000000000000000000000000
2737	<u>mtps://doi.org/10.1002/cdc.2041</u>
2790	Watson I. P. Vun S. C. Groff I. M. & Hadriak P. P. (1005) Characteristics and
2799	watson, E. K., 1 un, S. C., Oforn, J. Ivi., & frequence, R. F. (1993). Characteristics and
2800	pathogenicity of a novel nerpesvirus isolated from adult and subadult white sturgeon Acipenser
2801	transmontanus. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms, 22(3), 199-210.
2802	
2803	Webb, M. A. H., & Doroshov, S. I. (2011). Reproductive endocrinology of sturgeon. A review.
2804	Journal of Fish Biology, 78(5), 1540-1565.
2805	
2806	Webb, M.A. and Doroshov, S.I., 2011. Importance of environmental endocrinology in fisheries
2807	management and aquaculture of sturgeons. General and Comparative Endocrinology, 170(2),
2808	pp.313-321.
2809	
2810	Werner, I., Deanovic, L. A., & Connon, R. E. (2012). Effects of pyrethroid and organophosphate
2811	pesticides on aquatic organisms. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology,
2812	62(1), 1-10.
2813	
2814	Whitaker, J. O., Rubin, D., & Ash, R. (2018). Genetic and environmental influences on
2815	migration strategies of Lake Sturgeon. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 34(2), 123-134.
2816	
2817	White SL, Fox DA, Beridze T, Bolden S, Johnson RL, Savoy TF, Scheele F, Schreier AD,
2818	Kazyak DC. 2023. Captive culture and escapes: an emerging threat to sturgeon conservation.
2819	Fisheries 48:54-61
2820	
2821	Williams, J. D., & Clemmer, G. H. (1991). Scaphirhynchus suttkusi, a new sturgeon from the
2822	Mobile Basin of Alabama and Mississippi.
2823	
2824	Williamson, D. F. (2003). Caviar and conservation. Status, management, and trade of North
2825	American sturgeon and paddlefish. TRAFFIC North America.

2826	
2827	Willis, S. C., Parker, B., Schreier, A. D., Beamesderfer, R., Miller, D., Young, S., & Narum, S.
2828	R. (2022). Population structure of white sturgeon (<i>Acinenser transmontanus</i>) in the Columbia
2829	River inferred from single-nucleotide polymorphisms. Diversity, 14(12), 1045.
2830	https://doi.org/10.3390/d14121045
2831	
2832	Wippelhauser, G. S., McCormick, S. D., & King, T. L. (2017). Potential critical habitat, seasonal
2833	distributions, and movements of Gulf of Maine Atlantic sturgeon, U.S. Geological Survey.
2834	Wirgin, I., Grunwald, C., Stabile, J., & Waldman, J. R. (2010). Genetic structure of shortnose
2835	sturgeon populations across their range. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 26(3), 451-458.
2836	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2010.01456.x
2837	Xiao, Z., Li, X., Xue, M., Zhang, M., Liu, W., Fan, Y., & Zhou, Y. (2022). Vibrio
2838	metschnikovii, a potential pathogen in freshwater-cultured hybrid sturgeon. Animals, 12(9),
2839	1101.
2840	
2841	Yang, J., Tian, T., Xiao, K., Zeng, Q., Tan, C., & Du, H. (2021). Pathogenic infection and
2842	immune-related gene expression of Chinese sturgeon (Acipenser sinensis) challenged by
2843	Citrobacter freundii. Developmental & Comparative Immunology, 114, 103872.
2844	
2845	Yazdi, Z., Griffin, M. L. Pierezan, F., Eetemadi, A., Shahin, K., & Soto, F. (2021). Quantitative
2846	PCR for detection and quantification of <i>Veronaea botryosa</i> in fish and environmental samples
2847	Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 144 175-185
2047	Discuses of require organisms, 111, 175 105.
2040 28/19	Ve H Li C Ruan I Liu O & Wei O (2020) Cryopreservation of germline stem cells in
2045	American paddlefish and Vangtze sturgeon Animal Reproduction Science 106667
2050	https://doi.org/10.1016/i.onirepressi 2020.106667
2021	<u>nttps://doi.org/10.1010/j.anneprosci.2020.10000/</u>
2052	Zhang O. V. & Gui I. E. (2012) Atlas of aquatic viguas and vigal discasses. Science Press
20054	Define 22, 216 222
2054	Deijilig, 22, 510-522.
2855	Zhang M. Dev V. Vice, Z. Vve M. Ling N. Liv, W. Vv. C. Fen, V. Zhang O. & Zhav
2856	Zhang, M., Dou, Y., Alao, Z., Aue, M., Jiang, N., Liu, W., Au, C., Fan, Y., Zhang, Q., & Zhou, V. (2022). Identification of an Asiante hyperpresent hyperbolic strain isolated from discourse hyperbolic strains.
2857	Y. (2023). Identification of an Activelobacter twojju strain isolated from diseased hybrid sturgeon (Asia super scheme L_{ii}). A superstance 574, 720(40)
2858	(Acipenser baerii \downarrow × Acipenser schrenckii \bigcirc). Aquaculture, 5/4, 739649.
2859	
2860	Light, S. J., Dewey, M. K., Knights, B. C., Kunstrom, A. L., & Steingraeber, M. 1. (1997).
2001	tributorios. North American Journal of Fisherios Management, 17(2), 661,672
2002	utoutaries. Notur American Journal of Fisheries Management, $1/(5)$, $001-0/2$.
2003	$\frac{100001}{100000000}$
2004	
2865	Zigler, S. J., Dewey, M. R., Knights, B. C., Runstrom, A. L., & Steingraeber, M. T. (2002).
2866	Hydrologic connectivity and overwintering habitat selection by paddlefish in the Upper

Hydrologic connectivity and overwintering habitat selection by paddlefish in the Upper
Mississippi River. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 131(3), 517-528.

2868	
2869	Zigler, S. J., Dewey, M. R., Knights, B. C., Runstrom, A. L., & Steingraeber, M. T. (2003).
2870	Movement and use of navigation dams by paddlefish in the Upper Mississippi River. North
2871	American Journal of Fisheries Management, 23(1), 151-162.
2872	
2873	
2874	APPENDICE5
2875	
2876	Appendix A. Compilation of USGS Sturgeon and Paddlefish
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2877	Research Products to Date
2828	
2070	
2879	Appendix B. USGS Centers, Scientists, and Programs
	Conducting Sturgeon and Deddlefich Research
2880	Conducting Sturgeon and Paddiensn Research